

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 9, Issue, 6(D), pp. 27481-27484, June, 2018 International Journal of Recent Scientific Re*r*earch

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

WATER QUALITY INDEX OF ALISAGAR LAKE, NIZAMABAD DISTRICT, TELANGANA

Veerendra Babu A1*., Seeta Y² and Manikya Reddy P³

^{1,3}Department of Botany, Osmania University, Hyderabad ²Department of Environmental Science, Osmania University, Hyderabad

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0906.2268

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 16th March, 2018 Received in revised form 25th April, 2018 Accepted 23rd May, 2018 Published online 28th June, 2018

Key Words:

Surface water, Physico- chemical characteristics, Weighted arithmetic mean method and Water quality index. The present paper aims at determining the Water Quality Index (WQI) of Alisagar lake, Nizamabad district in Telangana, India. Which is 13 km from Nizamabad and 2 km off the Nizamabad-Basar road. It Coordinates 18°56′24″N 78°06′06″E. Water samples from the surface were collected at three sampling stations at monthly intervals for a period of 2 years (June-2014 to May-2016). The samples were analysed for ten physico-chemical parameters as per the standard procedures of APHA, (2005). pH, alkalinity, chlorides, DO, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, nitrates, sulphates and total dissolved solids for evaluating Water Quality Index. Station wise Water Quality Index calculations were carried out and the result of the present investigation exhibited the Water Quality Index values 64.35, 67.26 and 69.83 at station I, II and III respectively. The water quality rating study clearly shows that, the status of the water body is oligotrophic and it is suitable for the human consumption.

Copyright © Veerendra Babu A *et al*, 2018, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Fresh water has been of little importance to human beings and other organisms of the environment for sustenance of life and maintaining the balance of the nature. Lentic water bodies are becoming very important resources throughout the world because of the primary concern of man were thought to be for meeting his basic requirements (Krishan et al., 2016). A water quality index was developed in order to integrate the composite influence of various physical, chemical and biological parameters measured by the Bureau of Reclamation. This index depends upon weights of parameters which were selected on the basis of a policy of land use in the area as well as considering nature and the amount of the polluted material and pattern of the water quality (Puri, et al., 2011). Using these rating curves and associated weightings, arithmetic water quality indices were calculated and on this basis the spatial distribution of water with different qualities was defined for the study area. The water quality index system, which was used for the first time in Iran, also served as a satisfactory means of unambiguous communication between experts and the public (Bharti and Katyal, 2011, Kankal et al., 2012 and Hossain et al., 2013).

WQI indicates the quality of water in terms of index number which represents overall quality of water for any intended use (Ravikumar *et al.*, 2013 and Srinivas *et al.*, 2017). It is defined as a rating reflecting the composite influence of different water quality parameters were taken into consideration for the calculation of water quality index (WQI) .The indices are among the most effective ways to communicate the information on water quality trends to the general public or to the policy makers and in water quality management (Walsh and Wheeler, 2012). In formulation of water quality index the relative importance of various parameters depends on intended use of water. Mostly it is done from the point of view of its suitability for human consumption (Yogendra and Puttaiah, 2008).

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Alisagar reservoir is located in Nizamabad district in Telangana, India. Which it is 13 km from Nizamabad and 2 km off the Nizamabad-Basar road. It Coordinates 18°56′24″N 78°06′06″E. In the year 1931, the Alisagar reservoir was built by the order of the Nizam of Hyderabad. Later in the year 1985, the deer park was established with an aim to offer a safe haven to several species of deer. A natural habitat was created for the deer. Dense vegetation can be witnessed in this region. Water samples from the surface were collected at all sampling stations in polythene containers (2 Liters Capacity) at monthly

stations in polythene containers (2 Liters Capacity) at monthly intervals for a period of 2 years (June-2014 to May-2016). The samples were analysed for ten physico-chemical parameters as

^{*}Corresponding author: Veerendra Babu A

Department of Botany, Osmania University, Hyderabad

per the standard procedures of APHA (2005). pH, alkalinity, chlorides, dissolved oxygen, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, total dissolved solids and sulphates were the parameters considered for the calculation of Water Quality Index.

WQI Calculation

Based on their relative importance in the overall quality of water for drinking purposes and considerable effects on primary health each of the chemical parameters was assigned a weight (*wi*) (Table: 1).

