

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 6, Issue, 8, pp.5827-5831, August, 2015 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

RESEARCH ARTICLE

DIAGNOSTIC UTILITY OF FNAC IN EVALUATION OF BREAST MASSES

Ashwin Pattanshetty Khageshan¹, Sharanamma Wali² and Sainath Karanappa Andola³

^{1,2}S.N. Medical College, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India ³M.R.Medical College, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT				
Article History:	Introduction: Breast cancer is the second commonest malignancy of females in our country. Given that				
Received 5 th , July, 2015 Received in revised form 12 th , July, 2015 Accepted 6 th , August, 2015 Published online 28 th , August, 2015	 70% of all breast surgical procedures are performed for benign breast diseases, the importance of the role of FNAC is becoming immediately apparent. The combination of palpation, mammography & FNAC (Triple Test) has been found to considerably increase the diagnostic accuracy in breast lesions. Hence this study was undertaken to analyze the cyto-morphological features of palpable breast lesions and to classify them in to neoplastic and non-neoplastic and to co-relate with histopathological diagnosis. Materials And Methods: This prospective study was undertaken on 413 patients during January 2004 to December 2005. The informed consent was taken from all the participants. All the palpable breast lumps were included in the study. Lesions difficult to localize on physical examination and patients who refused for the consent were excluded from the study. The clinical data was elicited, examination of the patients performed meticulously. The slides were 				
	The various cytomorphological features of the breast lesions was studied, analyzed and placed in the				
Key words:	appropriate category and histopathological correlation done wherever available. Results: Based on cytological features the cases were classified in to benign in 319 cases (77.24 %), malignant in 76 cases (18.40 %), suspicious in 5 cases (1.21 %) and un-satisfactory in 13 cases (3.15 %). Histopathological correlation was available in 105 cases. There was a single false negative case in the study. The study revealed a sensitivity of 96.97 %, specificity of 100 % and a diagnostic accuracy of 99.05 %.				
	Conclusion: The present study confirms the view, that FNAC of breast in one of the most valuable diagnostic tool in the assessment and management palpable breast lumps on account of its low cost, minimal morbidity, rapid turnaround time, ability to differentiate benign from malignant lesions with high sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy.				
Copyright © Ashwin Pattansl Commons Attribution License. w	hetty Khageshan <i>et al.,</i> This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work				

INTRODUCTION

is properly cited.

For years, pathology was centered on diagnostic histopathology which in turn depends on surgical biopsy.¹ Aspiration cytology as a diagnostic procedure was introduced as a substitute of excision biopsy and is an interpretative art with histopathology as its scientific basis.²

The FNAC of breast lesions dates back to Martin & Ellis and since then a vast experience in diagnosis of breast lumps has accumulated.¹ Breast cancer is the second commonest malignancy of females in our country and is a leading cause of mortality in the prime of their lives.³ Today is the era where the cytologists are expected to make a "Surgical Path" type of diagnosis on breast aspirates. Given that 70% of all breast surgical procedures are performed for benign breast diseases, the importance of the role of FNAC is becoming immediately apparent.⁴ The combination of palpation, mammography &

FNAC (Triple Test) has been found to considerably increase the diagnostic accuracy in breast lesions.⁵

Hence this study was undertaken to analyze the cytomorphological features of palpable breast lesions and to classify them in to neoplastic and non-neoplastic and to corelate with histopathological diagnosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective study was undertaken in the Department of Pathology, M.R. Medical College, Gulbarga on the patients attending the OPD and IPD services and being referred for FNAC during the period of January 2004 to December 2005. The ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional ethical committee. The informed consent was taken from all the participants. The study was conducted on 413 patients. All the palpable breast lumps were included in the study. Lesions

^{*}Corresponding author: Ashwin Pattanshetty Khageshan

S.N. Medical College, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India

difficult to localize on physical examination and patients who refused for the consent were excluded from the study.

The clinical data was elicited, examination of the patients performed meticulously. FNAC procedure was performed on the patients under manual guidance with aseptic precautions. The slides were immediately wet-fixed in alcohol for Papanicolaou's and H & E stains and few air-dried for Giemsa stain. The various cytomorphological features of the breast lesions was studied, analyzed and placed in the appropriate category and histopathological correlation done wherever available.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows that the study included 401 females & 12 males and the most common pathology encountered in the breast was benign lesions in 319 cases (77.24 %).

