

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 10, Issue, 02(E), pp. 30968-30971, February, 2019 International Journal of Recent Scientific Re*r*earch

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

GENERALIZED T-HARDY ROGERS CONTRACTION THEOREMS IN CONE METRIC SPACES WITH C- DISTANCE

Kaushik Das¹ and Surendra Kumar Tiwari²,

²Department of Mathematics, Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur (C.G.)-India ¹Department of Mathematics, Gobardanga Hindu College, Habra, West Bangal- India

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2019.1002.3164

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History:A new corrReceived 15th November, 20192011. ReceivedReceived in revised form 7ththe concepDecember, 2018purpose ofAccepted 13th January, 2019mapping oPublished online 28th February, 2019results in the

Key Words:

Cone metric space, complete cone metric space, c-distance, common fixed point, T- Reich contraction. A new concept of the c-distance in cone metric spaces has been introduced by Cho *et al.* [12] in 2011. Recently, Tiwari, S. K.*et al.* [30] in 2017 introduced the T-Hardy Rogers contraction under the concept of c-distance in cone metric spaces and proved uniqueness fixed point results. The purpose of this paper is to establish the generalization of T-Hardy Rogers contractive type of mapping on complete cone metric spaces. Our results generalize and extend some well known results in the literature.

Copyright © **Kaushik Das and Surendra Kumar Tiwari, 2019**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

The first important fundamentals result in fixed point theory, which is also known as Banach contraction principle or Banach fixed point theorem [1]. After this provital result, many authors have studied various extensions and generalizations of Banach's theorems by considering contractive mappings on several directions in the literature (see [3-11]).

In 2007, Huang and Zhang [2] generalized concept of metric space, replacing the set of real numbers by an order Banach space, and showed some fixed point theorems of different type of contractive mappings on cone metric spaces. Later, many authors generalized and studied fixed and common fixed point results in cone metric spaces for normal and non normal cone. The Hardy-Roger's contraction was introduced in the work of Hardy -Rogers [15] which is generalization of Reich contraction. Recently, Cho et al. [12] Wang and Guo [14] defined a concept of the c- distance in a cone metric space, which is a cone version of the w-distance of Kada et al.[11] and proved some fixed point theorems in ordered cone metric spaces. Then Sintunavarat et al. [13] generalized the Banach contraction theorem on c- distance of Cho et al.[12]. After that, several authors studied the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point, common fixed point, coupled fixed point and common coupled fixed point problems using this distance in cone metric spaces and ordered cone metric spaces see for examples [16-27]. Quick recently, in 2017 Tiwari, S.K.*et al.*. [30] studied some fixed point theorems of T-Hardy Rogers type mappings under the concept of c- distance in complete cone metric spaces depended on another function. '

In this paper, we studied some common fixed point theorems for generalized T – Hardy-Rogers contraction type mappings under the concept of c- distance in complete cone metric spaces depended on another function. Throughout this paper, we do not impose the normality condition for the cones, but the only assumption is that the cone P is solid, that is in t $P \neq \emptyset$. Our results generalize and extend the respective theorems 3.1 of the result [30].

Preliminary notes

First, we recall some standard notations and definitions in cone metric spaces with some of their properties [2].

Definition 2.1: Let E be a real Banach space and P be a subset of E and θ denote to the zero element in E, then P is called a cone if and only if :

Department of Botany, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, A.P., India

- i. *P* is a non-empty set closed and $P \neq \{\theta\}$,
- ii. (ii If a, b are non-negative real numbers and $x, y \in P$, then $ax + by \in P$,
- iii. $x \in P \text{ and } -x \in P \Longrightarrow x = \theta \Leftrightarrow P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}.$

Given a cone $P \subset E$, we define a partial ordering \leq on *E* with respect to *P* by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$.We shall write $x \ll y$ if $y - x \in intP$ (where int *P* denotes the interior of *P*). If $intP \neq \emptyset$, then cone *P* is solid. The cone *P* called normal if there is a number K > 0 such that for all $x, y \in E$,

$$\theta \le x \le y \implies \|x\| \le k \|y\|.$$

The least positive number k satisfying the above is called the normal constant of P.

Definition: 2.2: Let x be a non-empty set. Suppose the mapping $d: X \times X \longrightarrow E$ satisfies

- i. $\theta < d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if x = y,
- ii. $d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all x, y \in X$,
- iii. $d(x, y) \le d(x, z) + d(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X, and (X, d) is called a cone metric space. The concept of cone metric space is more general than that of a metric space.

