



*International Journal Of*  
**Recent Scientific  
Research**

ISSN: 0976-3031  
Volume: 6(12) December -2015

ESTIMATES OF SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR SUBCLASSES OF  
GENERALISED PASCU CLASSES OF FUNCTIONS

Harjinder Singh and Parvinder Singh



THE OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF  
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (IJRSR)  
<http://www.recentscientific.com/> [recentscientific@gmail.com](mailto:recentscientific@gmail.com)



**RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**ESTIMATES OF SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR SUBCLASSES OF  
GENERALISED PASCU CLASSES OF FUNCTIONS**

**Harjinder Singh<sup>1</sup> and Parvinder Singh<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Government Rajindra College, Bathinda (Punjab), India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, S.G.G.S. Khalsa College, Mahilpur (Punjab), India

**ARTICLE INFO**

**Article History:**

Received 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2015  
Received in revised form 21<sup>st</sup>  
October, 2015  
Accepted 06<sup>th</sup> November, 2015  
Published online 28<sup>st</sup>  
December, 2015

**Key words:**

Hankel determinant, Carathéodory  
functions, Univalent Starlike, Univalent  
convex, close-to-convex and close-to-  
starlike functions with respect to  
symmetric points.

**ABSTRACT**

A subclass of generalized Pascu classes of functions with respect to symmetric points is considered and obtain sharp upper bounds for the generalized second Hankel determinant  $|a_2a_4 - \mu a_3^2|$  for an analytic function  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  ( $\mu$  is real and  $|z| < 1$ ) belonging to the class.

**Copyright © Harjinder Singh and Parvinder Singh., 2015**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**INTRODUCTION**

**Definitions**

**Carathéodory Functions [1]**

Let  $\wp$  be the class of analytic functions  $\phi(z)$  of the form

$$\phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k \tag{1}$$

which satisfies the condition  $\text{Re} \{ \phi(z) \} > 0$  in the open unit disc  $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ .

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{2}$$

which are analytic in  $E = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ .

\*Corresponding author: **Harjinder Singh**  
Department of Mathematics, Government Rajindra College, Bathinda(Punjab), India

$S$  is the class of functions of the form (2) which are analytic univalent in  $E$ .

**The Hankel Determinant [6, 7]**

Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  be analytic in  $E$ . For  $q \geq 1$ , the  $q^{\text{th}}$  Hankel determinant of  $f$  is defined by

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n a_{n+1} \dots a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} a_{n+2} \dots a_{n+q} \\ \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} a_{n+q} \dots a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

The second Hankel determinant is defined by  $|H_2(2)| = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 a_3 \\ a_3 a_4 \end{vmatrix}$ . The second Hankel determinant was studied by various authors including Hayman [4] and Pommeranke [8, 9]. We are interested in sharp upper bounds for the functional  $|a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2|$  for certain subclasses of analytic functions.

Sakaguchi [10] introduced the concept of univalent star like functions with respect to symmetric points. A function  $f \in A$  is called univalent starlike with respect to symmetric points if and only if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in E, \tag{3}$$

and the class of functions satisfying (3) may be denoted by  $S_s^*$ .

Das and Singh [2] extended the concept of symmetric points to convex and close-to-convex functions. A function  $f \in A$  is said to be univalent convex w. r. t. symmetric points if and only if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(z f'(z))'}{(f(z) - f(-z))'} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in E, \tag{4}$$

and class of such functions is denoted by  $K_s$ .

$C_s$  is the class of close-to-convex functions  $\langle \rangle f$  in  $A$  with respect to symmetric points if there exists a function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in S_s^* \text{ such that} \tag{5}$$

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{g(z) - g(-z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in E. \tag{6}$$

If there exists a function

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in K_s \text{ for which} \tag{7}$$

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{h(z) - h(-z)} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in E, \tag{8}$$

the class of functions  $\langle \rangle f(z)$  in  $A$  and satisfying the condition (8) may be denoted by  $C_{1(s)}$ .

