

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

#### **CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)**

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 9, Issue, 11(E), pp. 29770-29776, November, 2018 International Journal of Recent Scientific Re*r*earch

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

# **Research Article**

# ADDITIVE EFFECTS OF THE ENTOMOPATHOGENIC FUNGUS *BEAUVERIA* BASSIANA (BALSAMO) VUILLEMIN (HYPOCREALES: OPHIOCORDYCIPITACEAE) AND *APANTELES TARAGAMAE* VIERECK (HYMENOPTERA: BRACONIDAE), IN CONTROLLING ON THE LEGUME POD BORER MARUCA VITRATA FABRICIUS (LEPIDOPTERA: CRAMBIDAE)

# Joelle Toffa Mehinto<sup>1\*</sup>., Yeyinou Laura Estelle Loko<sup>1</sup>., Elie Ayitondji Dannon<sup>1</sup>., Karimou Zanzana<sup>2</sup>., Ouorou Kobi Douro Kpindou<sup>2</sup> and Appolinaire Adandonon<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Applied Entomology, Faculty of Sciences and Technology of Dassa (FAST-Dassa), National University of Sciences Technologies Engineering and Mathematics of Abomey (UNSTIM), BP 14, Dassa, Benin <sup>2</sup>University of Abomey Calavi 01 B.P 526. Tri Postal. Cotonou, Bénin <sup>3</sup>School of Crop and Seed Production and Management (EGPVS), University of Agriculture of Ketou (UAK), 08 BP 1055 Cotonou, Rep. Benin

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0911.2926

#### **ARTICLE INFO** ABSTRACT Laboratory and field experiments were carried out to assess the interactions patterns between two Article History: biocontrol agents of the legume pod borer Maruca vitrata. With the importation of the parasitoid Received 10th August, 2018 wasp Apanteles taragamae from Taiwan to Benin for a classical biological control against M. Received in revised form 2nd vitrata, it was important to evaluate its non target effects namely its interactions with other September, 2018 biocontrol agents in use such as the fungal entomopathogen Beauveria bassiana. In laboratory, a Accepted 26th October, 2018 concentration of 10<sup>9</sup> conidia/ml of B. bassiana isolate Bb115 was applied to Maruca larvae prior to Published online 28th November, 2018 their submission to parasitization by A. taragamae. In other experiments, M. vitrata larvae were parasitized first by females of A. taragamae before the fungal suspension application. A lot of M. Key Words: vitrata larvae were considered as control without parasitization and fungal suspension application. The number of dead larvae, A. taragamae cocoons, sporulated dead larvae were counted. In field Cowpea, Maruca vitrata, Beauveria trials, cowpea plants were sprayed with *B. bassiana* suspension at a dose of 75 g of spores powder. bassiana, Apanteles taragamae, Then, 28 m<sup>2</sup> cowpea plots were delimited and covered with net before the release of 5 A. taragamae Interaction, additive effect. mated females in each delimited cage. Results revealed that B. bassiana did not induce significant mortality in the parasitoid and might affect parasitic potential. The overall mortality rate averaged 52.07±0.9% in B. bassiana associated with A. taragamae treatments against 50.46±1.3% in control treatments. Moreover, additive effect was observed between the two biocontrol agents. Data were discussed with regard to the simultaneous use of the two biocontrol agents for controlling M. vitrata in cowpea.

**Copyright** © **Joelle Toffa Mehinto** *et al*, **2018**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* L. Walpers (Fabaceae) is one of the grain legumes worldwide produced and consumed particularly in West Africa. According to Atachi *et al.*, it ranks first from nutritional, agronomic, economic and socio-cultural point of view inBenin. Cowpea also is a source of vitamins, minerals, fats and oils. Its leaves can be consumed as fresh vegetables, while the plant after harvest is a valuable fodder for cattle.

Among the West African countries, Benin is the fifth producer after Nigeria, Niger, Burkina Faso and Mali. Every year, about 93,488 tons of cowpea are produced in Benin on around 115,000 ha. This production is still low to meed the needs of an increasing population. Indeed, cowpea production is limited by various constraints. Of these, biotic pressure from plant diseases and insect pests remain the major one affecting both yield and grain quality after harvest. Among the insect pests, the legume pod borer *Maruca vitrata* Fabricius (Lepidoptera,

#### \*Corresponding author: Joelle Toffa Mehinto

Laboratory of Applied Entomology, Faculty of Sciences and Technology of Dassa (FAST-Dassa), National University of Sciences Technologies Engineering and Mathematics of Abomey (UNSTIM), BP 14, Dassa, Benin

Joelle Toffa Mehinto et al., Additive Effects of The Entomopathogenic Fungus Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin (Hypocreales: Ophiocordycipitaceae) And Apanteles Taragamae Viereck (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), in Controlling on the Legume Pod Borer Maruca Vitrata Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)