Table 1 Type and Incidence of Breast Lesions

	T fl		T . (.) 0/			
SI. NO.	Type of lesion	Female	%	Male	%	-Total %
1.	Benign	310	75.06	9	2.18	319 77.24
2.	Malignant	74	17.92	2	0.48	76 18.40
3.	Suspicious	5	1.21	0	0	05 1.21
4.	Unsatisfactory	12	2.91	1	0.24	13 3.15
	Total	401	97.1	12	2.9	413 100

The youngest and the oldest patients in the study were 8 yrs to 80 years. Table 2 shows that maximum numbers of benign lesions were encountered in the age range of 11- 40 years whereas the malignant lesions noted in age range of 31- 60 years.

Left breast was most commonly involved in 210 cases (50.85 %) as compared to right breast which was involved in 180 cases (43.58 %). The cases with bilateral breast involvement were 23 cases (5.57 %). A satisfactory aspirate was obtained in 400 cases (96.85 %) and 13 cases (3.15 %) had unsatisfactory aspirate.

The benign lesions were further analyzed. The most common benign lesion was fibroadenoma (128 cases) to be followed by fibrocystic disease (91 cases). A few rare entities like microfilaria along with fibroadenoma and Intramammary lymphnode were also identified and depicted in table 3.

Table 4 depicts Duct carcinoma NOS type was the most common primary tumor diagnosed in 69 cases. Two cases had recurrences. No metastatic tumor to the breast was documented.

Out of 76 malignant cases, 10 cases showed metastatic tumor deposits in axillary lymphnodes while cervical lymphnodes were involved in only 2 cases.

Histopathological correlation was available in 108 cases (table 5). For calculation of statistical indices, the cases having unsatisfactory aspirates were excluded and suspicious cases were considered positive for malignancy, accounting to 105 cases. 104 cases correlated with cytological diagnosis and only one case diagnosed benign on cytology proved to be malignant (infiltrating duct carcinoma-NOS type) on histology – False negative.

Table-2 Age and Sex Incidence in Breast Lesions

Age in years	Age in years Benign		Mali	Malignant		Suspicious		factory	Total	0/
	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	F	Μ	Total	70
≤10	1						2		3	0.73
11-20	72	4							76	18.40
21-30	125	2	4		1		3		135	32.69
31-40	74		21		3		5	1	104	25.18
41-50	25	1	25		1		1		53	12.83
51-60	8	2	13						23	5.57
61-70	4		8	2			1		15	3.63
71-80	1		3						4	0.97
	310	9	74	2	5		12	1	412	100
Total	31	9	7	'6	5		13		415	100

Sl. No.	Lesion	No. of cases	Percentage
1.	Benign basic pattern	6	1.45
2.	Fibroadenoma	128	30.99
3.	Benign phyllodes tumor	3	0.73
4.	Fibrocystic change	91	22.03
5.	Duct papilloma	2	0.48
6.	Galactocoele	14	3.40
7.	Breast abscess	27	6.54
8.	Acute non-specific mastitis	7	1.69
9.	Granulomatous mastitis (TB)	6	1.45
10.	Fat necrosis	3	0.73
11.	Duct ectasia	3	0.73
12.	Epidermal cyst	7	1.69
13.	Accessary breast tissue	12	2.91
14.	Gynaecomastia	9	2.18
15.	Intramammary lymphnode	1	0.24
	Total	319	77.24

Table-4Classification of Malignant Lesions

Sl. No.	Lesion	No. of cases	Percentage
1.	Primary breast cancer		
	a) Duct carcinoma	69	16.71
	b) Mucinous carcinoma	3	0.73
	c) Lobular carcinoma	1	0.24
	d) Paget's disease	1	0.24
2.	Recurrence (chest wall)	2	0.48
	Total	76	18.40