Let  $C_s^*$  denote the class of functions in  $A$  which satisfy the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{g(z) - g(-z)} \right\} > 0, \quad g \in S_s^* \text{ and } \langle \rangle z \in E. \tag{9}$$

On replacing  $g$  by  $h \in K_s$  in (9), the corresponding class of functions  $f$  in  $A$  may be denoted by  $C_{1(s)}^*$ .

Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\frac{f(z)f'(z)}{z} \neq 0$ . Then  $C_s^*(\alpha)$  is the class of functions  $f$  in  $A$  with respect to symmetric points if there exists a function  $g \in S_s^*$  such that

$$\left\{ \frac{2(1-\alpha)f(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)} + \frac{2\alpha zf'(z)}{g(z)-g(-z)} \right\} = (z), \quad z \in E. \tag{10}$$

For  $h \in K_s$ ,  $C_{1(s)}^*(\alpha)$  is the class of functions  $f$  in  $A$  which satisfies the condition

$$\left\{ \frac{2(1-\alpha)f(z)}{h(z)-h(-z)} + \frac{2\alpha zf'(z)}{h(z)-h(-z)} \right\} = (z), \quad z \in E. \tag{11}$$

### PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

The following lemmas are required to establish our results.

**Lemma** ([3]). If  $(z)$ , then  $|p_k| \leq 2$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ).

**Lemma** ([5]). If  $(z) \in \mathcal{P}$ , then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x, \tag{1}$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2p_1(4 - p_1^2)x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z, \tag{2}$$

for some  $x$  and  $z$  with  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $|z| = 1$ .

### MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem** Let  $f \in C_s^*(\alpha)$ . Then, for any real number  $\mu$ ,

$$|a_2a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{8(2B - \mu K)^2}{C(B - \mu K)} - \frac{9\mu}{(1 + 2)^2}, & \mu \leq 0; \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{32}{C}(B - \mu K) + \frac{9\mu}{(1 + 2)^2}, & 0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{B}{K}; \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{9\mu}{(1 + 2)^2}, & \frac{B}{K} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2B}{K}; \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{8(\mu K - 2B)^2}{C(\mu K - B)} + \frac{9\mu}{(1 + 2)^2}, & \mu \geq \frac{2B}{K}. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Where

$$\begin{cases} B = 4(1 + 2)^2 \\ K = 9(1 + )(1 + 3 ) \\ C = 16(1 + )(1 + 3 )(1 + 2 )^2 \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

The bounds are sharp.

**Proof.** Since  $f \in C_s^*(\alpha)$ , we have

$$(1 - \alpha)f(z) + \alpha zf'(z) = G(z)P(z), \quad G(z) = \frac{g(z) - g(-z)}{2}. \tag{6}$$

Equating the coefficients in (6)

$$\begin{cases} a_2 = \frac{p_1}{(1 + \alpha)} \\ a_3 = \frac{p_2 + b_3}{(1 + 2\alpha)} \\ a_4 = \frac{p_3 + p_1 b_3}{(1 + 3\alpha)} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Again  $g \in S_s^*$  implies that  $zg(z) = G(z)P(z)$ . (8)

Identifying the terms in (8) leads us to

$$\begin{cases} b_2 = \frac{p_1}{2} \\ b_3 = \frac{p_2}{2} \\ b_4 = \frac{p_3}{4} + \frac{p_1 p_2}{8} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Combination of (7) and (9) give arise to

$$\begin{cases} a_2 = \frac{p_1}{(1 + \alpha)} \\ a_3 = \frac{3p_2}{2(1 + 2\alpha)} \\ a_4 = \frac{2p_3 + p_1 p_2}{2(1 + 3\alpha)} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

