



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research
Vol.13, Issue, 10 (A), pp.2442-2445, October, 2022

**International Journal of
Recent Scientific
Research**

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

Research Article

EPIDURAL CLONIDINE AS AN ADJUVANT TO LOCAL ANESTHETIC IN LOWER ABDOMINAL AND LOWER LIMB SURGERIES: A RANDOMISED CONTROLLED STUDY

***D. Rama Devi and MD. Ayathullah**

S.V.S Medical College and Hospital, Mahbubnagar

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2022.1310.0498>

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 13th September, 2022

Received in revised form 21st September, 2022

Accepted 16th October, 2022

Published online 28th October, 2022

Keywords:

Epidural clonidine, Local anesthetic, Post operative analgesia, Lower Abdominal, Lower limb surgeries, Acute pain.

ABSTRACT

Background: Acute pain leads to adverse physiological and psychological disturbances. Hence, this study was done to evaluate and compare the onset duration of sensory anesthesia, motor paralysis and duration of analgesia using 0.5% plain bupivacaine, with clonidine (2µg/kg) in patients posted for lower abdominal and lower limb surgeries under epidural anaesthesia. **Materials and methods:** 62 Patients posted for elective lower abdominal, gynaecological and lower limb surgeries under epidural anesthesia, aged 18 to 60 years, height more than 150 cms of ASA physical status 1 or 2 were included. All patients were randomized into two groups of 31 individuals each. **Results:** Clonidine in the dose of 2µg/kg added to bupivacaine injected into epidural space significantly prolonged the duration of analgesia when compared to bupivacaine alone. No effect on the onset of sensory and motor blockade was observed. However, it increases the duration of motor blockade. Clonidine also has effect on sedation level, pulse rate and mean arterial blood pressure. **Conclusion:** Clonidine causes increased sedation; fall in pulse rate and mean arterial blood pressure, which however, did not require active intervention in this study.

Copyright © D. Rama Devi, 2022, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Attenuation of postoperative pain, especially with certain types of analgesic regimens may decrease perioperative morbidity and mortality. Acute pain results in potentially life-threatening problem. Epidural anesthesia with local anesthetics, reduces physiologic responses to surgery and also provides superior pain relief. Various adjuvants like opioids, epinephrine, clonidine, ketamine, neostigmine, adenosine, midazolam, magnesium, verapamil, ketorolac, etc. have been tried with local anesthetics in the epidural space, to enhance analgesia while minimizing side effects. Clonidine being a partial α_2 adrenergic agonist has antihypertensive effects and can potentiate effects of local anesthetics. It acts by opioids-independent mechanism, stimulates α_2 adrenoceptors reducing central neural transmission in spinal neurons, and inhibits the release of substance-P. It acts presynaptically interfering with nitric oxide mechanisms and protein kinases as well as by stimulation of cholinergic interneuron. Anaesthesia was prolonged when clonidine was added to local anaesthetics for peripheral nerve blocks. The analgesic effect of clonidine is more potent after neuraxial administration which points to a spinal site of action, thus favouring neuraxial (intrathecal or epidural) administration. Epidural or intrathecal administration of clonidine potentiates the anesthetic action and reduces the

dose requirement of volatile or injectable general or regional anesthetic agents with correspondingly fewer side effects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

62 patients undergoing elective lower abdominal, Gynaecological and lower limb surgeries under epidural anesthesia at SVS Medical College Hospital, Mahbunagar were enrolled in the study. Ethics committee approval and written informed consent from patients aged between 18 to 60 years, height more than 150 cm of ASA physical status 1 or 2 were obtained. Patients with absolute or relative contraindications for epidural, ASA grade 3 or 4, with an adverse reaction to local anesthetics, on alpha-adrenergic receptor blockers, calcium channel blockers, ACE inhibitors with body weight >120kg and height < 150cm were excluded. Patients were randomized and allocated into 2 groups of 31 individuals each by sealed envelope method.

Group I: 0.5% bupivacaine + 0.9% normal saline, 1ml
Group II: 0.5% bupivacaine + Inj. Clonidine 2µg/kg (1 ml).

The volume of bupivacaine injected depended on the type of surgery and level of block required (1.5 ml/segment).

A pre-anesthetic evaluation was done for all patients on the day prior to surgery regarding history, general physical examination and relevant investigations. Baseline vital signs were recorded.

