Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research
Vol. 9, Issue, 5(H), pp. 27032-27035, May, 2018

ISSN: 0976-3031

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF DIFFERENT SOIL EROSION MODELS IN PRACTICE

*Prasad, B and Tiwari, H.L

Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0905.2172

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 10th February, 2018
Received in revised form 6th March, 2018
Accepted 24th April, 2018
Published online 28th May, 2018

Key Words:

Model, Soil Erosion, Catchment, Hydrological Cycle.

ABSTRACT

Soil Conservation and quantification of soil loss is very significant in the present world. Soil erosion is removal and transportation of top soil from the land surface which brought about low harvest yield, cost increment of water treatment, and hindering consequences for oceanic life and natural life territories. The loss of soil from the cultivating land may be reflected in reduced crop production potential, lower surface water quality and damaged drainage networks. Scientists, governments and the general public realized that soil erosion is an important problem in the world. Models are a method for predicting the behaviour of water moving on surface for a number of problems facing society in the past and today. The explanation behind this overview is to rapidly analyze a couple of models on soil erosion on scenes to give information about them to help and serve in a proper path remembering the true objective to discuss particular issues related to hydrology and soil erosion. The results from soil erosion models have been the basic information to land use planning in the catchment, especially to better perceiving how the hydrological cycle, soils and vegetation interface between themselves. In this paper we have discussed three models USLE, ANSWERS, and SWAT. We can use the latest technology models for accurate measurement of soil erosion and deposition.

INTRODUCTION

In Global terms Soil Erosion and Sedimentation are the real cultivating and regular issue for person. When the departure of upper layer of the earth takes place by the action of water, wind and various distinctive strategies like culturing it said to be soil erosion has been taken place. All specialist and examiners are worried about this major issue and they are taking authentic move so that the problem can be sorted out at field level to keep up a key separation from the results of soil disintegration issues. Sheet erosion, rillerosion, Gully erosion, Bank erosion etc are the major types of soil erosion. When the thin sheet of soil is removed from the top of soil sheet erosion occurs and it happens where the grade is uniform. When soil is eroded from m a specific channel which is molded in light of the improvement of overflow, Rill erosion takes place. When Rill erosion is not treated and kept for a long time Gully Erosion takes place, leading to the removal of huge amount of soil every year. Exactly when the rill disintegration isn't controlled authentically and on account of excessive precipitation it takes the shape Gully disintegration. We need to take appropriate measures to start with period else it will provoke loss of soil in unreasonable aggregate. Bank disintegration is the cutting of soil from trenches, conductors, channels which incite clearing of tremendous total soil disintegration. Trenches, outlets should be authentically formed so as to avoid breaking down at initial stage. In any case, the most honest to goodness soil disintegration issue is sheet and rill disintegration. Sheet and Rill erosion affects around 72,000,000 ha area of land in India. 64,000,000 ha of land in India are kept neglected for cultivation on which permits the soil erosion in these areas (Narayana *et al* 1983). Soil erosion impacts the soil structure, surface, and constancy of the soil. If these problems are not considered in near future, long run impacts our prudent development and environmental adjust of the nation.

Soil Erosion Modelling

Models are arranged based on input parameters and the standard associated in the model. Generally there are three sorts of model empirical, physical and conceptual models. Experimental models rely upon numerical conditions which are gotten from correct recognitions, It is significant for perceiving the wellspring of silt and are honest to goodness simply inside the points of confinement. The segment and methodology of systems are less considered. It has high perceptive power and low educational significance. Physically build models are based regarding physical method of the system and going along with them into complex model. It requires high assurance spatial and

*Corresponding author: **Prasad, B**
Maulana Azad National Institute of Technology, Bhopal, India

common data. It can clear up traits like slant, vegetation, soil, geology, temperature, dissipation et cetera. It requires data like starting state of model and morphology of catchment. It has some of the time issue with scale related issue. Applied Models (Parametric Models) rely upon both observational and physical based models. It gives both subjective and quantitative process in a catchment. It requires broad meteorological and hydrological data. GIS is likewise utilized for choosing of landfill locales (AlRukaibi and Alsulaily). In this paper we are looking at three changed models.