Histo-pathological correlation of 105 cases

TP = True positive = 32TN = True negative = 72FP = False positive = 00

FN = False negative = 01

Cytological diagnosis	Total	%	Biopsy	Positive cytology	Accuracy	Negative cytology
-,	cases		available	report		report
A) BENIGN						
Benign basic pattern	6	1.45				
Fibroadenoma	128	30.99	47	46	97.87	2.13
Benign phyllodes tumor	3	0.73	2	2	100	
Fibrocystic change	91	22.03	12	12	100	
Duct papilloma	2	0.48				
Galactocoele	14	3.40	1	1	100	
Breast abscess	27	6.54	3	3	100	
Acute nonspecific mastitis	7	1.69				
Granulomatous mastitis	6	1.45	1	1	100	
Fat necrosis	3	0.73				
Duct ectasia	3	0.73				
Epidermal cyst	7	1.69	1	1	100	
Accessary breast tissue	12	2.91	2	2	100	
Gynaecomastia	9	2.18	4	4	100	
Intramammary lymphnode	1	0.24				
B) MALIGNANT						
Duct carcinoma	69	16.71	29	29	100	
Mucinous carcinoma	3	0.73	1	1	100	
Lobular carcinoma	1	0.24				
Paget's disease	1	0.24	1	1	100	
Recurrence	2	0.48				
C) SUSPICIOUS						
Suspicious	5	1.21	1	1	100	
D)						
UNSATISFACTORY						
Unsatisfactory	13	3.15	3			
Total	413	100	108			

 Table-5
 Cyto-histological Correlation

Table - 6 Statistical results

Sl. No	Statistical indices	Result
1	Sensitivity	96.97 %
2	Specificity	100 %
3	Positive predictive value	100 %
4	Negative predictive value	98.63 %
5	False positive ratio	0 %
6	False negative ratio	3.03 %
7	Diagnostic accuracy	99.05 %





Fig. 2 Phyllodes tumor (Giemsa x LP)



Fig. 4 Microfilaria (Giemsa x HP)



Figure 5 Mucinous carcinoma (Giemsa x HP)



Figure 6 Lobular carcinoma (PAP x: HP)



Figure 7 Duct carcinoma (H& E x HP)



Figure 8 Duct carcinoma (Giemsa x HP)

DISCUSSION

Female breast is a complex anatomical structure which is extremely sensitive to endocrinal influences.⁶ Although rare complications like hematoma & pneunothorax have been reported in the literature^{7,8}, no complications were observed in the present study.

The incidence of un-satisfactory aspirates in breast FNAC varied in various studies.^{9,10} In the present study it was 3.15 % which was attributable due to the small size along with the nature of lesion & faulty technique.

Lesions of the breast are usually confined to females because male breast is a rudimentary structure, relatively insensitive to endocrinal influences and apparent resistant to neoplastic growth.⁶ In the present study there were 401 females & 12 males with a female to male ratio of 33.42: 1 which was in comparison to other studies.^{11,12}

Out of 74 malignant cases in females, 26 cases (35.14 %) had menarche before 13 years & 49 cases (66.22 %) occurred in post-menopausal age group which is in accordance to the literature that early menarche and late menopause predispose to breast cancer.¹³ In this study no clear cut relationship was observer between between the marital status and breast cancer.

The incidence of benign lesions in the present study were similar to the observations made by Y.D. Choi⁹ *et al* and Rocha¹⁰ *et al*, where as the incidence of malignant cases and suspicious cases were in comparison with the observations of Ishita Pant¹⁴ *et al*. as depicted in table 7

Table-7	Comparativ	e Analysis	of Breast	Lesions
---------	------------	------------	-----------	---------

Au	thors Name	Rocha ¹⁰ (1997)	Ishita Pant ¹⁴ (2003)	YD Choi ⁹ (2004)	Present study
SI No	Period	4 years	1 year	4 years	2 years
51. INU	"Breast Lesion	1			
	Benign	641 (76.58%)	85 (68%)	981 (75.64%)	319 (77.24%)
	Malignant	99 (11.83%)	25 (20%)	182 (14.03%)	76 (18.40%)
	Suspicious	26 (3.11%)	2 (1.6%)	38 (2.93%)	5 (1.21%)
	Unsatisfactory	71 (8.48%)	13 (10.4%)	96 (7.40%)	13 (3.15%)
	Total	837 (100%)	125 (100%)	1297 (100%)	413 (100%)