Crambidae) was reported to cause serious damage to cowpea in tropical and subtropical regions of Asia, Latin America and Africa. Caterpillars induce heavy losses by damaging many plant organs such as flowers, leaves, flower buds, green pods. Maruca vitrata can causeup to 80% yield losses in the absence of control measure. It was therefore imperative to apply control measures that could efficiently limit damage from this insect pest. Many control methods have been so far developed. But the most applied was synthetic chemicals application. Chemicals application becomes no more attractive considering the so many side effects including environmental sides effects and human hazards. Moreover, alternative control methods such as host plant resistance and cultural control practices were not always effective enough to keep M. vitrata populations below economic thresholds. Therefore, the use of living organisms such as natural enemies and effective microorganisms would be an alternative to the use of synthetic pesticides. Collaborative research studies between scientists in Taiwan and those of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Benin station, yielded in the identification and importation of promising parasitoids species such as Apanteles taragamae. In Taiwan, A. taragamae has been reported parasitizing about 60 of the larvae of M. vitrata on Sesbania cannabina (Huang et al., 2003). Before a large scale release of such biocontrol agent, assessing non-target effects was a key step in the implementation of biological control program. Risk assessment was found to great concern especially with regard to the competitive behavior of introduced species in the presence of native ones. In Benin, the entomopathogenic fungus Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin (Hypocreales: Ophiocordycipitaceae), isolate Bb 115 has shown its virulence on *M. vitrata* larvae both in laboratory and field trials with mortality rates of more than 85%. The development of a biopesticide made of B. bassiana isolate Bb 115 could be applied after the release and establishment of the parasitoid wasp A. taragamae. Then, the two biological agent may the same cowpea field. And interactions between the two M. vitrata natural enemies could result in additive, synergistic or antagonist effects on the larval population of M. vitrata. The current study was designed to address such concern by assessing the interactions between B. bassiana and the parasitoid wasp A. taragamae in both laboratory and field experiments for the sustainable control of Maruca vitrata.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### Experimental sites

The works described below were conducted at the laboratory of insect pathology of the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture(IITA), Benin Station (6°28N and 2°21E, 15 m altitude), near Cotonou, Benin, in climate chamber with a mean temperature of  $26 \pm 0.50$  °C and a relative humidity of  $65.5 \pm 5\%$ .

The experiments were conducted also in IITA fields. Sites that hosted the experiments were used to grow crop with different cropping types.

#### **Plant Material**

The cowpea variety "Tawa", were used in the different experiments performed at IITA campus. Cowpea seeds were

sown at two seeds per sowing hole with 25 cm x 75 cm space (within and between lines). Cowpea plots were separated (alley) with maize plants sown the same day as cowpea. Plots were manually weeded twice. Cowpea plants received the different treatments in study at flowering onset. The cowpea variety "Tawa" is an semi-erect variety with a development cycle lasting 65-70 days.

#### Rearing of M. vitrata larvae

The mass rearing of *M. vitrata* started with the collection of *M. vitrata* pupae from a stock at the insectarium of IITA-Benin. They were placed in open Petri dishes incubated in wooden cages ( $44 \times 45 \times 58$  cm) provided with sleeves, sides made of fine mesh and a glass top. Emerged mated females were grouped (4 or 5 individuals per group) in plastic cups (3 cm diameter x 3.5 cm height) for oviposition purposes. Ovipositing females were fed using small pieces of filter paper moistened with 10% glucose solution, which were replaced every 24 h. Caterpillars that emerged from collected eggs were fed using an artificial diet after.Larvae obtained from this mass production were used in the different experiments.

#### Rearing of Apanteles taragamae

Cocoons of Apanteles taragamae were obtained from the stock culture at IITA station in Benin, originally collected from the widely cultivated green manure crops Sesbania cannabina (Retz) Pers. at the World Vegetable Center (AVRDC) in Taiwan. Emerged adults were kept in cylindrical plastic cups (4.5 cm diameter x 5 cm height). A hole (2cm diameter) punched in the lid of the cups was covered with fine mesh. Adults of A. taragamae were fed with honey streaked on the fine mesh of the lid. Two days old larvae of M.vitrata were offered to mated parasitoid females during 24 h for parasitization. They were offered, during 24h, two days old larvae of *M.vitrata* in a small cylindrical cup containing a piece of artificial diet. Parasitized larvae were placed in small cylindrical cups and reared using artificial diet till cocoon stage. New parasitoids adults were obtained from such cocoons. The mass production of wasps took place in a climate chamber with a temperature of de  $25.3 \pm 0.5$  °C and a relative humidity of  $78.9\pm5.6$  % (mean  $\pm$  SD).

# Production of the entomopathogenic fungi, Beauveria bassiana

The *B.bassiana* isolate included in the current study was "Bb 115". Colonies of this isolate were mass produced from dried viable conidia (germination rate 95%) kept in fridge at IITA Benin. The mass production was performed in a climate chamber at  $26 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C using Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) as medium. Conidias collected this fungal culture were used in expriments consisted of fungal application.