5 was the maximum weight assigned to the parameters which are important in maintaining water quality and have prominent effect on water quality. The parameters which exhibit considerably low harmful effects were assigned a weight of 2. Computing the relative weight (Wi) of each parameter Eq. 1 (Table: 1). Present the weight (wi) and calculated relative weight (Wi) values for each parameter. The concentration of each parameter was divided by its respective standard according to the guidelines laid down by BIS (1998) for computing a quality rating scale (qi).This result was multiplied by 100 using Eq. 2. For WQI computation, the water quality sub-index (SIi) for each chemical parameter is first determined, which is then used to determine the WQI as per the Eqs.3 and 4 (Chaterjee and Raziuddin, 2002).

The concentration of each parameter was divided by its respective standard according to the guidelines laid down by BIS (1998) for computing a quality rating scale (qi). This result was multiplied by 100 using Eq. 2. For WQI computation, the water quality sub-index (*SIi*) for each chemical parameter is first determined, which is then used to determine the WQI as per the Eqs. 3 and 4.

$$W i = W_{i} \sum_{n=1}^{n} W_i = 1$$
⁽¹⁾

Where W_i is the relative weight, wi is the weight of each parameter and n is the number of parameters.

$$\mathbf{q} = (Ci|Si)100 \tag{2}$$

Where qi = quality rating, Ci = concentration of each chemical parameter in each water sample in mg/L, Si = Indian drinking water standard (BIS, 1998) for each chemical parameter in mg/L except for pH.

$$SI = Wiqi \tag{3}$$

$$WQI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} SIi$$
(4)

Where SI_i is the sub-index of ith parameter; qi is the rating based on concentration of i^{th} parameter and n is the number of parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Water quality index (WQI) provides information about water quality in a single value. WQI is commonly used for the detection and evaluation of water pollution and may be defined as a reflection of composite influence of different quality parameters on the overall quality of water (Gupta *et al.*, 2009 and John Mohammad *et al.*, 2015).

For better understanding of the variation also, Table 4 explains water quality classification based on WQI criteria for different ranges of WQI values.

In the present study pH value remains alkaline throughout the study period and it ranged from 8.2 to 8.8 at all the stations. Bicarbonates during the study period's minimum value were 212.06 mg/L and maximum was 288.36 mg/L. The values of chlorides range from 102.20 mg/L to 189.26 mg/L. In the Lake, the amount of dissolved oxygen recorded between 5.8 mg/L to 13.2 mg/L. Total hardness of the lake, is in between 134 mg/L to 220 mg/L. In the lake Magnesium content ranges between 23.92 to 28.7 mg/L. Sulphates were maximum 38.32 mg/L and minimum in 32.32 mg/L.

The average analytic results of each parameter during the period of investigation are summarized table 2a-2c. Station wise Water Quality Index calculations are depicted in the Table 2a, 2b and 2c. The result of the present investigation exhibited the Water Quality Index values 64.35, 67.26 and 69.83 at station I, II and III respectively. From these results the average water quality index of the lake was found to be 67.14 (Table: 3).

From the foregoing observations, the physico-chemical parameters indicate high dissolved oxygen, low nitrate concentrations indicates the oligotrophic nature of the water body. A relatively lower concentration of chlorides and sulphates also indicate the water is suitable for domestic use. Hence, application of water quality index technique for the overall assessment of the water quality of a water body is useful tool. It can safely be considered that the values of WQI in the present investigation were reported to be less than 75, indicating that the water is suitable for human consumption.

Table 1 The weight and relative weight of each of the

 Physico-chemical parameters used for WQI determination

| S.No | Parameters | BIS Desirable limits | Weight (wi) | Relative weight (Wi) |
|------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| 1 | pH | 8.5 | 3 | 0.083 |
| 2 | Alkalinity | 200 | 2 | 0.055 |
| 3 | Chlorides | 250 | 3 | 0.083 |
| 4 | Total Hardness | 300 | 3 | 0.083 |
| 5 | Calcium | 75 | 2 | 0.055 |
| 6 | Magnesium | 30 | 2 | 0.055 |
| 7 | Nitrate | 45 | 5 | 0.138 |
| 8 | Sulphate | 200 | 3 | 0.083 |
| 9 | Total Dissolved Solids | 1000 | 5 | 0.138 |
| 10 | Dissolved Oxygen | 6 | 6 | 0.138 |