*Corresponding author: **D. Rama Devi**

S.V.S Medical College and Hospital, Mahbubnagar.

Pre-operative preparation included a period of overnight fasting. Patients were introduced to the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) and were taught how to use it. Zero end of the scale was taken as no pain and 10 as maximum possible pain imaginable. Patients asking for pharmacological premedication were excluded from the study. This was done to assess the effect of study drug i.e., clonidine, on sedation levels. After patients were transferred to the operating room, an Intravenous (IV) access was secured in the non-dominant upper limb using an 18G IV cannula. 10-15 ml/kg of IV crystalloid solution was given over 15 minutes just before administering epidural anesthesia. Minimum mandatory monitors like a pulse oximeter, non-invasive blood pressure and electrocardiogram were used and baseline oxygen saturation (SpO₂), Blood Pressure (BP) and pulse were noted. The patients were positioned in the lateral decubitus / sitting position. Under absolute asepsis, L2-L3 interspace was punctured with an 18G Tuohy needle after infiltration of the skin and inter spinous space with 2% plain lignocaine. Epidural space was identified with loss of resistance technique with saline. An 18G epidural catheter was then passed through the Tuohy needle and was left 4-5cm in to the epidural space in cephalad direction. A test dose of 3cc of 2% lignocaine with adrenaline 1:200,000 was given. BP, Pulse Rate (PR), Electrocardiograph (ECG) and SpO₂ were monitored intra operatively. PR, BP and SpO₂ were measured at an interval of every 5 min up to 30 min, then at 15min interval upto 60 min and thereafter at 30 min interval till the end of surgery. After confirming that there was no catheter misplacement, the control group received 0.5% bupivacaine and 1ml of 0.9% normal saline where as the clonidine group received 0.5% bupivacaine and 1ml of 2ug/kg of clonidine. During surgery, crystalloid intravenous fluids were administered at a rate of 150 ml/hr. Depending on perioperative blood loss and hemodynamic instability, additional IV fluids (crAssessment of Sensory Block

Bilateral cephalad extension of anesthesia was assessed at each dermatomal level using temperature discrimination. Time of onset of sensory block was taken as the time from completion of epidural injection to the loss of temperature discrimination at the level desired for that particular surgery.

Analgesic Duration

VAS was used to assess pain as shown in the chart. The intensity of pain was charted on VAS every hour and the duration to reach a VAS score of 6 (moderate pain) was noted for patients in both groups. Analgesic duration for a particular group was taken as the time for VAS score to reach 6 (moderate pain) from time of injection of epidural drug or for patients request for further analgesia from time of injection of the drug. Further analgesia was maintained through regular epidural top-ups or infusion of bupivacaine as deemed appropriate by the treating consultant.

Assessment of Motor Block

Modified Bromage scale was used to assess motor block every minute till peak effect and then every 5min after that the time of onset of complete motor block was assessed as the time from completion of epidural injection to the establishment of grade 1 motor blockade. Duration of motor blockade was taken as time from the regression of bromage reading from 1 to 2 (complete block to almost complete block).

Modified Bromage Scale	
Grade	Criteria
1	Complete block (unable to move feet or knees)
2	Almost complete block (able to move feet only)
3	Partial block (just able to move knees)
4	Detectable weakness of hip flexion while supine
5	No detectable weakness of hip flexion while supine with full flexion of knees

Hemodynamics and Side Effects

Decrease in mean arterial blood pressure greater than 20% below pre anesthetic baseline or systolic BP less than 90mmHg was treated with IV fluids and Inj. mephentermine 6-12mg. A decrease in HR was treated in accordance with the bradycardia algorithm of the American Heart Association. Side effects like nausea, vomiting, shivering or difficulty in micturition occurred. Inj. ondansetron 0.1mg/kg IV was used to treat vomiting. Inj. butorphenol 0.5-1.0 mg IV given to treat shivering. Degree of sedation was assessed using the Ramsay Sedation score. In case butorphenol was administered to any patient for shivering, sedation score prior to administration of butorphanol was used for statistical purposes. No narcotics analgesics or other CNS depressant drugs were given in addition to an epidural. On completion of the surgery, patients transferred to the post operative ward and staff nurses were instructed to notify the anesthesiologist on call in case of:

- Respiratory rate lower than 8 breaths/ min.
- Oxygen saturation lower than 90%.
- Systolic blood pressure less than 90mmHg.
- Inadequate analgesia (Patients with VAS more than 6 i.e severe to worst possible pain).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

SPSS11.5 software was used to perform statistical analysis. The student' paired T-test was used for the analysis of mean age, height and weight distribution, time of onset of sensory and motor block, duration of motor block and analgesia. A chi-square test was used for the analysis of intra operative side effects. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

The age, weight and height were comparable in both the groups with no significant statistical differences (Table 1).