Empirical Model

In 1978 Wischmeier and Smith developed the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE). Sheet and rill erosion can be calculated based on a large set of experimental data from agricultural plots using USLE model. The equation was derived on single agricultural plots and is only valid when applied to an area up to 1 ha. The USLE model can be expressed by the following equation:

$$U = R \times K \times LS \times C \times P \qquad (1)$$

Where, U is the registered soil erosion caused by sheet and rill disintegration (t ha-1 yr-1), R is the precipitation erosivity factor (MJ mm h-1 ha-1 yr-1), K is the soil erodibility factor (t ha h ha-1 MJ-1 mm-1), L is the slant length factor (dimensionless), S is the slant steepness factor (dimensionless), C is the cover and administration factor (dimensionless differs from 0 to1) and P is the help rehearse factor (dimensionless shifts from 0 to1). The condition is said to be all inclusive in light of the fact that it incorporates the four vital components which impact soil misfortune: the inalienable erodibility of the dirt is communicated by K, erosive precipitation powers are communicated by R, gravitational powers influencing spillover are given by the slope length-slant factor (LS), and cover factors changing erosive powers are communicated by C and P. The yearly and occasional soil erosion maps giving spatial dispersion of soil misfortunes have been created after calculating the R, K, LS, C and P-factors and drawing their map.

Physical Based Model

Beasely and Huggins 1982 developed the The Areal Nonpoint Source Watershed Environment Response System (ANSWERS) model to simulate surface runoff and erosion in predominantly agricultural catchments. The model partitions catchment into square component (matrix cells) and utilizations the availability of the cells (got from the cell perspective esteem) and the coherence condition to course stream to the catchment outlet (Beasley *et al* 1982). Three disintegration forms are considered separation of soil disintegration by raindrop affect, separation of soil disintegration by overland stream and transport of soil particles by overland stream. The WEPP and ANSWERS show requires four info records: Topography, Climate, Soil, and Land Use Pattern document. The dirt disintegration by ANSWERS Model in water is figured by two procedures. Initial segment includes the dirt disintegration by the impact of Kinetic vitality of precipitation. The Second part includes the evacuation of soil molecule by the shear and lift powers of the spillover. Soil Erosion is figured by utilizing the relationship

SedimentationRate=0.108*CDR*SKDR*Ai*R2 (2)

Where DETR = precipitation separation rate, kg/min: CDR= trimming and administration factor, C from USLE; SKDR = soil erosivity factor, K from USLE; Ai = territory augmentation, m2 and R= precipitation power amid a period interim, mm/min. The dirt disintegration by Runoff can be ascertained by

DETF = 0.90* CDR* SKDR* Ai*SL*Q (3)

Where DETF = Runoff stream separation rate, kg/min; SL= slant steepness; and Q = stream rate per unit width, m2/min. It is a deterministic model in view of the crucial theory that At each point inside a watershed, useful connection exists between water stream rates and those hydrological parameters which administer them, e.g. precipitation force, penetration geology, soil write and so on. Moreover, these stream rates can be used in conjunction with proper part connections as the reason for displaying other transport related marvel, for example, soil disintegration and synthetic development inside the watershed. In ANSWERS model can anticipate silt yield for every one of the occasions, other than soil disintegration it is likewise particularly valuable in foreseeing spatial dissemination of soil disintegration in the watershed. In light of spatial expectations of the model, the wellsprings of soil disintegration can be distinguished in the watershed.

Soil and Water Assessment Tool

SWAT model is very useful in watershed to stream bowl scale model to reenact the quality and amount of surface and ground water and foresee the natural effect of land utilize, arrive administration practices, and environmental change. SWAT is generally utilized as a part of evaluating soil disintegration aversion and control, non-point source contamination control and territorial oversee SWAT is a waterway bowl scale display created to measure the effect of land administration rehearses on water, dregs and rural concoction yields in extensive complex watersheds with differing soils, arrive utilize an administration conditions over significant lots of time. The principle parts of SWAT incorporate climate, surface spillover, return stream, permeation, evapotranspiration, transmission misfortunes, lake and repository stockpiling, edit development and water system, groundwater stream, reach directing, supplement and pesticide stacking, and water move in watersheds. In this model soil disintegration and sedimentation yield evaluated MUSLE condition. The hydrology display supplies appraisals of spillover volume and pinnacle overflow rate which, with the sub-watershed region, are utilized to figure the spillover erosive vitality variable.