 Table 2a Water Quality Index (WQI) Calculation at Station-I

| S.NO | Parameter | Concentration s of each parameter(Ci) | BIS Desirable limit (Si) | Weight (wi) | Relative Weight (Wi) | qi | SI (Wiqi) |
|------|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|---------|--|
| 1 | pН | 8.47 | 8.5 | 3 | 0.090909 | 99.6471 | 9.058 |
| 2 | Alkalinity | 281.57 | 200 | 2 | 0.060606 | 140.785 | 8.532 |
| 3 | Chlorides | 134.89 | 250 | 3 | 0.090909 | 53.956 | 4.905 |
| 4 | Total Hardness | 156.73 | 300 | 3 | 0.090909 | 52.2433 | 4.749 |
| 5 | Calcium | 55.37 | 75 | 2 | 0.060606 | 73.8267 | 4.474 |
| 6 | Magnesium | 26.22 | 30 | 2 | 0.060606 | 87.4 | 5.296 |
| 7 | Nitrate | 0.68 | 45 | 5 | 0.151515 | 1.51111 | 0.228 |
| 8 | Sulphate Total | 38.32 | 200 | 3 | 0.090909 | 19.16 | 1.741 |
| 9 | Dissolved Solids | 264.4 | 1000 | 5 | 0.151515 | 26.44 | 4.006 |
| 10 | Dissolved Oxygen | 8.46 | 6 | 5 | 0.151515 | 141 | 21.363 |
| | 50 | | | $\sum_{33} wi =$ | $\sum Wi = 1$ | | $\sum_{\substack{SI = 64.35\\7}} SI = 64.35$ |

| S.NO | Parameters | Concentration of each parameter(Ci) | BIS Desirable limit (Si) | Weight (wi) | Relative Weight (Wi) | qi | SI (Wiqi) |
|------|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------------|
| 1 | pН | 8.5 | 8.5 | 3 | 0.090909 | 100 | 9.090 |
| 2 | Alkalinity | 283.6 | 200 | 2 | 0.060606 | 141.8 | 8.593 |
| 3 | Chlorides | 137.59 | 250 | 3 | 0.090909 | 55.036 | 5.003 |
| 4 | Total Hardness | 184.2 | 300 | 3 | 0.090909 | 61.4 | 5.581 |
| 5 | Calcium | 45.91 | 75 | 2 | 0.060606 | 61.213 | 3.709 |
| 6 | Magnesium | 23.92 | 30 | 2 | 0.060606 | 79.733 | 4.832 |
| 7 | Nitrate | 0.71 | 45 | 5 | 0.151515 | 1.577 | 0.239 |
| 8 | Sulphate | 35.77 | 200 | 3 | 0.090909 | 17.885 | 1.625 |
| 9 | Total Dissolved Solids | 310.36 | 1000 | 5 | 0.151515 | 31.036 | 4.702 |
| 10 | Dissolved Oxygen | 9.46 | 6 | 5 | 0.151515 | 157.666 | 23.888 |
| | | | | $\sum wi = 33$ | $\sum Wi = 1$ | | $\sum SI = 67.268$ |

Table 2c Water Quality Index (WQI) Calculation at Station-III

| S.NO | Parameters | Concentration of each parameter(Ci) | BIS Desirable limit (Si) | Weight (wi) | Relative Weight (Wi) | qi | SI (Wiqi) |
|------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| 1 | pН | 8.51 | 8.5 | 3 | 0.090909 | 100.117 | 9.101 |
| 2 | Alkalinity | 290 | 200 | 2 | 0.060606 | 145 | 8.787 |
| 3 | Chlorides | 149.75 | 250 | 3 | 0.090909 | 59.9 | 5.445 |
| 4 | Total Hardness | 178.96 | 300 | 3 | 0.090909 | 59.653 | 5.423 |
| 5 | Calcium | 43.8 | 75 | 2 | 0.060606 | 58.4 | 3.539 |
| 6 | Magnesium | 27.3 | 30 | 2 | 0.060606 | 91 | 5.515 |
| 7 | Nitrate | 0.82 | 45 | 5 | 0.151515 | 1.822 | 0.276 |
| 8 | Sulphate | 32.32 | 200 | 3 | 0.090909 | 16.16 | 1.469 |
| 9 | Total Dissolved Solids | 343.2 | 1000 | 5 | 0.151515 | 34.32 | 5.2 |
| 10 | Dissolved Oxygen | 9.95 | 6 | $\sum_{i=33}^{5} wi = 33$ | $0.151515 \sum Wi = 1$ | 165.833 | 25.126 $\Sigma SI = 69.883$ |

Table 3 Average water quality status of Alisagar during2014 - 2016

| S.NO | Station | WQI | Status |
|------|-------------|-------|--------|
| 1 | Station-I | 64.35 | Good |
| 2 | Station-II | 67.26 | Good |
| 3 | Station-III | 69.83 | Good |