Further sub-categorization of benign lesions in the present study were in comparison to the studies of RGW Pinto¹¹ *et al* and Srinivas¹⁵ *et al* as depicted in Table 8

Table-8 Comparative Analysis of Benign Lesions

	Sreenivas ¹⁵ (1989) n=222		Rocha ¹⁰		RGW	Pinto ¹¹	Present	
Cytological diagnosis			(19	997)	(20	04)	study	
			n=	837	n=582		n=413	
	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
Benign basic pattern							6	1.45
Fibroadenoma	69	31.08	177	21.15	166	28.52	128	30.99
Benign phyllodes tumor					5	0.86	3	0.73
Fibrocystic change			285	34.05	23	3.95	91	22.03
Duct papilloma							2	0.48
Galactocoele	5	2.25					14	3.40
Breast abscess	17	7.66	58	6.93	12	2.06	27	6.54
Acute nonspecific							7	1 60
mastitis							/	1.09
Granulomatous mastitis	2	0.9			2	0.34	6	1.46
Filariasis	3	1.35					1*	0.24*
Fat necrosis	1	0.45					3	0.73
Duct ectasia							3	0.73
Epidermal cyst							7	1.69
Accessary breast tissue							12	2.91
Gynaecomastia	1	0.45	26	3.11	13	2.24	9	2.18
Intramammary							1	0.24
Lymphnode							1	0.24
Total	98	44.14	546	65.24	221	37.96	319	77.24

Out of 413 cases in the present study, 69 cases (16.71 %) had duct carcinoma which was similar to results obtained by Ishita pant¹⁴ *et al* as shown in table 9

Table-9 Comparative Analysis of Malignant Lesions

Cytological diagnosis	F Dominguez ¹⁶ (1997) n=1398		Ishita I (200 n=1	Pant¹⁴ (3) 25	RGW (20 n=	Pinto ¹¹ 04) 582	Present study n=413	
	No	%	No	%	No	%	No	%
1) Primary								
breast								
Cancer								
Duct Carcinoma	141	10.09	20	16	167	28.69	69	16.71
Mucinous carcinoma	1	0.07	02	1.6	3	0.52	3	0.73
Lobular carcinoma	4	0.29			1	0.17	1	0.24
Paget's disease			02	1.6			1	0.24
Inflammatory carcinoma			01	0.8				
2) Recurrent Carcinoma							2	0.48
Total	146	10.45	25	20	171	29.38	76	18.40

The study revealed 32 cases which were truly positive, and 72 cases which were truly negative, one case was falsely negative and no false positive case was registered.

The study revealed a sensitivity of 96.97 %, specificity of 100 % and a diagnostic accuracy of 99.05 % which were similar to

the results obtained by RGW Pinto¹¹ *et al*, M Sampat¹⁸ *et al* and JF Silverman¹⁷ *et al* as shown in table 10.

Table-10	Statistical	Results -	Comparative	Analysis
----------	-------------	-----------	-------------	----------

Sl. No	Study	No. of FNAC	Sensitivity	Specificity	Positive predictive value	Negative predictive value	Accuracy %
	JF						
	Silverman	80	96	100	100	98	99
	17 (1989)						
	М						
	Sampat ¹⁸	1120	96	100	100	89.50	97
	(1991)						
	Rocha ¹⁰	837	93.80	98 21	92 70		97 40
	(1997)	057	25.00	90.21	12.10		77.40
	YD Choi ⁹	1297	77 7	99.2	98.4	88	91.1
	(2004)	1277	,,.,	<i>)).</i> 2	20.1	00	<i>y</i> 1.1
	RGW						
	Pinto	582	97.8	100	100	98.6	99.1
	(2004)						
	Present	413	96.97	100	100	98.63	99.05
	study						

CONCLUSION

The present study confirms the view, that FNAC of breast in one of the most valuable diagnostic tool in the assessment and management palpable breast lumps on account of its low cost, minimal morbidity, rapid turnaround time, ability to differentiate benign from malignant lesions with high sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy.