# *Effect of B. bassiana on the parasitization potential of A. taragamae in laboratory*

Experiments consisted of inoculating first female parasitoid at a concentration of  $10^9$  conidia/ml of *B. bassiana* suspension. Then, two inoculated females (2 day old) and one male (2 day old) were transfered into each plastic box containing 30 *M. vitrata* larvae of each of the two larval stages L1 (1 day old) and L2 (3days) of *M. vitrata*. After 24 hours, the parasitoids

were removed and larvae were placed individually intoplastic boxes and reared using artificial diet till pupae stage. The boxes were put in laboratory at  $25.5 \pm 0.1$  °C temperature and  $81.5 \pm$ 0.7 % relative humidity. The number of parasitoid coccons, M. vitrata larvae (dead and alive) or pupae were counted. In parallel, 30 M. vitrata larvae were offered to non-inoculated parasitoids females as control. Experiments were repeated 3 times.

#### Assessment of the effect of the interaction between B. bassianafungus and A. taragamae on the survival of M. vitrata in laboratory

Two experiments were designed to assess the interactions type between B. bassiana and A. taragamae.

In the first experiment, 1µl of an oily suspension of B.bassiana of  $10^{9}$  conidia/ml concentration was applied topically onto M. vitrata larvae. A total thirty larvae were inoculated. Then, three parasitoids (two females and one male) were released in the box containing inoculated larvae and kept for 24 hours before the removal of the parasitoids.

The second experiment consisted to submit *M. vitrata* larvae to parasitization by A. taragamae before fungal application. Then, 30 larvae were transfered into box and 3 parasitoids (2 females and one male) were released in the box and kept for 24 hours. After parasitoids removal, parasitized larvae were treated with B.bassiana suspension at the same concentration mentioned above. In both experiments, larvae were reared using artificial till pupae stage. The number of dead larvae, M. vitrata pupae and parasitoid cocoons were recorded. Dead larvae were kept for checking sporulation.

#### On-farm evaluation of the interaction between B. bassiana fungus and A. taragamaefor the control of M. vitrata

Field expriments involved three treatments: untreated control, treatments consisted of A. taragamae release, treatments consisted of the used of both biological agents A. taragamae +B. bassiana (isolate Bb 115) and treatments that consisted of B. bassiana application only. Each treatment was repeated three times in a complete randomized block design (CRB) with experimental plots of 28 m<sup>2</sup> (7m  $\times$  4m). Plants were weeded twice. Inside each plot, the treated cowpea plants were used as feed for the insect. Maize was planted in alleys to avoid bordering effects between treatments. The Biopesticide was applied at a rate of 75g conidia powder (active ingredient)/ha in two litres water. Then, 5 mated females were released in each plot covered by net (cage) for treatments involving the parasitoid. The parasitoids stayed in cages for three days. Cowpea plants were naturally infested (no artificial infestation). The larvae mortality was checked daily and dead larvae were sampled and kept separately in plastic box placed in laboratory for sporulation studies. Sporulation was monitored per treatment. Field experiments were repeated over years.

#### Statistical analysis

Data on percent A. taragamae, mortility rate of M. vitrata in the different treatments were analyzed by performing ANOVA uing SAS software followed by the test of Student-Newman-Keuls. Percent data were square root arcsine transformed before being subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA).

In addition to the statistical analysis, calculations were made to support the results obtained in the interaction studies. The total mortality was calculated using the formula used by Benz, 1971; Brousseau et al., 1998 and Lise, 2007.

The following formula was applied to evaluate the theoretical total mortality:

 $M_1 + 2 = M_1 + M_2 \left[ (1 - M_1) / 100 \right]$ 

Where  $M_l$  is the mortality rate associated to the first biological agent;  $M_2$  being one associated to the second agent.

Thus, when the total mortality rate observed in the combination treatment (biological agent 1 + biological agent 2) is equal the expected theoretical rate, then the interaction effect is additive. On the other hand, when the total mortality observed is higher than the expected theoretical one, then the interaction is synergistic. And when the mortalities observed are less than the theoretical one, effects are antagonist.

### RESULTS

#### Effect of B. bassiana on the parasitization potential of A. taragamae in laboratory

Application of B. bassiana suspension onto the parasitoid females prior to the submission of larvae to parasitization did not significantly affect the parasitism potential of A. taragamae (Table 1). Likewise, no significant difference occurred between the two larval ages tests L1 and L2 (F=1.36, ddl = 2, P=0.8233).