In this study, MAP in mmHg pre operatively was 98.06 ± 7.12 and 97.77 ± 5.33 in control and clonidine group respectively, which was not statistically significant.

Changes in Mean Arterial blood Pressure (MAP) at 60mins after administration of the epidural drug was 83 ± 10.63 and 75.03 ± 9.06 in control and clonidine group, respectively. This fall in mean arterial blood pressure was statistically significant in the clonidine group (p-value = 0.002) (Table 2).

A decrease in pulse rate was statistically significant (p-value = 0.026) after 30mins of drug administration in the clonidine group (71.94 ± 11.89) as compared to the control group (74.03 ± 9.74) (Table 3).

No significant difference in the onset of sensory and motor block in either of the groups. The clonidine group had a significant prolongation of the motor block (p-value < 0.001). Duration of motor blockade (in mins) was 108 ± 11.15 and 229 ± 44.27 in the control and clonidine group respectively (Table 4).

The analgesic duration was significantly prolonged in the clonidine group (p-value < 0.001) Duration of analgesia (in mins) was 136 ± 11.74 and 380 ± 63.01 in control & clonidine groups, respectively (Table 5).

Three patients had nausea and two patients had vomited in the control group. However, no significant difference was observed in the incidence of nausea and vomiting in either group. The control group had 19.4% of patients exhibiting shivering which was statistically significant(Fig.1).

The clonidine group had patients with a sedation score of 3 and above, which was statistically significant (Fig.2).

DISCUSSION

Synergistic anti nociceptive effect with opioids is known to occur with clonidine an α2 adrenergic agonist Clonidine, an Alpha2 agonist produces analgesia with a non-opioid mechanism. Clonidine does not affect proprioception like local anesthetics. Respiratory depression, itching, nausea and vomiting, which occur with opioids, are not seen with clonidine. The potency of clonidine as an analgesic increased when given epidurally. Side effects like hypotension, bradycardia and sedation can occur α2 agonists affect the descending noradrenergic tract in the spinal cord that helps in pain modulation via a non-opioid mechanism. All the patients in this study were comparable with respect to demographic profiles. In our study, there was a significant fall in mean arterial pressure at an interval of 60 min (p-value = 0.002) after the epidural injection of the drug in the clonidine group (75.03± 9.06) as compared to control group (83.00 ± 10.63). Ikeda et.al. in their study in 2003, concluded that epidural administration of clonidine causes hypotension and bradycardia due to sympathetic blockade . In our study decrease in pulse rate was statistically significant (p-value=0.026) after 30 minutes of drug administration in the clonidine group(71.94±11.89)as compared to the control group(74.03±9.74).

Intrinsic anti hypertensive action of clonidine was known to cause this and not the effect of analgesia. In this study, the duration of analgesia was very much prolonged in the clonidine group (380.84±63.01) as compared to the control group (136.06±11.74). Parker et.al. In 2007 studied increased analgesic duration on the addition of clonidine to bupivacaine continuous epidural infusion .Huang et al. 2007 conducted a study on patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty, who received clonidine for patient-controlled epidural analgesia Less postoperative pain was seen in clonidine groups. Giovanni Cucchiario in 2006 compared the incidence of vomiting and pruritus as well as the analgesic profile and sedation score of three different combinations of bupivacaine, fentanyl, and clonidine administered epidurally in patients undergoing Nuss procedure. He found no significant difference in the sedation score. He also found that the number of patients who experienced vomiting was significantly less in the clonidine group. The number of patients who experienced pruritus was significantly less in the clonidine group versus the other groups. In our study, the clonidine group had a sedation score of 3 and above as compared to patients in the control group which was statistically significant.

No patients in the clonidine group experienced nausea and vomiting, whereas 9.7% of patients experienced nausea, 6.5% of patients experienced vomiting in the control group in our study.