Example2.3: Let $E = R^2$, $P = \{(x, y) \in E : x, y \ge 0\}$, X = R and $d: X \times X \to E$ defined by $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha | x - y|)$, where $\alpha \ge 0$ is a constant. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space.

Definition: 2.4: Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, $x \in X$ and $\{x_n\}_{n \ge 1}$ be a sequence in X. then,

- 1. $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ Converges to x whenever for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, if there is a natural
- 2. number N such that $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for all $n \ge N$. We denote this by $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n =$
- 3. $x \text{ or } x_n \rightarrow x, (n \rightarrow \infty)$
- 4. $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, if there is a
- 5. natural number N such that $d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$ for all $n.m \ge N$.
- 6. (*X*, *d*) is called a complete cone metric space if every Cauchy sequence in *X* is Convergent.

Definition 2.5([28]): Let(*X*, *d*) be a cone metric space, *P* be a solid cone and $T : X \rightarrow X$ then

- a. (a) T is said to be continuous if $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$ implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} Tx_n = Tx$ for all $\{x_n\}$ in X;
- b. (b) T is said to be subsequentially convergent, if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ that $\{Tx_n\}$ is
- c. convergent, implies $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence,
- d. (c) T is said to be sequentially convergent if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$, if $\{Tx_n\}$ is convergent,
- e. then $\{x_n\}$ is also convergent.

f.

Lemma 2.6([29])

1. If *E* is a real Banach space with cone *P* and $a \le \lambda a$ where $a \in P$ and $\theta \le \lambda < 1$, then

 $a = \theta$

2. If $c \in intP, \theta \le a_n$ and $a_n \to \theta$ then there a positive integer N such that $a_n \ll c$ for all $n \ge N$.

Next, we give the definition of c-distance on a cone metric space(X, d) which is generalization of w- distance of Kada *et al.* [11] with some properties.

Definition 2.7 ([12]): Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. A function $q: X \times X \rightarrow E$ is called a c- distance on X if the following conditions hold:

(q1). $\theta \le q(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, (q2). $q(x, y) \le q(x, y) + q(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, (q3). for each $x \in X$ and $n \ge 1$, if $q(x, y_n) \le u$ for some $u = u_x \in P$, then $q(x, y) \le u$

Whenever{ y_n } is a sequence in X converging to a point $y \in X$, (q4). foe all $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exist $e \in E$ with $\theta \in e$ such that $q(z, x) \ll e$ and $q(z, y) \ll e$ imply $d(x, y) \ll c$.

Example 2.8 ([12]): Let E = R and $P = \{x \in E : x \ge 0\}$. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and define a mapping $d: X \times X \to E$ by d(x, y) = |x - y| for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space. Define by $q: X \times X \to E$ by q(x, y) = y for all $x, y \in X$. Then *q* is a c-distance on *X*.

Example 2.9([17, 18]): Let $E = R^2$ and $P = \{(x, y) \in E: x, y \ge 0\}$. Let X = [0,1] and define a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ by d(x, y) = (|x - y|, |x - y|) for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, d) is a complete cone metric space. Define a mapping $q: X \times X \rightarrow E$ by q(x, y) = (y, y) for all $x, y \in X$. Then q is a c – distance.

Example 2.10 ([26]): Let $X = C \frac{1}{R}[0,1]$ (the set of real valued functions on X which also have continuous derivatives on X), $P = \{\varphi \in E: \varphi(t) \ge 0\}$. A cone metric d on X is defined by $d(x, y)(t) \coloneqq |x - y| . \varphi(t)$ where $\emptyset \in P$ is an arbitrary function. This cone is non normal. Then (X, d) is a complete cone metric space. Define a mapping $q: X \times X \to E$ by $q(x, y)(t) = y.e^t$ for all $x, y \in X$. It is easy to see that q is a c -distance.

Lemma 2.11([12]): Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and q is c-distance on X. Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be a sequences in X and $x, y, z \in X$. Suppose that u_n is sequence in P converging to 0. Then the following conditions hold:

- 1. If $q(x_n, y) \le u_n$ and $q(x_n, z) \le u_n$ then y = z.
- 2. If $q(x_{n,}y_{n}) \le u_{n}$ and $q(x_{n,}z) \le u_{n}$, then $\{y_{n}\}$ converges to z.
- 3. If $q(x_{n,}x_{m}) \le u_{n}$ for m > n and $\{x_{n,}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.
- 4. If $q(y, x_{n_i}) \le u_n$ then $\{x_{n_i}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Remark 2.11([12])

- 1. q(x, y) = q(y, x) does not necessarily for all $x, y \in X$.
- 2. $q(x, y) = \theta$ is not necessarily equivalent to x y for all $x, y \in X$.

