



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research
Vol. 9, Issue, 7(A), pp. 27777-27781, July, 2018

**International Journal of
Recent Scientific
Research**

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS USING COMPATIBLE MAPS IN D-METRIC SPACES OVER TOPOLOGICAL SEMI FIELD

Rajesh Tokse¹, Sanjay Choudhari², Kamal Wadhwa³ and Vineet Kumar Agarawal⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Corporate Institute of Research and Technology, Bhopal (India)-462021

²Department of Mathematics, Govt. P.G. Collage, Hoshangabad M.P. India

³Department of Mathematics, Govt. P.G. College, Pipariya (M.P.), India

⁴Department of Mathematics, Govt. Home science P.G. College Hosangabad M.P., India,

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0907.2329>

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 4th April, 2018

Received in revised form 18th

May, 2018

Accepted 16th June, 2018

Published online 28th July, 2018

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of compatible mappings in D- metric spaces over Topological semi field and prove the common fixed point theorem.

Key Words:

Compatible mappings, Fixed point
Topological space, D- metric space, semi
field, topological semi field,

Copyright © Rajesh Tokse et al, 2018, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The notion of 2-metric space was investigated by Gähler [6],[7],[8]. The notion of generalized metric space (D-metric space) is introduced by Dhage [5]. He proved some results on fixed point theorems for a self mapping of a complete and bounded D-metric space. A number of fixed point theorems have been proved for 2-metric spaces. However Hsiao showed that all such theorems are trivial in the sense that the iterations of f are collinear.

Fortunately, in the case of D-metric spaces the situation is not alike sees Ahmad *et al.* [1]. Here it should be noted that Singh, Kumar and Ganguly [9] in their paper explained their differences with the argument of Hsiao with example. Probably because the paper was published in Hindi, it could not find much publicity in the international area.

Antonovskii *et al.* [2] defined topological semi field in 1960. Sharma and Sharma [11] proved a fixed point theorem in 2-metric space over topological semi field. Recently the concept of compatible maps in D-metric space introduced by Sing and

Sharma [10] and they proved common fixed point theorems for compatible maps in generalized metric spaces.

In this paper we wish to present the result on common fixed point theorems using compatible maps in D-metric space over topological semi field.

Preliminaries

We recall some definitions

Definition 2.1: We shall call a commutative associative topological ring E , a topological semi field if there is isolated in some set K satisfying:

1. $K + K \subset K$; $KK \subset K$,
2. $K - K = E$
3. The least upper bound and greatest lower bound exists,
4. For $a, b \in K$ the equation $ax = b$ has at least one solution in K ,
5. The intersection $K \cap (-K)$ contains only the zero element of the ring.

*Corresponding author: **Rajesh Tokse**

Research Scholar, MGCGVV, Chitrakoot (MP) India

Remark 2.1: The axioms for a topological semi field are so chosen that its properties recall those of the fields of real numbers. In fact, it was proved by Antonovskii *et al.* [10], that any topological semi field contains topological fields isomorphic with the real line.

Remark 2.2: We shall call elements of the set K positive elements of the semi field E and elements of the set $K - K$ will be called boundary elements of the semi field E .

Remark 2.3: We agree to write the relation $x - y \in K$, $x - y \in K$ also in the form $x > y$, $x \geq y$ (or in the form $y < x$, $y \leq x$). In particular, the inequality $x > 0$ means $x \in K$ and $x \geq 0$ means that $x \in K$.

Remark 2.4: The set K contains elements, which are different from zero.

Definition 2.2: Let E be a semi field and K be the set of all its positive elements. The non-empty set X is called a D-metric space over the topological semi field E if there exists a real function $D: X \times X \times X \rightarrow K$ that satisfies the following conditions:

(D-1) $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ (non-negativity) and the equality holds if and only if $x = y = z$,

(D-2) $D(x, y, z) = D(y, x, z) = D(y, z, x) \dots$

(Symmetry),

(D-3) $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z)$ for all x, y, z, a in X .