Table 1 Effect of the isolate *B. bassiana* on the parasitism of M. vitrata (stages L1 and L2) by A. taragamae according to the preferred larval stages of M. vitrata

| Treatments         | Average rates of parasitism<br>(%) of larval stages |             |  |
|--------------------|---|-------------|--|
|                    | Stage L1  | Stage L2    |  |
| Control            | 49.12±0.1 7a  | 30.88±1.09a |  |
| Treated parasitoid | 46.67±0.19 a  | 31.0±2.11a  |  |

Means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (ANOVA followed by SNK).

#### Effect of B. bassiana application on the emergence rate of A. taragamae

In treatments including A. taragamae, no significant differences were observed between treatments where A. taragamae was alone and where it was combined with B. bassiana for adult parasitoids emergence, regardless of larval stage (F= 0.08, ddl = 2,  $\hat{P}$ = 0.9466) (Table 2). Application of *B. bassiana* did not significantly affect the parasitism of *M. vitrata* larvae L1 and L2 by *A. taragamae*.

**Table 2** Emergence of the adults of A. taragamae treated
 with B. bassiana suspension

| Treatments | Emergence of the adults of<br><i>A. taragamae</i> |                   |  |
|------------|---|-------------------|--|
|            | L1  | L2                |  |
| T1         | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$                                    | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    |  |
| T2         | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$                                    | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    |  |
| T3 (Bb)    | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$                                    | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    |  |
| T4 (Ap)    | $31.81 \pm 3.03a$                                 | $18.66 \pm 4.17a$ |  |
| T5 (Bb-Ap) | $24.70 \pm 3.51a$                                 | $15.40 \pm 6.06a$ |  |
| T6 (Ap-Bb) | $33.27 \pm 5.01a$                                 | $20.52 \pm 4.34a$ |  |

Means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (ANOVA followed by SNK).

T1: Treatment 1, Simple control

T2: Treatment 2, control with oil; T3 (Bb): Treatment 3, M. vitrata treated with B. bassiana T4 (Ap): Treatment 4, M. vitrata submitted to A. taraggamae; T5 (Bb-Ap): Treatment 5, M. vitrata treated with B. bassiana then submitted to A. taraggamae;

T6 (Ap-Bb): Treatment 6, M. vitrata submitted to A. taragamae and then treated with B. bassiana

Joelle Toffa Mehinto et al., Additive Effects of The Entomopathogenic Fungus Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin (Hypocreales: Ophiocordycipitaceae) And Apanteles Taragamae Viereck (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), in Controlling on the Legume Pod Borer Maruca Vitrata Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)

#### Interaction pattern in the combination of A. taragamae with **B.** bassiana

Table 3 give the interaction patterns when M. vitrata larvae were treated with B. bassiana prior to their submission to parasitism by A. taragamae and when larvae were treated after parasitization. The statistical analysis revealed no significant differencebetween both theoretical and observed mortalities, regardless of larval stage (F =7.82, ddl = 6, P = 0.0088). This result suggests an additive effect between the two biological control agents (A. taragamae and B. bassiana) for the control of M. vitrata.

**Table 3** Interactions pattern for *B. bassiana* and *A. taragamae* combination on M. vitrata

| Treatments  | Larval<br>stages | M <sub>1</sub><br>(% ± ES) | M <sub>2</sub><br>(% ± ES) | Theoretical total<br>mortalityM <sub>1+2</sub><br>(%±ES) |                  | l<br>Effect |
|-------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|------------------|-------------|
| Combination | L1               | $43.10\pm0.2b$             | $12.04 \pm 0.5c$           | 50.46± 1.3a  | $52.07 \pm 0.9a$ | Additive    |
| 1 Bb-Ap     | L2               | $39.01\pm0.3b$             | $9.09 \pm 0.6c$            | $44.55 \pm 1.5a$   | $48.12 \pm 0.7a$ | Additive    |
| Combination | L1               | $12.04 \pm 0.5c$           | $43.10\pm0.2b$             | $50.46 \pm 1.3a$   | $54.62 \pm 1.1a$ | Additive    |
| 2 Ap-Bb     | L2               | $9.09 \pm 0.6c$            | $39.01 \pm 0.3b$           | $44.55 \pm 1.5a$   | $46.28 \pm 0.8a$ | Additive    |

Means in the same line followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (ANOVA followed by SNK).

Avec,  $M_1+_2=M_1+M_2(1-M_1/100)$ 

 $M_{1+2}$ : Theoretical total mortality which is equivalent to the expected mortality in the association of the two agents

M1: Observed mortality associated with the first agent of the association M2: Observed mortality associated with the second agent of the association Total observed mortality that is equivalent to the mortality of M. vitrata observed in the laboratory when the larvae are submitted to the association of the two agents

#### **Sporulation**

The sporulation was checked in treatments in which larvae were inoculated with conidia of the fungus only T3 (Bb) and the combined treatments such as T5 (Bb-Ap) and T6 (Ap-Bb).

