

International Journal Of

# Recent Scientific Research

ISSN: 0976-3031 Volume: 7(3) March -2016

ROLE OF APPENDAGES IN FEEDING BEHAVIOR IN FRESHWATER CRAB BARYTELPHUSA CUNICULARIS AND PRAWN MACROBRACHIUM KISTNENSIS, (DECAPODA, CRUSTACEA)

Patil Kalpana and Patil Meena



THE OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (IJRSR) http://www.recentscientific.com/ recentscientific@gmail.com



Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 7, Issue, 3, pp. 9327-9330, March, 2016 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# ROLE OF APPENDAGES IN FEEDING BEHAVIOR IN FRESHWATER CRAB BARYTELPHUSA CUNICULARIS AND PRAWN MACROBRACHIUM KISTNENSIS, (DECAPODA, CRUSTACEA)

## Patil Kalpana and Patil Meena

Department of Chemistry, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam, Tamilnadu, India 612 001

#### ARTICLE INFO

Received 15th December, 2015

Received in revised form 21st

Accepted 06<sup>th</sup> February, 2016

Article History:

January, 2016

March, 2016

Keywords:

Published online 28th

### ABSTRACT

Feeding behavior is analyzed in two freshwater decapods, freshwater crab *Barytelphusa cunicularis* and prawn *Macrobrachium kistnensis*. Freshwater crab *Barytelphusa cunicularis* are omnivorous and carnivorous, while prawn *Macrobrachium kistnensis* is more herbivores then carnivorous. The appendages like cephalic and thoracic collectively play an important role in feeding behavior in both decapods crustaceans. Food particles are pickup by chelate legs and third maxilliped. Chelate and third maxillipeds are important appendage for catching, handling of big mass of food and also placed the food up to the mouth. In mouth these food particles are first tear or grinded into fine particle by mandible when held in a convenient position by second maxilliped. The second maxillipeds are very important appendage and play an indispensable role in passing food to the mandibles. Again the mandibles play good role for cut the food into pieces.

Feeding behavior, appendages, freshwater, *Barytelphusa cunicularis*, *Macrobrachium kistnensis*.

**Copyright** © **Patil Kalpana and Patil Meena.**, **2016**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The feeding behavior of decapods is largely driven by chemoreception in the location of food sources, rather than mechanoreceptor or vision. Chemoreceptors are present on every part of the body of crustacean, including feet thus appendages play very important role in feeding. Feeding includes searching of food, then catch and finely eating. From searching to eating processes various appendages are involve. In searching a food, animal can walk along the floor of habitat such as ocean, and another water body; then smelling the ground, once a food source has been located (literally walked over), they uses its legs to catch and bring it to the front of the body, and then proceeds to eat. Chemoreceptors are present on the first antennae, mouthparts and tips of legs as olfactory organ; chemoreceptor on legs and mouthparts of crustacean function in the sensing of taste (Atema 1977, Ache1982). Antennae, mouthparts and pereiopods collectively play a major role in feeding process. For several reasons crustacean are very excellent experimental animals to carry out studies on feeding behavior and foraging strategies.

The role of chemoreceptive sensilla, most concentrated on the appendages, particularly the antennules, antennae, dactyls and mouthparts, help in sensing the food material (Ache and Macmillion 1980, Derby 1982, Schmidt 1989, Schmidt and Gnatzy 1984, Cate and Derby 2001, 2002 a, Garm et al 2003). Feeding appendages include not only the mandibles, maxillulae and maxillae, but frequently a number of thoracic appendages and occasionally also the ambulatory legs that are provided with long setae which filter food particles from the water (Pearse 1945, Pohl 1946, Manton 1977). Many crustaceans are deposit feeders, herbivores, omnivores, and scavengers. Deposited feeders often also may be filter feeders. The endopodes of the maxillipeds, particularly the third in decapods, commonly adapted for filtration those are with dense tufts of plumose or serrate setae (Pearse 1913, 1914, Nicol 1932, Dennell 1937, MacGinite 1937, Crane 1975).

Freshwater crab studies of feeding behavior on freshwater crustaceans are very rare so the present investigation were carried out on freshwater crab *Barytelphusa cunicularis* and prawn *Macrobrachium kistnensis* focusing on role of particular appendages in each activities during feeding behavior.