(Rectangle inequality)

Definition 2.3: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in a D-metric space (X, D) over a topological semi field E is said to be D-convergent and converges to a point $x \in X$ if, $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m, x) \in U$ for all $x \in X$ where $U \in E$ is the neighborhood of the origin.

Definition 2.4: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ of points in (X, D) over a topological semi field E is said to be D-Cauchy if $\lim_{m, n, p \rightarrow \infty} D(x_n, x_m, x_p) \in U$.

Definition 2.5: A D-metric space over topological semi field E is called D-bounded if there exists a constant M such that $D(x, y, z) \leq M$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 2.6: A self-mapping T of a D-metric space (X, D) over a topological semi field E is said to be continuous at $x \in X$ if $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$, whenever $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Definition 2.7: Two self mappings A and B of a D-metric space (X, D) over a topological semi field E are said to be D-weakly commuting if $D(ABx, BAx, z) \leq D(Ax, Bx, z)$ Where $y = ABx$ (or BAx) and $z = Ax$ (or Bx) for all $x \in X$.

Definition 2.8 : Two self mappings A and B of a D-metric space (X, D) over a topological semi field E are said to be D-compatible if

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(ABx, Bax, z) = 0$ where $z = ABx_n$ (or BAx_n) whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = y$, for some y in X .

Clearly, commutativity implies D-weak commutativity and D-weak commutativity implies D-compatibility; but neither

implication is reversible always as this can be seen in Singh and Sharma [11].

Remark 2.5: If $E = R$ be the field of real numbers, we arrive at the definition of D-metric space. (See Dhage [12], [13]).

Further, Singh and Sharma [14] proved the following.

Proposition 2.1: Let A and B be D-compatible self mappings of D-metric space X .

1. If $Ay = By$, then $ABy = BAy$,
2. If $Ax_n, Bx_n \rightarrow y$, for some y in X then.
 - a. $BAx_n \rightarrow Ay$, if A is continuous,
 - b. If A and B are continuous at y , then $Ax = By$ and $ABy = BAy$.

Singh and Sharma [15] proved the following.

Theorem 2.1: Let A, B, F and G be self mappings of a complete bounded D-metric space (X, D) satisfying

1. $A(X) \subseteq G(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq F(X)$
2. One of A, B, F or G is continuous
3. Pairs of mappings $\{A, F\}$ and $\{B, G\}$ are D-compatible
4. $D(Ax, By, z) \leq \phi[\max\{D(Fx, Gy, z), \alpha D(Fx, By, z), \alpha D(Gy, Ax, z)\}]$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ where ϕ is non-decreasing function and $0 < \alpha \leq 1/3$.

Then A, B, F and G have a unique common fixed point in X .

Inspired by the above result, we prove the following, using proposition 1.

MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings of a complete and bounded

D-metric space over a topological semi field E satisfying:

1. $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$ and $B(X) \subseteq S(X)$,
2. One of A, B, S or T is continuous,
3. Pair of mappings $\{A, S\}$ and $\{B, T\}$ are D-compatible,
4. $D(Ax, By, z) \leq \alpha D(Sx, Ty, z) + \beta \{D(Ax, Sx, z) + D(By, Ty, z)\}$

$+ \gamma \{D(Ax, Ty, z) + D(By, Sx, z)\}$,

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and non negative reals α, β, γ such that $\frac{1}{2} < k^{l+1} < 1$ where $k = (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary element in X . Then from (1.1), there exists x_1, x_2 in X such that

$y_1 = Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and $y_2 = Bx_1 = Sx_2$.