The results revealed the highest sporulation in the T3 (Bb) treatment compared to the other treatments, regardless of larval stage (Table 4). However, no significant differences were observed between treatment consisted of B. bassiana application alone and when B. bassiana treated larvae where submitted to A. taragamae.

**Table 4** Sporulation of *M. vitrata* dead larvae in treatments
 consisted of B. bassiana application alone or when combined with A. taragamae

| Snowlation 0/ | Larval stages     |                   |  |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Sporulation % | L1                | L2                |  |
| T1            | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    |  |
| T2            | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    |  |
| T3 (Bb)       | $25.10 \pm 2.03a$ | $16.4 \pm 0.2a$   |  |
| T4 (Ap)       | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    | $0.0 \pm 0.0b$    |  |
| T5 (Bb-Ap)    | $21.11 \pm 3.30a$ | $10.6 \pm 0.04a$  |  |
| T6 (Ap-Bb)    | $23.9 \pm 0.01a$  | $12.80 \pm 0.02a$ |  |

Means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (ANOVA followed by SNK).

T1: Treatment 1, Simple control T2: Treatment 2, control with oil:

T3 (Bb): Treatment 3, M. vitrata treated with B. bassiana

T4 (Ap): Treatment 4, M. vitrata submitted to A. taragamae;

T5 (Bb-Ap): Treatment 5, *M. vitrata* treated with *B. bassiana* then submitted to *A. taragamae*. T6 (Ap-Bb): Treatment 6, *M. vitrata* submitted to *A. taragamae* and then treated with *B. bassiana* 

#### Effect of the combination of the parasitoid A. taragamae and B.bassiana on the field larval populations of M. vitrata

During the first year, in the different treated plot both parasitized and dead larvae of M. vitrata were observed. When the two biological control agents were present in plot, the percent parasitization rate of M. vitrata larvae did not differ significantly (31.7±4.01%) compared that obtained when the parasitoid was alone (33.4±2.65%) (Table 5). However, the mortality rate was significantly higher when the two biological control agents were combined (32.6±3.8) compared to that observed when A. taragamae was alone. Similar findings were observed in the second year (F=0.38,ddl = 2, P=0.9951) (Table 5).

Table 5 Effect of the combination of *B. bassiana* and parasitoid A. taragamae on M. vitrata larvae during the first and the second year

| Years      | Treatments                    | Average rates<br>of mortality<br>of A.<br>taragamae | Parasitism<br>average<br>rates | Average rates<br>of mortality<br>of <i>M. vitrata</i> |
|------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
|            | Control                       | 0.0±0.00a   | 0.0±0.00a                      | 3.1±1.3a  |
| Einst woon | A. taragamae                  | 0.0±0.00a   | 33.4±2.65b                     | 16.5±1.02b  |
| First year | A. taragamae +<br>B. bassiana | 0.0±0.00a   | 31.7±4.01b                     | 32.6±3.83b  |
|            | Control                       | 0.0±0.00a   | 0.0±0.00a                      | 2.6±0.03a   |
| Second     | A. taragmae                   | 0.0±0.00a   | 24.6±3.67b                     | 11.3±0.07b  |
| year       | A. taragmae+<br>B. bassiana   | 0.0±0.00a   | 27.2±5.17b                     | 30.9±2.13b  |

Means in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 5% level (ANOVA followed by SNK)

### DISCUSSION

Results obtained in the current study confirmed the induction of larval mortality in *M. vitrata by* the two biological agents *B.* bassiana and A. taragamae. When applied alone, B. bassiana induced and could be combined for the control of M. vitrata. In laboratory, despite the inoculation of the female parasitoids, the percent parasitization rate of *M. vitrata* by the parasitoid *A*. taragamae was not significantly reduced. Thus, application of B. bassiana suspension to females before or after parasitization of M. vitrata larvae by A. taragamae did not significantly affect the parasitism potential of A. taragamae. This might be explained partly by the shorter period of time between the fungal application and parasitism by A. taragamae (24h). Also, parasitized larvae might pupate before the beginning of fungal disease symptoms in *M. vitrata* larvae so that they escape from the harmful effect of the fungus B. bassiana. Moreover, B. bassiana induced direct moratility of larvae while A. taragamae did so through parasitization. Direct effect of B. bassiana was observed in Helicoverpa armigera by Gopalakrishan and Narayananwith mortality rates of about 15 to 20 % after B. bassiana treatment. Similarly, Douro Kpindou et al. showed that pupation and adults emergence in H. armigera varied with the fungal suspension concentration used to treat larvae. The fungus actitity may last longer when dead larvae sporulated, spreading out then the fungus disease in M. vitrata larvae. Therefore sporulation would be considered as supplemental continuing action for fungal disease spread.