Now, we introduce the T-Hardy –Rogers's contraction under the concept of c-distance in cone metric spaces [30].

Definition 2.12[30]: Let (X, d) be a cone metric spaces and $f, T: X \to X$ be any two mappings. A mapping f is said to be T-

Hardy- Rogers contraction, if there exists a constant $k, l, m, n, r \in [0,1)$ with k + l + m + n + r < 1 such that

 $q(Tfx,Tfy) \le kq(Tx,Ty) + lq(Tx,Tfx) + mq(Ty,Tfy) +$ nq(Tx,Tfy) + rq(Ty,Tfx)for all $x, y \in X$.

MAIN RESULTS

Now, we give our main results in this paper.

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, d)be a complete cone metric spaces, P be a solid cone and q be a c-distance on X. In addition $T: X \to X$ be an one to one, continuous function and $R, S: X \to X$ X be a pair mappings satisfies the contractive condition

 $q(TFx, TGy) \le kq(Tx, Ty) + lq(Tx, TFx) + mq(Ty, TGy) +$ nq(Tx,TGy) + rq(Ty,TFx).....(3.1.1)

for all $x, y \in X$. where $k, l, m, n, r \in [0,1)$ are constants such that k + l + m + n + r < 1

Then *F* and G have an unique common fixed point $x^* \in X$. And for any $x \in X$, iterative sequence $\{F^{2n+1}x\}$ and $\{G^{2n+2}x\}$ converges to the common fixed point. If v = Fv = Gv. Then $q(v,v) = \theta$.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X. We define the iterative sequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ and $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ by

 $\begin{aligned} x_{2n+1} &= F x_{2n} = F^{2n} x_0 \\ x_{2n+2} &= G x_{2n+1} = G^{2n+1} x_0 \end{aligned}$... (3.1.2) and ... (3.1.3).

Then, from (3.1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}) &= q(TFx_{2n-1},TGx_n) \\ &\leq kq(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n}) + lq(Tx_{2n-1},TFx_{2n-1}) + mq(Tx_{2n},TGx_{2n}) \\ &+ nq(Tx_{2n-1},TGx_{2n}) + rq(Tx_{2n},TFx_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq kq(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n}) + lq(Tx_{n-1},Tx_{2n}) + mq(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &+ nq(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n+1}) + rq(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n}) \\ q(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}) &\leq (k+l+n)q(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n}) + (m+n)q(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n+1}) \\ &=>([1-(m+n)]q(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}) \leq (k+l+n)q(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n}) \\ &=>q(Tx_{2n},Tx_{2n+1}) \leq \frac{k+l+n}{1-(m+n)}q(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n}) \\ &\leq hq(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n}) \\ &\leq hq(Tx_{2n-1},Tx_{2n}) \quad \dots (3.1.2) \end{aligned}$$
Where $\frac{k+l+n}{1-(m+n)} = h < 1.$
Let $m > n \ge 1$, we have $q(Tx_n,Tx_m) \le q(Tx_n,Tx_{n+1}) + q(Tx_n,Tx_m) \leq q(Tx_n,Tx_m) + q(Tx_n,Tx_m)$

 $q(Tx_{n+1},Tx_{n+2}) + \dots + q(Tx_{n-1},Tx_n)$ $\leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots \dots \dots + h^{n-1})q(Tx_0, Tx_1)$ $\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} q(Tx_0, Tx_1) \to \infty, h \to \infty.$

Thus, Lemma 2.11(3), which implies that, $\{TFx_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete cone metric space, then there exist $v \in X$ such that

$$Tx_{2n} \to u \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
(3.1.3)

Since T is subsequently convergent, $\{x_{2n}\}$ has a convergent subsequence. So, there are $x^* \in X$ and $\{x_{2ni}\}$ such that $x_{2ni} \to x^*$ as $i \to \infty$ (3.1.7)

Since T is con tenuous, then by (3.1.6), we obtain $Tx_{2i} = Tx^* \dots$ (3.1.8) Now from (3.1.6) and (3.1.8), we conclude that (3.1.9) $Tx^* = u \dots$