Inductively construct a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$ and

$y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}, n = 1, 2, \dots$

Using (1.4) for $m \geq 2$, we have

$D(y_1, y_2, y_m) = D(Ax_0, Bx_1, y_m)$
 $\leq \alpha D(Sx_0, Tx_1, y_m) + \beta \{D(Ax_0, Sx_0, y_m) + D(Bx_1, Tx_1, y_m)\}$
 $+ \gamma \{D(Ax_0, Tx_1, y_m) + D(Bx_1, Sx_0, y_m)\}$
 $\leq \alpha D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + \beta \{D(y_1, y_0, y_m) + D(y_2, y_1, y_m)\}$
 $+ \gamma \{D(y_1, y_1, y_m) + D(y_2, y_0, y_m)\}$,

Or

$$(1 - \beta)D(y_1, y_2, y_m) \leq (\alpha + \beta) D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + \gamma \{D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + D(y_1, y_0, y_m) + D(y_2, y_1, y_m) + D(y_2, y_0, y_1)\},$$

$$(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_1, y_2, y_m) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma) D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + \gamma D(y_0, y_1, y_2),$$

Or

$$D(y_1, y_2, y_m) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + \gamma/(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_0, y_1, y_2)$$

$$1. = k D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k_1 D(y_0, y_1, y_2),$$

where $k = (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)$ and $k_1 = \gamma/(1 - \beta - \gamma)$. Similarly, using (1.4) for any $m \geq 3$, we have

$$D(y_2, y_3, y_m) = D(Bx_1, Ax_2, y_m) = D(Ax_2, Bx_1, y_m) \leq \alpha D(Sx_2, Tx_1, y_m) + \beta \{D(Ax_2, Sx_1, y_m) + D(Bx_1, Tx_1, y_m)\} + \gamma \{D(Ax_2, Tx_1, y_m) + D(Bx_1, Sx_2, y_m)\},$$

$$\leq \alpha D(y_2, y_1, y_m) + \beta \{D(y_3, y_2, y_m) + D(y_2, y_1, y_m)\} + \gamma \{D(y_3, y_1, y_m) + D(y_2, y_2, y_m)\},$$

$$\leq \alpha D(y_2, y_1, y_m) + \beta \{D(y_3, y_2, y_m) + D(y_2, y_1, y_m)\} + \gamma \{D(y_2, y_1, y_m) + D(y_3, y_2, y_m) + D(y_3, y_1, y_2) + D(y_1, y_2, y_m)\},$$

or

$$(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_2, y_3, y_m) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma) D(y_2, y_1, y_m) + \gamma D(y_1, y_2, y_3),$$

$$\text{i.e. } D(y_2, y_3, y_m) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_1, y_2, y_m) + \gamma/(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_1, y_2, y_3) = k D(y_1, y_2, y_m) + k_1 D(y_1, y_2, y_3),$$

or

$$D(y_2, y_3, y_m) \leq k [k D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k_1 D(y_0, y_1, y_2)] + k_1 D(y_1, y_2, y_3).$$

i.e.

$$2. D(y_2, y_3, y_m) \leq k^2 D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k k_1 D(y_0, y_1, y_2) + k_1 D(y_1, y_2, y_3).$$

Further we have

$$D(y_3, y_4, y_m) = D(Ax_2, Bx_3, y_m) \leq \alpha D(Sx_2, Tx_3, y_m) + \beta \{D(Ax_2, Sx_2, y_m) + D(Bx_3, Tx_3, y_m)\}$$

$$+ \gamma \{D(Ax_2, Tx_3, y_m) + D(Bx_3, Sx_2, y_m)\},$$

or

$$D(y_3, y_4, y_m) \leq \alpha D(y_2, y_3, y_m) + \beta \{D(y_3, y_2, y_m) + D(y_4, y_3, y_m)\}$$

$$+ \gamma \{D(y_3, y_3, y_m) + D(y_4, y_2, y_m)\},$$

or

$$D(y_3, y_4, y_m) \leq \alpha D(y_2, y_3, y_m) + \beta \{D(y_3, y_2, y_m) + D(y_3, y_4, y_m)\}$$

$$+ \gamma \{D(y_2, y_3, y_m) + D(y_3, y_2, y_m) + D(y_4, y_3, y_2) + D(y_4, y_2, y_m)\},$$

i.e.