#### \*Corresponding author: Patil Kalpana

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Animals were collected from June 2014 to December 2014 from Godavari River near Kaygaon Toka in Aurangabad region. In this study the prawns and crabs were maintained separately in aquariums. The laboratory acclimatized animals were used for the experiment. Two different experimental setup were done for two animals (crab and prawn). In early hours of morning the when feed was added to the aquarium the continuous observations were made with the help of magnifier. The movements of all appendages during feeding were noted down and some video clips were recorded with the help of digital camera. At least 5 repetitions of each behavioral study were performed to reach final conclusions

## RESULTS

Feeding behavior



Fig. 1. Feedind behavior in *Macrobrachium kistnensis*. A. searching of food; B. catching of food by first pereiopod; C and E third maxillipedes convey food to the mouth; D, F and G. holding the food in chelate; H. eating the food by mandible.



Fig. 2. Feeding behavior in *Barytelphusa cunicularis*. A. searching of food; B. catching of food; C. chelate leg convey food to the mouth; D eating of food; E, F, G and H. food cutting into pieces and eaten by third maxillipede.

Freshwater crab *Barytelphusa cunicularis* is carnivores and omnivorous feeding on small crustacean, snail, insects, and crabs. Sometimes also found to feeding on dead part of crab. During feeding behavior crab first search the food by walking. As the food is found subsequently it is collected and picked up by the chelate leg (see in plate XXI). The food particles are conveyed to the mouth by chelate and third maxillipedes. In mouth first food is cut into pieces or grinded by mandible. Chelate, third maxillipede and mandibles are very important for complete feeding. Chelate is used to handle the bulky mass of food. Shell of snail can be easily broken by the chelate.

Freshwater prawn Macrobrachium kistnensis are more herbivores then carnivorous, while crab Barytelphusa cunicularis are omnivorous and carnivorous. The appendages like cephalic and thoracic collectively play a vital in feeding behavior (see in plate XIX). Prawn is fully aquatic feeding on algae and aquatic plat leaves. Sometimes also feed on sand particles, along with food particles. Many times they are found to feed on dead and decaying part of prawns. During the feeding activity prawn first search the food by antennules and antennae as they are olfactory in function. After finding the location of food, prawn moves toward the food. The food particles are picked up by chelate legs and third maxillipede. Then the food particles are handled properly with chelate and third maxillipede and for eating purposes conveyed to the mouth. Chelate and third maxillipedes are important for catching, handling of big mass of food and also placing the

food in to the mouth. In mouth these food particles are first tear or grinded into fine particles by mandible when held in a convenient position by second maxillipede (see in plate XX). The second maxillipedes are very important appendages and play an indispensable role in passing food to the mandibles. Again the mandibles play a good role for cutting the food into pieces.

## DISCUSSION

Freshwater prawn Macrobrachium kistnensis are more herbivores then carnivorous, while crab Barytelphusa cunicularis are omnivorous and carnivorous. The appendages like cephalic and thoracic collectively play a vital in feeding behavior. Freshwater crab Barytelphusa cunicularis is carnivores and omnivorous feeding on small crustacean, snail, insects, and crabs. Crab first searches the food by walking. As the food is found subsequently it is collected and picked up by the chelate leg. The food particles are conveyed to the mouth by chelate and third maxillipedes. In mouth first food is cut into pieces or grinded by mandible. Prawn is fully aquatic feeding on algae and aquatic plat leaves. Sometimes also feed on sand particles, along with food particles. Many times they are found to feed on dead and decaying part of prawns. Prawn first searches the food by antennules and antennae by their olfactory setae. After finding the location of food prawn moves toward the food and picked up by chelate legs and third maxillipede. Then the food particles are handled properly with chelate and third maxillipede conveyed to the mouth for eating.