Table1 Demographic data

Parameters	Group 1 (Control)	Group 2 (Clonidine)
Age(in years)	49.94 (±8.25)	47.10 (±9.27)
Weight (in Kg)	54.48 (±9.82)	54.58 (±8.67)
Height (in cm)	165.26 (±4.32)	164.32 (±4.42)
Sex(M:F)	13:18	11:18

a: values are reported as mean ± standard deviation.

Table2 Change in blood pressure (Mean Arterial Pressure).

Time	Control	Clonidine	p-value	Inference
Pre-op	98.06 ± 7.12	97.77 ± 5.33	0.86	N.S.
5 min	95.00 ± 6.54	93.10 ± 6.13	0.24	N.S.
10 min	87.87 ± 9.01	85.06 ± 7.56	0.19	N.S.
15 min	83.26 ± 7.99	83.48 ± 6.05	0.90	N.S.
20 min	81.00 ± 7.80	79.26 ± 5.91	0.33	N.S.
25 min	79.45 ± 8.72	77.71 ± 7.06	0.39	N.S.
30 min	78.68 ± 8.35	76.71 ± 9.25	0.38	N.S.
45 min	80.29 ± 9.40	76.65 ± 9.23	0.13	N.S.
60 min	83.00 ± 10.63	75.03 ± 9.06	0.002	S
90 min	85.45 ± 9.50	76.94 ± 6.99	0.001	S
120 min	87.71 ± 8.90	77.32 ± 8.10	0.001	S
150 min	89.06 ± 15.09	77.45 ± 6.17	0.001	S

N.S., Not Significant ; S, Significant

p-value = 0.0002 which was statistically significant in the clonidine group.

Table 3 Change in pulse rate.

Time	Control	Clonidine	P value	Inference
Pre-op	82.65 ± 10.94	84.29 ± 12.90	0.056	N.S.
5 min	81.45 ± 12.68	80.10 ± 12.01	0.053	N.S.
10 min	80.41 ± 10.43	79.58 ± 10.91	0.057	N.S.
15 min	78.12 ± 10.30	78.32 ± 12.38	0.053	N.S.
20 min	76.71 ± 9.72	77.19 ± 12.72	0.21	N.S.
25 min	74.94 ± 9.35	74.45 ± 12.54	0.11	N.S.
30 min	74.03 ± 9.74	71.94 ± 11.89	0.026	S
45 min	71.39 ± 9.97	67.48 ± 11.84	0.031	S
60 min	70.94 ± 11.02	65.77 ± 9.81	0.023	S
90 min	70.32 ± 11.10	64.46 ± 9.18	0.01	S
120 min	70.42 ± 10.60	62.16 ± 9.40	0.043	S
150 min	71.97 ± 10.70	61.39 ± 9.67	0.035	S

Table 4 Duration of motor blockade (in minutes).

Time in Minutes	No. of Patients	
	Control	Clonidine
50-100	12	00
101-150	19	00
151-200	00	08
201-250	00	14
251-300	00	06
301-350	00	03
Minimum time	90	180
Maximum time	130	315
Mean ± S.D.	108 ± 11.15	229 ± 44.27

Values represented in terms of Mean ± SD .P-value<0.001 in the clonidine group, which was highly statistically significant.

Table 5 Duration of analgesia (in minutes).

Time in Minutes	No. of Patients	
	Control	Clonidine
101-150	30	00
151-200	01	00
201-250	00	01
251-300	00	00
301-350	00	11
351-400	00	09
401-450	00	06
451-500	00	04
Minimum time	120	250
Maximum time	165	465
Mean±S.D.	136 ± 11.74	380 ± 63.01

Values represented in terms of Mean ± SD. P-value<0.001 was highly statistically significant.

Intra operative Side Effects




Fig.(1).Bar diagram depicting side effects like nausea, vomiting, shivering, in control and clonidine groups.

Sedation




Fig.(2).Bar diagram depicting sedation score in control and clonidine groups.