$$(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_3, y_4, y_m) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma) D(y_2, y_3, y_m) + \gamma D(y_2, y_3, y_4),$$

$$D(y_3, y_4, y_m) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_2, y_3, y_m) + \gamma/(1 - \beta - \gamma) D(y_2, y_3, y_4) = k D(y_2, y_3, y_m) + k_1 D(y_2, y_3, y_4),$$

or

$$D(y_3, y_4, y_m) \leq k [k^2 D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k k_1 D(y_0, y_1, y_2)] + k_1 D(y_1, y_2, y_3) + k_1 D(y_2, y_3, y_4),$$

or

$$D(y_3, y_4, y_m) \leq k^3 D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k^2 k_1 D(y_0, y_1, y_2) + k k_1 D(y_1, y_2, y_3) + k_1 D(y_2, y_3, y_4),$$

Similarly, using (1.4), we have

$$D(y_4, y_5, y_m) \leq k^4 D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k^3 k_1 D(y_0, y_1, y_2) + k^2 k_1 D(y_1, y_2, y_3) + k k_1 D(y_2, y_3, y_4) + k_1 D(y_3, y_4, y_5)$$

Proceeding in this way, we have

$$D(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_m) \leq k^n D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k_1 [k^{n+1} D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k^{n+2} D(y_1, y_2, y_3) + k^{n+3} D(y_2, y_3, y_4) + \dots + k D(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1})]$$

$$= k^n D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k_1 k^{n-1} D(y_0, y_1, y_m) + k_1 \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} D(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1})$$

or

$$D(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_m) \leq k^n M + k_1 k^{n-1} M + k_1 \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} M$$

$$D(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_m) \leq k^{n-1} (k + k_1) M + k_1 k^{n-1} M + k_1 \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} M$$

or

$$D(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_m) \leq k^{n-1} k_2 M + k_1 \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} M, \text{ where } k + k_1 = k_2, \text{ now for } p, t \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ we have}$$

$$D(y_n, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t}) \leq D(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+p+t}) + D(y_n, y_{n+p}, y_{n+1}) + D(y_{n+1}, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t}),$$

or

$$D(y_n, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t}) \leq 2k, k^{n-1} M + 2 k_1 \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} M + D(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+p+t}) + D(y_{n+1}, y_{n+p}, y_{n+2}) + D(y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t})$$

or

$$D(y_n, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t}) \leq 2k_1 k^{n-1} M + 2 k_1 \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} M + 2 k_2 k^n M + 2 k_1 \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r} M$$

$$+ D(y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t}) = 2 k_2 M (k^{n-1} + k^2) + 2 k_1 M [\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} k^{n-r}] + D(y_{n+2}, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t}),$$

.....
.....
.....

or

$$D(y_n, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t}) \leq 2 M k_2 [k^{n-1} + k^n + \dots + k^{n+p-3}]$$

$$+ 2 M k \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} + \sum_{r=1}^n k^{n-r} + \sum_{r=1}^{n+p-3} k^{n+p-r-3}]$$

$$+ D(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+t}) = 2 M k_2 [k^{n-1} + k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + (1/2) k^{n+p-2}]$$

$$+ 2 M k_1 [\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} k^{n-r-1} + \sum_{r=1}^n k^{n-r} + \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} k^{n-r+1} + \dots$$

$$+ (1/2) \sum_{r=1}^{n+p+1} k^{n+p-r-2}]$$

$$\text{Now if } (1/2) k^{l+1} < 1, \text{ then } 1 + k + k^2 + \dots + k^l < k^{l+1} + k^{l+1} + k^{l+1} + \dots$$

i.e.
 $\sum_{r=0}^l k^r < \sum_{r=l+1}^l k^r$.