System (10) yields

$$C(a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2) = Bp_1(4p_3) + Bp_1^2(2p_2) - \mu K(2p_2)^2$$

which on applying lemma 2 can be put in the form

$$C(a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2) = Bp_1\{p_1^3 + 2p_1(4 - p_1^2)x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z\} + Bp_1^2\{p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x\} - \mu K\{p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x\}^2$$

which implies that

$$C(a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2) = (2B - \mu K)p_1^4 + (3B - 2\mu K)p_1^2(4 - p_1^2)x - (4 - p_1^2)\{(B - \mu K)p_1^2 + 4\mu K\}x^2 + 2Bp_1(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z. \quad (11)$$

Replacing  $p_1$  by  $p \in [0, 2]$  and using triangular inequality, (11) takes the form

$$C|a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2| = |2B - \mu K|p^4 + |3B - 2\mu K|p^2(4 - p^2)\delta + 2Bp(4 - p^2)(1 - \delta^2) + (4 - p^2)\{|B - \mu K|p^2 + 4|\mu K|\}^2, \quad \delta = |x| \leq 1,$$

which can be put in the form

$$C|a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{cases}
 (2B - \mu K)p^4 + (3B - 2\mu K)p^2(4 - p^2)\delta + 2Bp(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(B - \mu K)p^2 - 4\mu K - 2Bp\}^2 & \text{if } \mu = 0; \\
 (2B - \mu K)p^4 + (3B - 2\mu K)p^2(4 - p^2)\delta + 2Bp(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(B - \mu K)p^2 + 4\mu K - 2Bp\}^2 & \text{if } 0 < \mu < \frac{B}{K}; \\
 (2B - \mu K)p^4 + (3B - 2\mu K)p^2(4 - p^2)\delta + 2Bp(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(\mu K - B)p^2 + 4\mu K - 2Bp\}^2 & \text{if } \frac{B}{K} < \mu < \frac{3B}{2K}; \\
 (2B - \mu K)p^4 + (2\mu K - 3B)p^2(4 - p^2)\delta + 2Bp(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(\mu K - B)p^2 + 4\mu K - 2Bp\}^2 & \text{if } \frac{3B}{2K} < \mu < \frac{2B}{K}; \\
 (\mu K - 2B)p^4 + (2\mu K - 3B)p^2(4 - p^2)\delta + 2Bp(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(\mu K - B)p^2 + 4\mu K - 2Bp\}^2 & \text{if } \mu > \frac{2B}{K}.
 \end{cases} \equiv F(\delta).$$

Since  $F'(\delta) \geq 0$ , therefore  $F(\delta)$  is increasing function in  $[0, 1]$  and takes its maximum value at  $\delta = 1$ . Then (12) reduces to

$$C|a_2a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases}
 (2B - \mu K)p^4 + (3B - 2\mu K)p^2(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(B - \mu K)p^2 - 4\mu K\} & \text{if } \mu = 0; \\
 (2B - \mu K)p^4 + (3B - 2\mu K)p^2(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(B - \mu K)p^2 + 4\mu K\} & \text{if } 0 < \mu < \frac{B}{K}; \\
 (2B - \mu K)p^4 + (3B - 2\mu K)p^2(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(\mu K - B)p^2 + 4\mu K\} & \text{if } \frac{B}{K} \leq \mu < \frac{3B}{2K}; \\
 (2B - \mu K)p^4 + (2\mu K - 3B)p^2(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(\mu K - B)p^2 + 4\mu K\} & \text{if } \frac{3B}{2K} \leq \mu < \frac{2B}{K}; \\
 (\mu K - 2B)p^4 + (2\mu K - 3B)p^2(4 - p^2) + (4 - p^2)\{(\mu K - B)p^2 + 4\mu K\} & \text{if } \mu \geq \frac{2B}{K}.
 \end{cases} \quad G(p). \text{ Then}$$

$$C|a_2a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq \text{Max } G(p). \tag{14}$$