References

- SR Orell, GF Sterret, Max N–I Walters, D Whitaker. Manual and Atlas of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology. 3rd edition. London: Churchill Livingstone; 1999: 1-8.
- Shanta Krishnamurthy. Fine needle aspiration cytology – An overview. In. aspiration cytology for clinicians and pathologists. Ed. Professional Education Division, Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai: 1991: 1-9.
- Kiran Misra, Sima Mukhyopadhaya, N Jayaram, R Nijhawan, CP Schroff, Gladwyn Leiman. "FNAC of Breast". Proceedings of symposium held during the 27th annual conference of IAC at New Delhi, November 1997. Ed. N. Jayaram. *Journal of Cytology* 1998; 15 (1): 5-30.
- Ellis H, Cox PJ. Breast problems in 1000 consecutive referrals in surgical outpatients. Post grad Med J 1984; 60: 653.
- 5. Dixon JM, Anderson TJ, Lamb J, Nixon SJ. Fine needle aspiration cytology in relation to clinical examination and mammography in the diagnosis of solid breast masses. *British journal of Surgery* 1984; 7: 593-596.
- Cotran RS, Kumar V and Robbins. Pathologic basis of disease. 5th Edition, Philadelphia: WB Saunders Company 1994: 1089-1011.

How to cite this article:

 SR Orell, GF Sterret, Max N–I Walters, D Whitaker. Manual and Atlas of Fine Needle Aspiration Cytology. 3rd edition. London: Churchill Livingstone; 1999: 145-200.

- 8. Kim R Geisinger, Jan F Silverman. Fine needle aspiration cytology of superficial organs and body sites. Philadelphia. Churchill Livingstone; 1999: 57-84.
- YD Choi, YH Choi, JH Lee, JH Nam, SW Juhng, C Choi. Analysis of fine needle aspiration cytology of the breast: A review of 1297 cases and correlation with histological diagnosis. Acta Cytol 2004; 48 (6): 801–806.
- Premila De Souza Rocha, NS Nadkarni, S Menezes. Fine needle aspiration biopsy of breast lesions and histopathologic correlation: An Analysis of 837 cases in 4 years. Acta Cytol 1997; 41 (3): 705–712.
- RGW Pinto, Kulwant Singh. A statistical analysis of Fine needle aspiration biopsies in palpable benign (Neoplastic and Non-Neoplastic) breast lesions. *Journal of Cytology* 2004; 21 (2): 64–67.
- 12. A Joshi, K Kapila, K Verma. Fine needle aspiration cytology in the management of male breast lesion. Ninteen years of experience. Acta Cytol ; 1999; 43(3) : 334-338.
- Park K. Textbook of Preventive and Social Medicine. 16th edition. Jabalpur. M/S Bhanarasidas Bhanot Publishers; 2000: 270 -304.
- 14. Ishita Pant, PK Singh, SN Singh, Asha Agarwal, NB Singh. Cytomorphologic study of palpable breast lesions and histopathologic correlation. *Journal of Cytology* 2003; 20 (3): 129–132.
- 15. M Sreenivas, G Harish Kumar, S Jayabhaskar Reddy, C Sharmal Bhaskaran. Role of Fine needle aspiration cytology in diagnosis of breast lumps and its histopathological correlation. Indian J pathology and microbiology 1989; 32(2): 133-137.
- F Dominguez, Jose RR, Sergio Tojo, Pilar J. Fine needle aspiration of breast masses: An analysis of 1398 patients in a community hospital. Acta Cytol 1997; 41 (2): 341–347.
- JF Silverman, JL Finley, Kevin F, DJ Dabbs, H Kim, H Thomas Norris *et al.* Diagnostic accuracy and role of immediate interpretation of Fine needle aspiration biopsy specimens from various sites. Acta Cytol 1989; 33 (6): 791–796.
- M Sampat. Aspiration cytology of breast. In. Aspiration cytology for clinicians and pathologists. Ed. S Krishnamurthy. Professional Educational Division, Tata Memorial Hospital, Mumbai 1991: 14-47.

Ashwin Pattanshetty Khageshan et al., Diagnostic Utility Of Fnac In Evaluation Of Breast Masses. International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 6, Issue, 8, pp.5827-5831, August, 2015