Table 4 WQI and corresponding water quality status

| S.NO | WQI | Status | Possible Usages |
|------|---------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | 0-50 | Excellent | Drinking, irrigation and industrial |
| 2 | 50-100 | Good | Domestic, irrigation and industrial |
| 3 | 100-200 | Poor | Irrigation |
| 4 | 200-300 | Very poor | Restricted use for irrigation |
| 5 | >300 | Unfit for drinking | Proper treatment required before use. |

CONCLUSIONS

The present analysis reveals that the physico-chemical parameters, alkalinity, chlorides, BOD, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, sulphates and total dissolved solids are in low concentration at all the stations and all the physico-chemical parameters were less than the BIS (1998) permissible limits. Alisagar lake is free from pollution and representing oligotrophic nature.

The permissible WQI for human consumption is up to 100. It is clear from the table: 4 WQI for Alisagar lake is suitable for drinking purpose. Application of Water Quality Index (WQI) in this study has been found useful in assessing the overall quality of water and to get rid of judgment on quality of the water. This method appears to be more systematic and gives comparative evaluation of the water quality of sampling stations. In the present investigation physico-chemical and water quality index data analyzed indicates that the water is oligotrophic. Hence it can be used safely for different purposes, such as drinking, domestic and irrigation purposes.

Acknowledgement

The author is highly thankful to Head, Department of Botany for providing laboratory facilities and one of the authors (Y. Seeta) also thankful to DST-New Delhi for providing Inspire fellowship.

References

- APHA, (2005). Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 21st Edn. APHA, AWWA, WPCF, Washington DC, USA.
- Bharti N, Katyal D, (2011). Water quality indices used for surface water vulnerability assessment. Int J Environ Sci. 2(1):154–173.
- Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) (1998). Drinking water specifications (revised 2003), IS: 10500.
- Chaterjee C. and Raziuddin M, (2002). Determination of Water Quality Index (WQI) of a degraded river in Asanol industrial area, Ranigan, Wast Bengal. *Nature, Environment and Technology*. 1(2):181-189.
- Gupta, Priti, Monika Vishwakarma and Pushpam Rawtani, (2009). Assessment of water quality parameters of Kerwa dam for drinking suitability. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science*. 1(2); 53-55.
- Hossain M.A., Sujaul, I.M and Nasly, M.A. (2013). Water Quality Index: an indicator of Surface water Pollution in Eastern part of Peninsular Malaysia, *Research Journal* of Recent Sciences. 2 (10): 10-17.

- John Mohammad M, P.V. Krishna, O.A. Lamma and Shabbar Khan, (2015). Analysis of Water Quality using Limnological Studies of Wyra Reservoir, Khammam District, Telangana, India. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 4(2): 880-895.
- Kankal, N.C, Indurkar, M.M., Gudadhe, S.K and Wate, S.R. (2012). Water Quality Index of Surface Water Bodies of Gujarat, Indian. *Asian J.Exp.Sci.* 26(1): 39-48.
- Krishan G, Kumar CP, Purandara BK, Singh S, Ghosh NC, et al. (2016). Assessment of variation in water quality index (WQI) in north Goa, India. Current World Environment.
- Puri, P.J., Yenkie, M.K.N., Sangal, S.P., Gandhare, N.V., Sarote, G.B and Dhanorkar, D.B. (2011). Surface Water (Lakes) Quality Assessment in Nagpur city (India) Based on Water Quality Index (WQI), *Rasayan J Chem.* 4(1): 43-48.
- Ravikumar P., Mohammad Aneesul Mehmood, R. K. and Somashekar, (2013).Water quality index to determine the surface water quality of Sankey tank and Mallathahalli lake, Bangalore urban district, Karnataka, India. *Appl Water Sci.*, 3:247–261.
- Srinivas. L., Y.Seeta, P. Manikya Reddy. (2017). Assessment of Water Quality Index in Lower Manair Dam, Karimnagar district, Telangana. *International Journal of Recent Research Aspects.* 4 (4): 6-10.
- Walsh P, Wheeler W (2012). Water quality index aggregation and cost benefit analysis. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Working Paper. 12-05.
- Yogendra K. And Puttaiah E.T, (2008). Determination of Water Quality Index of an Urban Waterbody in Shimoga Town, Karnataka. Proceedings of Taal 2007. The 12th world lake conference. 342-346.

How to cite this article:

Veerendra Babu A et al.2018, Water Quality Index of Alisagar Lake, Nizamabad District, Telangana. Int J Recent Sci Res. 9(6), pp. 27481-27484. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0906.2268