Therefore

$$D(y_n, y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+l}) \leq 2 M k_2 [k^{n-1} + k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + (1/2) k^{n+p-2}]$$

$$+ 2 M k_1 [\sum_{r=n-1}^r k^r + \sum_{r=n}^r k^r + \sum_{r=n+1}^r k^r + \dots + (1/2) + \sum_{r=n+p-2}^r k^r]$$

$\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $k < 1$.

This shows that $\{y_n\}$ is a D-Cauchy sequence in X, by completeness of X, $\{y_n\}$ converges to some point u in X and also its subsequences $\{Ax_{2n}\}, \{Bx_{2n-1}\}, \{Sx_{2n}\}$ and $\{Tx_{2n+1}\}$ also converges to some u in X. Now by (1.2) one of the mapping A, B, S or T is continuous. Assume this true for S (The other alternatives will be treated in similar way). From the conclusion we just derived $Sx_{2n}, SSx_{2n} \rightarrow Su$. By D-compatibility of (A,S), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(SAx_{2n}, ASx_{2n}, SAx_{2n}) = 0$$

which yields $ASx_{2n} \rightarrow Su$. On the other hand by (1.4), we have

$$D(ASx_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, u) \leq \alpha D(SSx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, u) + \beta \{D(ASx_{2n}, SSx_{2n}, u) + D(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, u)\} + \gamma \{D(ASx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, u) + D(Bx_{2n+1}, SSx_{2n}, u)\}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$D(Su, u, u) \leq \alpha D(Su, u, u) + \beta \{D(Su, u, u) + D(u, u, u)\} + \gamma \{D(Su, u, u) + D(u, Su, u)\},$$

$$\text{or } D(Su, u, u) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma) D(Su, u, u),$$

which yields $Su = u$. Further,

$$D(Au, Bx_{2n+1}, u) \leq \alpha D(Su, Tx_{2n+1}, u) + \beta \{D(Au, Su, u) + D(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}, u)\} + \gamma \{D(Au, Tx_{2n+1}, u) + D(Bx_{2n+1}, Su, u)\}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$D(Au, u, u) \leq \alpha D(Su, u, u) + \beta \{D(Au, Su, u) + D(u, u, u)\} + \gamma \{D(Au, u, u) + D(u, Su, u)\},$$

$$\text{or } D(Au, u, u) \leq (\beta + \gamma) D(Au, u, u),$$

and this yields $Au = u$.

Since $A(X) \subseteq T(X)$, therefore there exists $v \in X$ such that $u = Tv = Su$. Hence by (1.4), we have

$$D(u, Bv, u) = D(Au, Bv, u) \leq \alpha D(Su, Tv, u) + \beta \{D(Au, Su, u) + D(Bv, Tv, u)\} + \gamma \{D(Au, Tv, u) + D(Bv, Su, u)\},$$

or

$$D(u, Bv, u) = D(Au, Bv, u) \leq \alpha D(u, Tv, u) + \beta \{D(u, u, u) + D(Bv, Tv, u)\} + \gamma \{D(u, Tv, u) + D(Bv, u, u)\}.$$

We get $D(u, Bv, u) = (\beta + \gamma) D(u, Bv, u)$, which gives $Bv = u = Tv$.

Hence by compatibility of (B,T) and from Proposition 1, we have $BTv = TBv$ or $Bu = Tu$.

Again by (1.4), we have

$$D(u, Tu, u) = D(Au, Bu, u) \leq \alpha D(Su, Tu, u) + \beta \{D(Au, Su, u) + D(Bu, Tu, u)\} + \gamma \{D(Au, Tu, u) + D(Bv, Su, u)\},$$

$$+ \gamma \{D(Au, Tu, u) + D(Bv, Su, u)\},$$

or

$$D(u, Tu, u) \leq \alpha D(u, Tu, u) + \beta \{D(u, u, u) + D(Tu, Tu, u)\} + \gamma \{D(u, Tu, u) + D(Tu, u, u)\},$$

This follows

$$D(u, Tu, u) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma) D(u, Tu, u).$$

Which yields $Tu = u$.