The first and second pereiopods and the mouth parts are the appendages directly involved in the feeding behavior of laomadiid shrimp Axianassa austrialis (Coelho and Rodrigues 2001). Nickell and Atkinson (1995), observed in Jaxea nocturna, second pereiopods to resuspend the sediment, the third pair of maxillipedes collects the particles in suspensions and transfer them to the second pair of maxillipede. The main function of the appendages have been described for some species of thalassinidean shrimp through direct observation or inferred from morphological differences (Nickell et al 1998, Stamhuis et al 1998, Coelho et al 2000b, Coelho and Rodrigues 2001). The mechanisms of particle selection have been observed in many crustaceans (Nicol 1932, Thomas 1970, Kunze and Anderson 1979, Schembri 1982, Alexander and Hindley 1985, Coelho et al. 2000b, Coelho and Rodrigues 2001). Nickell et al (1998) analyzed the setaeal morphology of three thalassinidean species, and concluded that dense amount of pappose, plumose and plumodenticulate setae are adaptations to filter feeding. More number of denticulate setae and cuspidate setae are specialized for filter and deposit feeding and high count of serrate and cuspidate setae are related to deposit feeding.

Feeding behavior in decapods crustaceans is activated by sensing the differing chemical stimuli (Zimmer-Faust and Case 1982) which are detected by chemosensory organs (Derby and Atema, 1982). Stimuli are probably integrated from different receptors to activate particular behavior (Derby and Atema 1982), with final consumption of prey possibly determined by relative stimuli from chelae and pereopods receptors (Jubb *et al* 1983). The presence of shell and gravel

pieces gives diverse stimuli, creating an abundance of chemical and mechanical stimuli, and necessitates more time for prey detection. The *Ovalipes guadulpensis* (Caine 1977) is nocturnal organism and the detection of food or prey achieved with the dactylus of the walking legs. The chemosensory properties of the dactylus of brachyuran, *Carcinus meanas* have been observed by Case and Gouillians (1961) and Case (1964). The oral appendages are articulated in such a way so the mandibles move ventrolaterally and are capable of both medio-lateral and dorso-ventral movement (Borradaily 1922).

## References

- Ache B. W. 1982. Chemoreception and thermoreception. In: Atwood H L, Sandeman D C (eds) The biology of Crustacea Academic Press, New York p. 369-398.
- Ache B. W. and Macmillan D. L. 1980. Neurobiology. In: The Biology and Management of Lobsters. Vol I Physiology and Behavior (ed.) Cobb J S and Phillips BF, pp. 165-213. New York: Academic Press.
- Alexander C. G. H. and Hindley J. P. R. 1985. The mechanism of food ingestion by the banana prawn Penaeus merguiensis. Marine behavior and physiology 12:33-46
- Atema J. 1977. Functional separation of smell and taste in fish and Crustacea p. 165-173 Zn J LeMagnen and P MacLeod [eds] Olfaction and taste V 6 Inform Retrieval.
- Borradaile L. A. 1922. The mouthparts of the shore crab. J Linn Soc Zool 35: 115-142.
- Case J. 1964 Adequate stimulus of certain Cancer dactyl chemoreceptors. Am Zool 4: 276-277.
- Case J. and G. F. Gwilliam 1961. Amino acid sensitivity of the dactyl chemoreceptors of *Carcinides maenas*. Biological Bulletin 121: 449-455.
- Cate H. S. and Derby C. D. 2001. Morphology and distribution of setae on the antennules of the Caribbean spiny lobster *Panulirus argus* reveal new types of bimodal chemo-mechanosensilla. Cell Tissue Res 304: 439-454.
- Cate H. S. and Derby C. D. 2002a. Hooded sensilla homologues: structural variations of a widely distributed bimodal chemo-mechanosensillum J Comp Neurol 444: 345-357.
- Coelho V. R. and Rodrigues S.A. (2001) Trophic behaviour and functional morphology of the feeding appendages of the laomediid shrimp *Axianassa australis* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Thalassinidea). J Mar Biol Ass UK 81:441– 454.
- Coelho V. R., Williams A. B. and Rodrigues S. A. 2000b. Trophic strategies and functional morphology of feeding appendages with emphasis on setae of *Upogebia omissa* and *Pomatogebia operculata* (Decapoda: Thalassinidea: Upogebiidae). Zoological journal of the Linnean Society 130:567-602.
- Crane J. 1975. The fiddler crabs of the world (Ocypodidae: Uca) New Jersey Princeton University Press.
- Dennell R. 1934. The habits and feeding mechanisms of the amphipod *Haustorius arenarius* slabber. J Ninnean Soc London Zool. 38:363-388.