By definition [2.7] (q3), we have

$$q(Tx_{2n}, Tx^*) \leq \frac{h^{2n}}{1-h} q(Tx_0, Tx_1) \dots (3.1.7)$$

On the other hand and using (3.1.5), we have

$$q(Tx_{n}, TFx^{*}) \leq q(TFx_{2n-1}, TFx^{*}) \\\leq kq(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx^{*}) \\\leq k\frac{h^{2n-1}}{1-h}q(Tx_{0}, Tx_{1}) \\= \frac{h^{2n}}{1-h}q(Tx_{0}, Tx_{1})...(3.1.8)$$

By lemma 2.11(1), from (3.1.7) and (3.1.8), we have $Tx^* = TFx^*...$ (3.1.12)

Since *T* is one to one, then $x^* = Fx^*$. Thus x^* is a fixed point of F. Similarly, we can prove that x^* is a fixed point of G. Therefore, x^* is common fixed point of *F* and *G*. Moreover, suppose that, v = Rv = Sv, and then we have

$$q(Tv, Tv) = q(TFv, TGv)$$

$$\leq kq(Tv, Tv) + lq(Tv, TFv) + mq(Tv, TGv) + nq(Tv, TFv)$$

$$= (k + l + m + n + r)$$

q(Tv,Tv)

 $lq(Tx^{2})$

Since k + l + m + n + r < 1, lemma 2.6 (1), shows that $q(Tx^*, Ty^*) = \theta$.

Finally suppose that, if y^* is another common fixed point of F and G. Then we have

$$q(Tx^{*}, Ty^{*}) = q(TFx^{*}, TGy^{*})$$

$$\leq kq(Tx^{*}, TFx^{*}) + mq(Ty^{*}, TGy^{*})$$

$$+ mq(Tx^{*}, TFx^{*}) + lq(Ty^{*}, TFx^{*}$$

$$= kq(x^*, y^*) + lq(x^*, x^*) = (k + n + r)q(Tx^*, Ty^*).$$

$$\leq (k + l + m + n + l) + lq(x^*, x^*) + lq(x^*,$$

 $r)q(Tx^*,Ty^*).$

'Since k + l + m + n + r < 1,lemma2.6 (1),shows that $q(Tx^*, Ty^*) = \theta$. Also we have $q(Tx^*, Tx^*) = \theta$. Thus, Lemma 2.11(1), $Tx^* = Ty^*$. Since T is one to one, then $x^* = y^*$. So, x^* is the unique common fixed point of *F* and *G*.

If we take T = 1 and F = G = f in the above theorem, we get the following results of corollary [3.3] of [30].

Corollary 3.2: Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric spaces, P be a solid cone and q be a c -distance on X. Let $f: X \to X$ be a mappings satisfies the contractive condition

$$q(fx, fy) \le kq(x, y) + lq(x, fx) + mq(y, fy) + nq(x, fy) + rq(y, fx)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. where $k, l, m, n, r \in [0,1)$ are constants such that k + l + m + n + r < 1

Then f has an unique fixed point $x^* \in X$. And for any $x \in$ X, iterative sequence $\{fx_n\}$ converges to the fixed point. If u = fu. Then $q(u, u) = \theta$.

CONCLUSION

In this attempt, we prove unique common fixed point results in cone metric spaces with corollaries. These results generalizes and improves the recent results of Tiwari,S.K. *et al.* [30] in the sense that employing c-distances and in contractive conditions, which extends the further scope of our results.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

References

- Banach'sSur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrals, fundamental mathematicae,3 (7),133-181,(1922).
- Huang and Zhang. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of Contractive mappings, J. Math. Anal.
- Appl. 332, 1468-1476, (2007).
- Rhoades, B.E. A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans Amer.Math. Soc., 226,

257-290, (1977).

Beiranvand, A.,Moradi.O.and Pazandeh, H.,Two fixed point for special mapping "arxiv:0903. 1504 v1

[math FA], (2009).

- Kannan, ,Some results on fixed point, Bull.Calc. Math. Soc. 60, 71-76, (1968).
- Kannan, RSome results on fixed point-II ,Amer. Bull.Calc. Math. Monthly, 76, 405-408, (1969)
- Chatterjee, S. K. "Fixed point theorems," C. R. Acad. Bulgare sci. 25727-730, (1972).

Cric, L.B.M, A generalization of Banach's contraction principle, proceeding of the american mathematical society, 45, 267-273, (1975).