CONCLUSION

There was an increase in the duration of analgesia following the addition of clonidine to bupivacaine 2 ug/kg in comparison to bupivacaine alone when instilled in the epidural space. The duration of motor block was increased with no effect on the onset of sensory and motor block.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS

Not applicable

FUNDING

None

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declared none

References

1. Svensson CI, Yaksh TL. The spinal phospholipase-cyclooxygenase-Prostanoid cascade in nociceptive processing. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*.
2. Bonica JJ, Ed. The management of pain. 2nd ed. Lea and Febiger 1990. Crews JC. New developments in epidural anesthesia and analgesia.
3. David L. Brown. Spinal, Epidural and Caudal Anesthesia. Miller's Anesthesia. Elsevier Publications.
4. Gabriel JS, Gordin V. Alpha 2 agonists in regional anesthesia and analgesia.

5. Eisenach JC, De Kock M, Klimscha W. α_2 - adrenergic agonists for regional anesthesia. A clinical review of clonidine (1984-1995). *Anesthesiology* 1996; 85(3): 655-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199609000-00026] [PMID:8853097]
6. Park J, Forrest J, Kolesar R, Bhola D, Beattie S, Chu C. Oral clonidine reduces postoperative PCA morphine requirements. *Can J Anaesth* 1996; 43(9): 900-6. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03011802] [PMID: 8874906]
7. Bernard JM, Hommeril JL, Passuti N, Pinaud M. Post operative analgesia by intravenous clonidine. *Anesthesiology* 1991;75(4) : 577-82. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199110000-00006] [PMID :1928767]
8. Lund C, Qvitzau S, Greulich A, Hjortsø NC, Kehlet H. Comparison of the effects of extradural clonidine with those of morphine on post operative pain, stress responses, cardiopulmonary function and motor and sensory block. *Br J Anaesth* 1989; 63(5): 516-9. [http:// dx.doi.org/10.1093/bja/63.5.516] [PMID: 2513858]
9. Nishikawa T. Extradural clonidine for post operative pain relief. *Br J Anaesth* 1996; 76(1): 171. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bja/76.1.171] [PMID: 8672370]
10. Klimscha W, Chiari A, Krafft P, *et al*. Hemodynamic and analgesic effects of clonidine added repetitively to continuous epidural and spinal blocks. *Anesth Analg* 1995; 80(2): 322-7. [PMID: 7818120]
11. Svetcic G, Gentilini A, Eichenberger U, *et al*. Combinations of bupivacaine, fentanyl, and clonidine for lumbar epidural post operative analgesia: A novel optimization procedure. *Anesthesiology* 2004; 101(6): 1381-93. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000542-200412000-00019] [PMID:15564946]
12. Liu N, Bonnet F, Delaunay L, Kermarec N, D'Honneur G. Partial reversal of the effects of extradural clonidine by oral yohimbine in postoperative patients. *Br J Anaesth* 1993;70(5): 515-8. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bja/70.5.515] [PMID: 8318322]
13. Kalia PK, Madan R, Batra RK, Latha V, Vardhan V, Gode GR. Clinical study on epidural clonidine for post operative analgesia. *Indian J Med Res* 1986; 83: 550-2. [PMID: 3733212]
14. Filos KS, Goudas LC, Patroni O, Polyzou V. Intrathecal clonidine as a sole analgesic for pain relief after cesarean section. *Anesthesiology* 1992; 77(2): 267-74. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199208000-00008] [PMID:1642346]
15. De Kock M, Crochet B, Morimont C, Scholtes JL. Intravenous or epidural clonidine for intra- and post operative analgesia. *Anesthesiology* 1993;79(3):525-31. [http:// dx.doi.org/ 10.1097/00000542-199309000-00016] [PMID:8363078]
16. Chander B, Santoshi ID. Epidural clonidine for post operative pain. *Indian J Anaesth* 1990; 38: 21-3.
17. De Kock M, Famenne F, Deckers G, Scholtes JL. Epidural clonidine or sufentanil for intra operative and postoperative analgesia. *Anesth Analg* 1995; 81(6): 1154-62. [PMID: 7486097]
18. De Kock M, Wiederkher P, Laghmiche A, Scholtes JL. Epidural clonidine used as the sole analgesic agent during and after abdominal surgery. A dose-response study. *Anesthesiology* 1997; 86(2): 285-92. [http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1097/00000542-199702000-00003] [PMID:9054246]
19. De Kock M, Gautier P, Pavlopoulou A, Jonniaux M, Lavand'home P. Epidural clonidine or bupivacaine as the sole analgesic agent during and after abdominal surgery: A comparative study. *Anesthesiology* 1999; 90(5): 1354-62. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00000542-199905000-00020] [PMID:10319784]