Sedimentation=11.8(QsurfqpeakAhru) 0:56Kusle Cusle Pusle Lusle Fc frg. (4)

where Sed is the residue yield (t) on a given day, Qsurf is the surface spillover volume (mm ha-1), qpeak is the pinnacle overflow rate (m3 s-1), Ahru is the zone of the HRUs (ha), Kusle is the USLE soil erodibility factor, Cusle is the USLE cover and administration factor, Pusle is the USLE bolster hone factor, Lusle is the USLE topographic factor, and Fcfrg is the coarse section factor. The vehicle of dregs in the channel is controlled by concurrent task of two procedures: testimony and degrada-tion. SWAT demonstrate has two strategies for evaluating surface overflow: the SCS bend number technique and the Green– Ampt penetration strategy. One of the Limitations of the SWAT demonstrate is that it is reliant on numerous observational and semi-exact parameters.

CONCLUSIONS

Massive deforestation and careless land clearing in all aspects of the world, Rural, Urbanization and Infrastructure headway has realized broad soil erosion over the land surface. The level of soil erosion occurring in the domain is so far growing and is at present an important purpose behind concern. Exorbitant dregs stored in the supply impacts the limit and the significant presence of the repository. In the wake of concentrate the dirt disintegration its models we found that there is abundant extension for the exact recognizable proof of disintegration influenced zone and its cures. This examination displayed that models are incredibly feasible in organizing the catchment in light of Moderate, High and Severely affected by soil disintegration. Assorted estimations of Rainfall erosivity, Soil Erodibility factor, Topographic factor, Practice factor and Land use configuration are fundamental for the evaluation of sedimentation and Prioritization of catchment. Relative investigation of the models should be possible for the recognizable proof of soil disintegration influenced territories. The results from soil disintegration models reenactment of methodology have been the fundamental data for arrive utilize arranging in the catchment, especially to better perceiving how the hydrological cycle, soils and vegetation interface between themselves. ANSWERS model can be utilized to anticipate the spatial conveyance of soil disintegration in the watershed. The Limitation of SWAT demonstrate is that it relies upon numerous observational and semiempirical parameters. At last we grasp that the models have been changed and evaluated noteworthily starting late, featuring the usage of Remote Sensing and GIS.

References

AlRukaibi Duaij, Alsulaili Abdalalrhman. GIS Based Modelling for Appropriate Selection of Landfill Sites. *J. of Engineering Research* 2017; 5(2): 87-109.

Berk, Ustun.. Soil Erosion Modelling by Using GIS & Remote Sensing : A Case Study, Ganos Mountain. The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences 2008; 37(7): 1681-1684.

Beasley, D. B., Huggins, L.F., and Monke, E.J.. ANSWERS: A model for watershed planning. Trans. of the ASAE 1980; 23(4):938-944.

Bhattarai, Rabin & Dutta, Dushmata. Estimation of Soil Erosion and Sediment Yield Using GIS at Catchment Scale. Springer Science + Business Media B.V. 2009; 1447–1465

Chang J. Tiao., Byes D Travis. Development of Erosion Hotspots for a Watershed. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering* 2013; 139(12): 1011-1017.

Flanagan, D.C., and M.A. Nearing,eds..USDA Water Erosion Prediction Project Hillslope Profile and Watershed Model Documentation. NSERL Report No.10. Western Lafayette, Ind.:USDA-ARS National Soil Erosion Research Laboratory 1995.

George Ashiagbor., Eric K Forkuo, Prosper Laari, Raymond Aabeyir. Modeling soil erosion using RUSLE and GIS tools. *International Journal of Remote Sensing & Geoscience* (*IJRSG*) 2013; 2(4): 172-224.

Irons, J. R., Lachhowski, H., and Peterson, C. Remote sensing of surface mines: A Comparative Study Of Sensor Systems, Proceedings of the 14th International Symposium on Remote Sensing of the Environment. San Jose, Costa Rica, Ann Arbor Michigan: Environmental Research Institute of Michigan, 1980; 1041-1053.

Kandrika, Sreenivas and Dwivedi, R.S.. Assessment of the impact of mining on agricultural landusing erosion-deposition model and space borne multispectral data *India Journal of Spatial Hydrology*, 2003 3(2).