Different interaction patterns have been reported when combined different biological control agents. Such interactions may be antagonist, synergistic or additive. In antagonistic pattern, one biological control agent might negatively affect the second one through intrinsic competition when sharing the same host patches or life stage. Thus, the strategic mechanisms of the highly competitive agent could involve physical attack or physiological suppression of the less competitive species. This

was the case reported in some entomopathogenic virus which induced high mortality and low development in some parasitoids. For instance, Nakai and Kunimi found that the infection of the larvae of the little tea budworm *Adoxophyes paraorana* Byun (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) by AsGV granulose virus had an antagonist effect on its endoparasitoid *Ascogaster randiculatus* Watanabe (Hymenoptera: Braconidae).

On the other hand, synergistic or additive interactions between the combined biological control agents suggest a complementary actions even sharing the same host patches.In the current study, the combination of the parasitoids A. taragamae and the entomopathogenic fungus B. bassiana vielded in additive effect on M. vitrata larvae. Such findings could be explained when considering the latent period of the fungal disease expression and the number of days required for A. taragamae to pupate. Indeed, A. taragamae was reported to pupate in five days at 26-28°C. For B. bassiana, after conidia germination, the penetration speed of the formed hyphae becomes high after 3 days. Therefore, parasitized larvae could escape the fungal disease induced by B. bassiana. Moreover, in field conditions, when the two biological control agents were present, the parasitoid A. taragamae might avoid B. bassiana contaminated larvae when ovipositing suggesting an efficient host selection for oviposition. Similar results were reported by Raimo et al., who found a higher mortality and parasitism rates of larvae of Lymantria dispar Linnaeus (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) treated with an entomopathogenic and submitted to Apanteles melanoscelus Ratzeburg (Hymenoptera: а Braconidae). Likewise, additive effects were observed in the mortality of diamondback moth when B. bassiana was combined with the parasitoid Oomyzus sokolowskii (Kurdjumov).

The emergence rate of males was higher than that of females for both larval stages. This may be related to the size of the host offered to *A. taragamae*. Indeed, female parasitoids were known to be selective for the progeny sex they oviposit in the host. Thus, female offsprings was oviposited in larger hosts while the male one was deposited in the smaller hosts. Host size was found to be related to host age in some insect species such as *M. vitrata*. Laboratory study reveal similar results when 1 to 3 days old *M. vitrata* larvae were submitted to *A. taragamae* for parasitization. Such observations were consistent with the those reported byDannon *et al.*, who found the first two *M. vitrata* larval stages (L1 and L2) more suitable to *A. taragamae*.

By assessing the interactions between the parasitoid *A. taragamae* and *B.bassiana* on the larval populations of *M. vitrata,* the current study revealed an additive effect between the two biological control agents. They could be included in efficient and sustainable management strategies of the cowpea pod borer *M. vitrata.* 

#### Disclosure

All authors declare no conflict of interests.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was funded by GIZ/BMZ under project. We also thank all members of the project for their technical assistance.

#### References

- Arthur AP, Wylie HG (1959). Effects of host size on sex ratio, development time and size of *Pimpla turionellae* (L.) (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae). Entomophaga, 4: 297-301.
- Ascher KRS, Eliyahu M, Nemmy NE (1991). Inherent toxicity of the acylureas hexaflumuron and clorfluazuron against larvae of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Zeitschrift für Planzenkrankheiten und Pflanzenschutz, 98: 391-397.
- Atachi P, Adeoti R (2004). Interaction plante- animal: un cas d'étude d'utilisation de quelques formulations combinées d'insecticides dans la gestion des insectes ravageurs de niébé, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. au Sud-Bénin. Sciences du Végétal, 2 : 7-21.
- Atachi P, Desmidts M, Durnez C (1985). Entomologie et protection phytosanitaire du niébé : éléments d'un premier inventaire des insectes ravageurs du niébé, République Populaire du Bénin. Essais de mise au point de moyens de lutte. Travaux et documents de la Recherche Agronomique au Bénin, 37 p.
- Atachi P, Dannon EA, Rurema DG (2007). Trap cropping and intercropping of pigeon pea (*Cajanus cajan* Millsp.) in pest management of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) in southern Benin: competing risk and pest status in pod attack. Annales des SciencesAgronomiques du Bénin, 9: 1-20.
- Benz G (1971). Synergism of micro-organisms and chemical insecticides. *In:Microbial control of insects and mites*. Burges, H. D. & Hussey, N. W., (Ed.), Academic Press, London, United Kingdom, pp. 327-535.
- Brousseau C, Charpentier G, Belloncik S (1998). Effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and destruxins *(Metarhizium anisopliae mycotoxins)* combinations on spruce budworn (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae). Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 72: 262-268.
- Charleston DS (2004). Integrating biological control and botanical pesticides for management of *Plutella xylostella*. PhD Thesis, Wageningen University, the Netherlands, 176p.
- Colinet H, Salin C, Boivin G, Hance T (2005). Host age and fitness-related traits in a koinobiont aphid parasitoid. Ecological Entomology, 30: 473-479.
- Collier T, Kelly S, Hunter M (2002). Egg size, intrinsic competition and lethal interference in the parasitoids *Encarcia pergandiella* and *Encarsia formosa*. Biological Control, 23: 254-261.
- Dannon EA, Tamò M, Huis A, Dicke M (2010). Functional response and life history parameters of *Apanteles taragamae*, a larval parasitoid of *Maruca vitrata*. Biological Control, 55: 363-378.
- De Moraes C, Cortesero AM, Stapel JO, Lewis WJ (1999). Intrinsic and extrinsic competitive interactions between two larval parasitoids of *Heliothis virescens*. Ecological Entomology, 24: 402-410.
- Dicke M (1999a). Direct and indirect effects of plants on the performance of beneficial organisms. In: Ruberson JR(ed). Handbook of Pest Management. Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, USA.pp 105-153.