- Jungck, G., Commuting maps and fixed points, American mathematic monthly, 83, 261-263, (1976).
- Jungck, G., Common fixed points for non continuous non self maps on non metric spaces, For east journal
- of mathematical sciences, 4,199-215, (1966).
- Kada, O., Suzuki, T. and Takahashi, W., Non convex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in
- complete metric spaces, Mathematica Japonica, 44, 381-391, (1996).
- Cho, Y.J. Saadati, R.and Wang, S., common fixed point theorems on generalized distance in ordered cone
- metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl.,61, 1254-1260, (2011).
- Situnavarat, W.,. Cho Y. J and Kumam, P., Common fixed point theorems for c- distance in ordered cone

metric spaces, Comput. Math. APPL. 62, 1969-1978, (2011).

Wang, S. and Guo., B., Distance in cone metric spaces and common fixed point theorems, Applied

Mathematics Letters, 24(2011), 17-1739.

Hardy, G.E. and Rogers, T.D., A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich ,Canad .Math. Bull.

(1973), 201-206.

- Fadail, Z. M., Ahmad, A.G.B and Golubovic, Z. Fixed point theorems of single valued
- mapping for c- distance in cone metric spaces, Abstract and applied analysis, 2012 article ID826815, 1-11, (2012).
- Fadail, Z. M., Ahmad, A.G.B and Paunovic, L., New Fixed point results of single valuedmapping for c- distance in cone metric spaces, Abstract and applied analysis,2012 article ID639713, 1-12, (2012),
- Fadail, Z. M., Ahmad, A.G.B, Coupled Fixed point theorems of single valued mapping for c- distance in cone metric spaces, *Journal of applied mathematics*, 2012, article 246516,1-20, (2012),
- Fadail, Z. M., Ahmad, A.G.B., Common coupled Fixed point theorems of single valued mapping for c- distance in cone metric spaces, Abstract and applied analysis,
- 2012, article ID901792, 1-24, (2012).
- Fadail, Z. M., Ahmad, A.G.B and Radenovic, S.,Common Fixed point and fixed point results under c- distance in cone metric spaces, Applied mathematics and Information Sciences Letters, 1, no. 2, 47-52, (2013),.
- Fadail, Z. M., Ahmad, A.G.B, New coupled Coincidence point and common coipled Fixed point results in cone metric spaces with c- distance, For *East journal of Mathematical Sciences*,77(2013), no.1, 65-84.
- Abusalim, S.M and Noorani, M.S.M., Generalized distance in cone metric spaces and tripled coincidence point and common tripled fixed point theorems, For East journal of Mathematical Sciences,91(2014), n0.1, 65-87.
- Tiwari, S. K., Verma, R. and Dubey, A. K, Cone metric spaces and Fixed point theorems of contractive mapping for cdistance., Int. j. math. And its appl.,3(1), (2015),83-88.,
- M.Dordevic D.Dordevic. Z.Kadelburg ,S.Rdenovic,D. Spasic, Fixed point results under c-distance in tvs cone metric spaces, Fixed point Theory and Applications (2011), http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2011-29/.
- Dubey, A.K, and Urmila Mishra., Some fixed point results single valued mapping for c-distance in Tvs- cone metric spaces, Filomat30:11,(2016), 2925-2934.
- Fadail, Z. M. and Abusalim, S.M., T- Reich Contraction and Fixed point results in cone metric spaces with c- distance, Int. j. of Math.Analy.11(8),(2017), 397-405.
- Shatanawi, W., Karapinar, E. and Aydi, H., Coupled coincidence points in partially ordered cone metrc spaces with cdistance, *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2012(2012), Article ID312078,1-5.
- Filipovic, M., Paunovic, L. Radenovic S and Rajovic, M., Remarks on "cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of T-Kannan and T-Chaterjea contractive mappings" Mathematical and computer modeling,54,1467-1472,(2011).
- Kadelburg, Z., Radenvic, S. and, Rakolevic., V., Remarks on Quasi-contraction in cone Metric spaces, Appl. Math. Letters, doi: 10. 101 / J. and 2009.06.003. (2009).
- Tiwari,S. K. and Das ,K.(2017), T-Hardy Rogers contraction and Fixed Point Results in Cone Metric Spaces with cdistance, *International Journal of Mathematics And its Applications* Volume 5, (4), 313-319.

How to cite this article:

Kaushik Das and Surendra Kumar Tiwari, 2019, Generalized t-Hardy Rogers Contraction Theorems in cone Metric Spaces With c- Distance. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 10(02), pp.30968-30971. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2019.1002.3164