Kinnell P.I.A.. A Comparison of the abilities of the USLE-M, RUSLE2 and WEPP to model event erosion from bare fallow areas Science of the Total Environment 2017; 596: 32-42.

Legg, C. A. 1986. Monitoring Of Open Cast Coal Mining And Reclamation Works In The United Kingdom Using MSS And TM Imagery, Proceedings of the 20th International Symposiumon Remote Sensing of the Environment, San Jose, Costa Rica, April, (Ann Arbor Michigan: Environmental Research Institute of Michigan), 1990; 2, 931-941.

Lillesand, T. M., Lathrop, R. G., and Vandre Castle, J. R. 1987. Towards an integrated systemfor satellite remote sensing of water quality in the Great Lakes, Proceedings of the FallsASPRS Meeting, Reno N. V. (Virginia: American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing.), 342-347.

Mechaffie, P. H., and Seargent, R. E. 1985. Detection And Delineation Of Mine Related Subsidence In Western Kentucky Coalfields, ASCM-ASPRS Fall Meet Proceedings, Indianapolis, 8-13th September, (Falls Church Virginia: American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing and American Congress on Surveying and Mapping), 538-554.

Mitasova *et al* 1996. Modelling topographic potential for erosion and deposition using GIS, *International Journal of Geographical Information Systems,* 10 (5): 629-641.

Mitasova, H., Hofierka, J., Zlocha, M., and Iverson, L.R.. Modelling topographic potential for erosion and deposition using GIS. *International Journal of Geographic InformationSystems*, 1996; 10(5): 629-641.

Murthy, M.S.R., Subramanian, S.K. and Dutt, C.B.S. Environmental impact analysis of bauxite mining, Eastern Ghats, Andhra Pradesh using remote sensing data, NNRMS Bulletin, Dept. of Space, Govt. of India, Bangalore, (B) 1997; 21: 30-35.

Narayana V. V. Dhruva., Babu Ram.,. Estimation of Soil Erosion in India. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering* 109 (4): 1983; 419-434.

Narcisa G. Pricope. Assessment of Spatial Patterns of Sediment Transport and Delivery for Soil and Water Conservation Programs. *Journal of Spatial Hydrology*, 2009; 9(1)

Nicks, A.D., Lane, J.L., Gander, G.A., 1995. Weather generator. In: Flanagan, D.C., Nearing, M.A. (Eds.), USDA-Water Erosion Prediction Project: Hillslope Profile and Watershed Model Documentation. USDA-ARSMWA-SWCS, West Lafayette, IN, 2.1–2.22

Parks N. F., Peterson, G. W., and Baumer, G. M.. High resolution remote sensing of spatially and spectrally complex coal surface mines of Central Pennsylvania: A Comparison between SPOT, MSS and Landsat-TM. Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 1987 53: 415- 420.

Prasad B., Tiwari H. L. GIS based soil erosion Modelling. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology* (*IJCIET*) 2016.; 7(6): 166-171.

Repic, R. L., Lee, J. K., and Mausel, P. W. An analysis of selected water parameters in surface coal mines using multispectral videography, Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing, 1991; 57: 1589-1596.

Shen Z.Y., Gong Y.W., Hong Y. H. Li Q.. A Comparison of WEPP and SWAT for modelling soil erosion of the Zhangjiachong Watershed in the Three Gorges Reservoir Area, Agricultural Water Management 2009; 96: 1435-1442.

Van der Knijff, J.M., Jones, R.J.A., Montanarella, L.. Soil Erosion risk Assessment in Europe, European Commission, European Soil Bureau. 2000.

Wischmeier, W.H., Smith, D.D.,. Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses: a Guide to Conservation Planning, Agriculture Handbook. USDA-ARS, USA. 1965, 282

Wischmeier, W.H., Smith, D.D., Predicting Rainfall Erosion Losses: a Guide to Conservation Planning, Agriculture Handbook 537. USDA-ARS, USA, 1978.

Young *et al*. AGNPS: A nonpoint- source pollution model for evaluating agricultural watersheds, *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation.* 1989; 44(2): 168-173.

How to cite this article:

Prasad, B and Tiwari, H.L.2018, A Comparative Study of Different Soil Erosion Models In Practice. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 9(5), pp. 27032-27035. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0905.2172

****