Joelle Toffa Mehinto et al., Additive Effects of The Entomopathogenic Fungus Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin (Hypocreales: Ophiocordycipitaceae) And Apanteles Taragamae Viereck (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), in Controlling on the Legume Pod Borer Maruca Vitrata Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Crambidae)

- Dos Santos Jr HJG, Marques EJ, Barros R, Gondim Jr MGC (2006b). Interaction of *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metsch.) Sorok., *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. And the parasitoid *Oomyzus sokolowskii* (Kurdjumov) (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) with larvae of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). Neotropical Entomology,35: 241-245.
- Douro Kpindou OK, Djegui DA, Glitho IA, Tamò M (2012b). Sensitivity of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to the entomopathogenic fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana* in laboratory. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 7: 1007-1015.
- Egbo EO (2010). Comparative studies on insect species of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) (L) Walp in two agroecological zones during the early cropping season, in delta State, southern Nigeria. Agriculture and biology *Journal of North America*, 1: 946-949.
- Ekesi S (1999). Insecticide resistance in field populations wasp of the legume pod-borer, *Maruca vitrata* Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae), on cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. in Nigeria. *International Journal of Pest Management*, 45: 57-59.
- Ekesi S, Adamu RS, Maniania NK (2002). Ovicidal activity of entomopathogenic hyphomycetes to the legume pod borer. *Maruca vitrata* and the pod sucking bug *Clavigralla tomentosicollis*. Crop Protection, 21: 589-595.
- Emami F, Alichi M, Minaei K (2013). Interaction between the entomopathogenic fungus, Beauveria bassiana (Ascomycota: Hypocreales) and the parasitoid wasp, Aphidius colemani Viereck (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Journal of Entomological and Acarological Research*, 45: 14-17.
- Fang W, Feng J, Fan Y, Zhang Y, Bidochka MJ, Leger RJ, Pei Y (2009). Expressing a fusion protein with protease and chitinase activities increases the virulence of the insect pathogen *Beauveria bassiana*. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 102: 155-159.
- Faostat (2013). Agricultural production, crop primary database. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. Site internet: http://www.fao.org/statistic.
- Gopalakrishan C, Narayanan K (1989). Studies of the susceptibility of *Heliothis armigera* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) to the entomopathogenic fungus *Metarhizium anisopliae* (Metschnikoff) Sorokin var. *anisopliae*. Journal of Entomology, 14: 191-197.
- Huang C, Peng WK, Talekar NS (2003). Parasitoids and other natural enemies of *Maruca vitrata* feeding on *Sesbania cannabina* in Taiwan. Biological Control, 48: 407-416.
- Jackai LEN, Daoust RA (1986). Insect pests of cowpea. Annual Review of Entomology, 31: 95-119.
- Jackai LEN and Raulston JR (1988). Rearing the legume pod borer *Maruca testulalis* (Geyer) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) on artificial diet. Tropical Pest Management, 34: 168-172.
- Jones WT, 1982. Sex ratio and host size in parasitoid wasp. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology,10: 207-210.