**Case (i)**  $\mu = 0$ .

Then  $G(p) = -2(B - \mu K)p^4 + 8(2B - \mu K)p^2 - 16\mu K$ . On differentiating w.r.t.  $p$ , we have

$$G'(p) = -8(B - \mu K)p^3 + 16(2B - \mu K)p \text{ and } G''(p) = -24(B - \mu K)p^2 + 16(2B - \mu K).$$

$G'(p) = 0$  implies  $p = 0$  or  $\sqrt{\frac{2(2B - \mu K)}{(B - \mu K)}}$ . The value  $p = 0$  gives minimum value of  $G(p)$  in which we are not interested. At  $p = \sqrt{\frac{2(2B - \mu K)}{(B - \mu K)}}$ ,  $G''(p) < 0$  and therefore  $G(p)$  is maximum. Moreover  $\max G(p) = \frac{8(2B - \mu K)^2}{(B - \mu K)} - 16\mu K$  which takes us straight to (1).

(1) is sharp for  $p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2(2B - \mu K)}{(B - \mu K)}}$ ,  $p_2 = p_1^2 - 2$  and  $p_3 = p_1(p_1^2 - 3)$ .

**Case (ii)**  $0 < \mu < \frac{B}{K}$ .

Then  $G(p) = -2(B - \mu K)p^4 + 16(B - \mu K)p^2 + 16\mu K$ . An elementary calculations shows that  $\max G(p) = G(2) = 32(B - \mu K) + 16\mu K$  which gives (2). The sharp result is obtained on taking  $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = 2$ .

**Case (iii)**  $\frac{B}{K} < \mu < \frac{3B}{2K}$ .

Then  $G(p) = -8(\mu K - B)p^2 + 16\mu K$  which is decreasing function of  $p$ . Therefore we have  $\max G(p) = G(0) = 16\mu K$ .

**Case (iv)**  $\frac{3B}{2K} \leq \mu < \frac{2B}{K}$ .

Then  $G(p) = -2(2\mu K - 3B)p^4 - 8(2B - \mu K)p^2 + 16\mu K$ .

In this case also  $G(p) \leq 16\mu K$ .

Combination of cases (iii) and (iv) leads us to (3)

Equality holds in (3) for  $p_1 = 0$ ,  $p_2 = -2$  and  $p_3 = 0$ .

**Case (v)**  $\mu \geq \frac{2B}{K}$ .

Then  $G(p) = -2(\mu K - B)p^4 + 8(\mu K - 2B)p^2 + 16\mu K$ .

It is easy to show that  $G(p)$  is maximum at  $p = \sqrt{\frac{2(\mu K - 2B)}{(\mu K - B)}}$  and we arrive at (4). The result (4) is sharp for  $p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2(\mu K - 2B)}{(\mu K - B)}}$ ,  $p_2 = p_1^2 - 2$  and  $p_3 = p_1(p_1^2 - 3)$ . ■

On taking  $\mu = 0$  in the theorem, we obtain

**Corollary** If  $f \in C_s^*$ , then

$$|a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(8 - 9\mu)^2}{2(4 - 9\mu)} - 9\mu, & \mu \leq 0; \\ (8 - 9\mu), & 0 < \mu < \frac{4}{9}; \\ 9\mu, & \frac{4}{9} \leq \mu < \frac{8}{9}; \\ \frac{(9\mu - 8)^2}{2(9\mu - 4)} + 9\mu, & \mu \geq \frac{8}{9}. \end{cases}$$

Letting  $\mu = 1$  in the theorem, we get

**Corollary** If  $f \in C_s$ , then

$$|a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1 - \mu)^2}{(1 - 2\mu)} - \mu, & \mu \leq 0; \\ (1 - \mu), & 0 < \mu < \frac{1}{2}; \\ \mu, & \frac{1}{2} \leq \mu < 1; \\ \frac{(\mu - 1)^2}{(2\mu - 1)} + \mu, & \mu \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