Hence $Au = Bu = Su = Tu = u$. i.e. u is a common fixed point of A, B, S and T.

Finally for uniqueness let $w (w \neq u)$ be another common fixed point of A, B, S and T. Then by (1.4), we have

$$D(u, w, u) = D(Au, Bw, u) \leq \alpha D(Su, Tw, u) + \beta \{D(Au, Su, u) + D(Bw, Tw, u)\} + \gamma \{D(Au, Tw, u) + D(Bw, Su, u)\},$$

or

$$D(u, w, u) \leq \alpha D(u, w, u) + \beta \{D(u, u, u) + D(w, w, u)\} + \gamma \{D(u, w, u) + D(w, u, u)\}.$$

This follows $D(u, w, u) \leq (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma) D(u, w, u)$, which yields that $u = w$.

This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.1: Let A and S be self mappings of a complete and bounded D-metric space (X,D) over a topological semi field E satisfying:

1. $A(X) \subseteq S(X)$,
2. one of A or S is continuous,
3. pair of mappings $\{A, S\}$ is D-compatible,
4. $D(Ax, Ay, z) \leq \alpha D(Sx, Sy, z) + \beta \{D(Ax, Sx, z) + D(Ay, Sy, z)\}$

$$+ \gamma \{D(Ax, Sy, z) + D(Ay, Sx, z)\},$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and non negative reals α, β, γ such that $\frac{1}{2} < k^{l+1} < 1$ where $k = (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma)$.

Then A and S have a unique common fixed point in X.

Finally, when $S = 1$ in Corollary 1, we get.

Corollary 3.2: Let A be a self mapping of a complete and bounded D-metric space (X,D) over a topological semi field E satisfying:

$$(1.9) \quad D(Ax, Ay, z)$$

$$\leq \alpha D(x, y, z) + \beta \{D(Ax, x, z) + D(Ay, y, z)\} + \gamma \{D(Ax, y, z) + D(Ay, x, z)\},$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and non negative reals α, β, γ such that $\frac{1}{2} < k^{l+1} < 1$ where

$$k = (\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma)/(1 - \beta - \gamma).$$

Then A has common fixed point in X.

References

1. Ahmed, B., Ashraf, M. and Rhoades, B.E. : Fixed point theory for Expansive mappings in D-metric spaces, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 32(10), 1513-1518
2. Antonoskii, M.Ya., Bottyonskii, V.G. and Sarymaskov, T.A. : Metric Space over semi field, Tashkent State University of Samar Kand.
3. Antonoskii, M.Ya., Bottyonskii, V.G. and Sarymaskov, T.A.: Topological Semi field, Tashkent State University, Tashkent.

4. Dhage, B.C.: Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed points, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*,84 (1992),329-336.
5. Dhage, B.C.: A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*,91(1999),475-480.
6. Gähler, S.: 2-metric Raume und ihre topologische Struktur, *Math. Nachr*,26 (1963),115-148
7. Gähler, S.: Linear 2-normierte Räume, *Math. Nachr.*, 28(1964),1-43.
8. Gähler, S.: Über 2-Banach Raume, *Math. Nachr.* 42(1969),335-347
9. Singh, S. L., Kumar, V. and Ganguli, A. : Coincidence and fixed points of family of mappings on 2-metric spaces and applications, *Vigyan Parishad Anusandhan Patrika*, Vol.32 (1989),17-38.
10. Singh, B. and Sharma, R.K.: Common fixed point via compatible maps in D- metric spaces, *Radovi Math.*,Vol.11(2002),145-153
11. Sharma, B. K. and Sharma, P. L.: Contractive type mappings on general 2-metric spaces, *Tamkang J. Math.* Vol. 7(1989), 219-222.

How to cite this article:

Rajesh Tokse *et al.*2018, Common Fixed Point Theorems Using Compatible Maps In D-Metric Spaces Over Topological Semi Field. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 9(7), pp. 27777-27781. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0907.2329>