- King BH (1987). Offspring sex ratios in parasitoid wasps. The Quarterly Review of Biology,62: 367-396.
- Kossou KD, Gbehounou G, Ahanchede A, Ahohuendo B, Yacouba B, Van Huis A (2001). Endogenous cowpea production and protection practices in Benin. Insect Science and Application, 21: 30-40.
- Liao CT, Liu CS (2000). Occurrence of legume podborer *Maruca testulalis* (Geyer) (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) on cowpea *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. an its insecticides application trial. Plant Protection Bulletin, 42: 213-222.
- Lise M (2007). Potentiel synergique entre différents larvicides bactériologiques sur des larves de simulies. Mémoire de Maîtrise en Sciences de l'environnement, Université du Québec, Canada, 80 p.
- Muturi JJ, Ngi-Song AJ, Mueke JM, Setamou M, Schulthess F, Jiang N (2006). Multiparasitism by the pupal parasitoids *Xanthopimpla stemmator* (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonidae) and *Pediobius furvus* (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) on two African cereal stemborers, *Chilo partellus* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) and *Busseola fusca* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Biocontrol Science and Technology, 16: 49-60.
- Nakai M, Kunimi Y (1997). Granulosis virus infection of the smaller tea tortrix (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae): effect on the development of the endoparasitoid, Ascogaster reticulatus (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Biological Control, 8: 74-80.
- Rachie KO (1985). Introduction. In: Cowpea Research, Production and Utilization. Singh S. R. &Rachie K. O., (Ed.), John Wiley &Sons., New York, United States of America (USA) pp. 21-28.
- Raimo B, Reardon R C, Podgwaite DJ (1977). Vectoring gypsy moth nuclear Polyhedrosis virus by *Apanteles melanoscelus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Entomophaga, 22: 207-213.
- Rao BR, Rajasekhar P, Venkataiah M and Venugopal RN (1995). Bio-efficacy of Neem (azadirachtin 10,000 ppm) against cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hubner. *Journal of Entomology Research*, 19: 329-333.
- Rashki M, Kharazmi A, Pakdel A, Allayhari H, Van Alphen JJM (2009). Interactions among the entomopathogenic fungus, *Beauveria bassiana* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales), the parasitoid, *Aphidius matricariae* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), and its host, *Myzus persicae* (Homoptera: Aphididae). Biological Control, 50: 324-328.
- Reznik SY, Umarova TY (1991). Host population density influence on host acceptance in *Trichogramma spp*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 58: 49-54.
- Roy HE, Pell JK (2000). Interactions between entomopathogenic fungi and other natural enemies: implications for biological control. Biocontrol Science and Technology, *10*: 737-752.
- SAS Institute Inc. 2003 SAS® 9.2 2003. Qualification Tools User's Guide. Cary. NC. USA: SAS Institute Inc.
- Sharma HC (1998). Bionomics, host plant resistance, and management of the legume pod borer, *Maruca vitrata*. Crop Protection, 17:373-386.
- Singh SR, Jackai LEN, Dos SJHR, Adalla CB (1990). Insect pest of cowpea in S.R. Singh: Insect of tropical food of legumes. Ed. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, pp43-90.

- Stiling P, Cornelissen T (2005). What makes a successful biocontrol agent? A meta-analysis of biological control agent performance. Biological Control, 34: 336-346.
- Srinivasan R (2012). Integrting biopesticides in pest management strategies for tropical vegetable production. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 5: 36-45.
- Tamò M, Ekesi S, Maniania NK, Cherry A (2003). Biological control non-obvious component integrated pest management for cowpea. *In: Biological control in integrated pest management systems in Africa*.
- Neuenschwander P., Borgemeister C. & Langewald J., (Ed.), Centre for Agricultural Bioscience International (CABI) Publishing, United Kingdom, pp. 205-309.
- Toffa Mehinto J, Atachi P, Elégbédé M, Douro Kpindou OK, Tamò M (2014c). Efficacité comparée des insecticides biologiques et chimiques dans la gestion des insectes ravageurs du niébé (Vigna unguiculata) au Bénin. Journal of Applied Biosciences, 84:7674-7681.
- Toffa Mehinto J, Atachi P, Douro Kpindou OK, Dannon EA, Tamò M (2014b). Mortality of *Maruca vitrata* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) larval stages induced by different doses of the entomopathogenic fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria bassiana*. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 4: 273-285.
- Van Lenteren JC, Babendreier D, Bigler F, Burgio G, Hokkanen HMT, Kuske S, Loomans AJM, Menzler-Hokkanen I, Van Rijn PCJ, Thomas MB, Tommasini MG, Zeng Q (2003). Environmental risk assessment of exotic natural enemies used in inundative biological control. BioControl, 48: 3-38.
- Vinson SB, Iwantsch GF (1980). Host suitability for insect parasitoids. Annual Review of Entomology, 25: 397-419.
- Wajnberg E (2010). Genetics of the behavioral ecology of egg parasitoids. In: Egg Parasitoids in Agroecosystems with Emphasis on Trichogramma spp.Consôli F.L., Parra J.R.P. & Zucchi R.A., (Ed.),Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands, pp.149-165.
- Yamamoto D, Henderson R, Corley LS, Iwabuchi K (2007). Intrinsic, interspecific competition between egg, egglarval, and larval parasitoids of plusiine loopers. Ecological Entomology, 32: 221-228.

#### How to cite this article:

Joelle Toffa Mehinto., 2018, Additive Effects of The Entomopathogenic Fungusbeauveria Bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin (Hypocreales: Ophiocordycipitaceae) And Apantelestaragamaeviereck (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), In Controlling on The Legume Pod Borer Maruca Vitrata Fabricius (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 9(11), pp. 29770-29776. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2018.0911.2926

\*\*\*\*\*\*