On the same lines, we can obtain the following

**Theorem** Let  $f \in C_{1(s)}^*(\alpha)$ . Then, for any real number  $\mu$ ,

$$|a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{8(5B - \mu K)^2}{C(3B - \mu K)} - \frac{49\mu}{9(1 + 2\alpha)^2}, & \mu \leq 0; \\ \frac{8(5B - 2\mu K)^2}{C(3B - \mu K)} + \frac{49\mu}{9(1 + 2\alpha)^2}, & 0 < \mu < \frac{5B}{2K}; \\ \frac{49\mu}{9(1 + 2\alpha)^2}, & \frac{5B}{2K} \leq \mu < \frac{5B}{K}; \\ \frac{8(\mu K - 5B)^2}{C(\mu K - 3B)} + \frac{49\mu}{9(1 + 2\alpha)^2}, & \mu \geq \frac{5B}{K}. \end{cases}$$

Where

$$\begin{cases} B = 12(1 + 2\alpha)^2 \\ K = 49(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha) \\ C = 144(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2 \end{cases}$$

On taking  $\mu = 0$  in the theorem, we obtain

**Corollary** If  $f \in C_{1(s)}^*$ , then



$$|a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(60 - 49\mu)^2}{18(36 - 49\mu)} - \frac{49\mu}{9}, & \mu = 0; \\ \frac{(60 - 98\mu)^2}{18(36 - 49\mu)} + \frac{49\mu}{9}, & 0 < \mu < \frac{30}{49}; \\ \frac{49\mu}{9}, & \frac{30}{49} < \mu < \frac{60}{49}; \\ \frac{(49\mu - 60)^2}{18(49\mu - 36)} + \frac{49\mu}{9}, & \mu > \frac{60}{49}. \end{cases}$$

Letting  $\mu = 1$  in the theorem, we get

**Corollary** If  $f \in C_{1(s)}$ , then

$$|a_2 a_4 - \mu a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(135 - 98\mu)^2}{324(81 - 98\mu)} - \frac{49\mu}{81}, & \mu = 0; \\ \frac{(135 - 196\mu)^2}{324(81 - 98\mu)} + \frac{49\mu}{9}, & 0 < \mu < \frac{135}{196}; \\ \frac{49\mu}{81}, & \frac{135}{196} < \mu < \frac{135}{98}; \\ \frac{(98\mu - 135)^2}{324(98\mu - 81)} + \frac{49\mu}{81}, & \mu > \frac{135}{98}. \end{cases}$$

## References

1. C. Caratheodory, Uber den Variabilitatsbereich der Fourier'schen Konstanten von positive harmonischen Funktionen. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, 32(1911), 193-213.
2. R. N. Das & P. Singh, on subclasses of schlicht mapping, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 8(1977), 864-872.
3. P. L. Duren, *Univalent Functions*, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1983.
4. W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent function*, Camb. Tracts in Math. and Math. Phy., Camb. Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1958.
5. R. J. Libera and E. J. Zlotkiewicz, Early Coefficients of the Inverse of a Regular Convex Functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 85(1982), 225-230.
6. J. W. Noonamand D. K. Thomas, Coefficient differences and Hankel determinant of a really p-valent functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 25(1972), 503-524.
7. J. W. Noonamand D. K. Thomas, On the second Hankel determinant of a really p-valent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (223) (1976): 337-346.
8. Ch. Pommerenke, on the coefficient and Hankel determinant of univalent functions, *J. London Math. Soc.* 41(1966), 111-122.
9. Ch. Pommerenke, On the Hankel determinant of univalent functions, *Mathematika*, 14(1967), 108-112.
10. K. Sakaguchi, on certain univalent mapping. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 11(1959), 72-75.

\*\*\*\*\*

### How to cite this article:

Harjinder Singh and Parvinder Singh., Estimates of Second Hankel Determinant For Subclasses of Generalised Pascu Classes of Functions. *Int J Recent Sci Res* Vol. 6, Issue, 12, pp. 7961-7967.



T.SSN 0976-3031



9 770976 303009 >