- Derby C. D. 1982. Structure and function of cuticular sensilla of the lobster *Homarus americanus*. J Crust Biol 2:1-21.
- Derby C. D. and Atema J. 1982. Narrow-spectrum chemoreceptor cells in the walking legs of the lobster *Homarus americanus* taste specialists. J comp Physiol.
- Garm A., Hallberg E. and Høeg J. T. 2003. Role of maxilla 2 and its setae during feeding in the shrimp *Palaemon adspersus* (Crustacea: Decapoda). Biol Bull 204:126-137.
- Jubb C. A. and Hughes K. N. 1983. Behavioural mechanisms of size-selection by crabs *Carcinus maenas*(L) feeding on mussels *Mytilus edulis* L. J exp mar Biol Ecol 66: 81-87.
- Kunze J. and Anderson D. T. 1979. Functional morphology of the mouthparts and gastric mill in the hermit crab *Clibanarius taeniatus, Clibanarius virescenus, Paguristes squamosus* and *Dardanus setiter* (Anomura: Paguridae). Aust J Mar Freshwater Res 30:683-721.
- MacGinitie G. E. 1937. Notes on the natural history of marine crustacean. Am Midland Naturalist18:1031-1036.
- Manton S. M. 1977. The arthropoda: habits, functional morphology and evolution. Oxford Univ Press, London and New York.
- Nickell L. A. and Atkinson R. J. A. 1995. Functional morphology of burrows and trophic modes of three thalassinidean shrimp species and a new approach to the classification of thalassinidean burrow morphology. Marine ecology progress series 128:181-197.
- Nickell L. A., Atkinson R. J. A. and Pinn E. H. 1998. Morphology of thalassinidean (Crustacea: Decapoda) mouthparts and pereiopods in relation to feeding, ecology and grooming. *Journal of Natural History* 32: 733-761.

- Nicol E. A. T. 1932 The feeding habits of the Galatheidae. J Mar Bio Assoc Uk 18:87-105.
- Pearse A. S. 1913. Habits of the crustaceans found in Chaetopterus tubes at wood's Hole, Massachusetts. Biol Bull Wood Hole Mass 24:102-114.
- Pearse A. S. 1914. Habits of fiddler crabs. Smithson Publ Rep pp 415-428.
- Pearse A. S. 1945. Ecology of Upogebia affinis (Say). Ecology 26:303-305.
- Pohl M. E. 1946. Ecological observations on Callianassa major Say at Beaufort, North Carolina. Ecology 27-7.
- Schembri P. J. 1982. Feeding behavior of fifteen species of hermit crabs (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura) from the Otago region, southern New Zealand. Journal of Natural History 16:859-878.
- Schmidt M. 1989. The hair-peg organs of the shore crab, *Carcinus maenas* (Crustacea, Decapoda): ultrastructure and functional properties of sensilla sensitive to changes in seawater concentration. Cell Tissue Res 257:609– 621.
- Schmidt M. and Gnatzy W. 1984. The funnel-canal organs the campaniform sensilla of the shore crab, *Carcinus maenas* (Decapoda, Crustacea)? II. Ultrastructure. Cell Tissue Res 237: 81–93.
- Stemhuis E. J., Dauwe B. and Videler J. J. 1998. How to bite the dust: morphology, motion pattern and function of the feeding append-ages of the deposit feeding thalassinid shrimp *Callianassa subterranea*. Mar Biol 132: 43-58.
- Thomas W. J. 1970. The setae of *Austropotamobius pallipes* (Astacidae). Zool London 160:91-142.
- Zimmer Faust R. K. 1989. The relationship between chemoreception and foraging behavior in crustaceans. Limnol Oceanogr 34: 1367-1374.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

## How to cite this article:

Patil Kalpana and Patil Meena.2016, Role of Appendages In Feeding Behavior In Freshwater Crab *Barytelphusa Cunicularis* And *Prawn Macrobrachium Kistnensis*, (Decapoda, Crustacea). *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 7(3), pp. 9327-9330.

