

International Journal Of

Recent Scientific Research

ISSN: 0976-3031 Volume: 7(5) May -2016

COMPARISON BETWEEN OUTCOME PREDICTORS OF HYPOXIC ENCEPHALOPATHY

Tamer Abdallah Helmy., Sherif Abd Elmonem and Ahmed M Taha



THE OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (IJRSR) http://www.recentscientific.com/ recentscientific@gmail.com



Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 7, Issue, 4, pp. 10746-10750, April, 2016 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

Research Article

COMPARISON BETWEEN OUTCOME PREDICTORS OF HYPOXIC ENCEPHALOPATHY

Tamer Abdallah Helmy., Sherif Abd Elmonem and Ahmed M Taha

Department Of Critical care Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received 05 th February, 2016 Received in revised form 08 th March, 2016 Accepted 10 th April, 2016 Published online 28 st May, 2016 <i>Keywords:</i> Electroencephalogram, neuron specific enolase, Glascow coma scale, Glascow outcome scale.	 Hypoxic encephalopathy after cardiac arrest is a common cause of coma requiring intensive care of survivors. Certain malignant electroencephalographic (EEG) patterns have been shown to correlate with poor prognosis. Neuron specific enolase (NSE) released after cardiac arrest is regarded as a severity indicator of postanoxic neuronal injury. We investigated the EEG findings in post-cardiac arrest patients and compared these findings with clinical parameters and NSE levels and outcome scales. Material and methods: 40 Egyptian patients after resuscitation from cardiac arrest were subjected to Glascow coma scale (GCS), corneal reflex, pupillary reflex, EEG, NSE measurement, and Glasgow outcome scale (GOS). Results: There was high statically significant difference between good and bad outcome groups as regards corneal and pupillary reflexes on first day, GCS on first and third days, EEG patterns on first and seventh days and NSE levels on first and third days. Conclusion: In post cardiopulmonary arrest patients, certain clinical parameters like corneal and pupillary reflexes in first day and GCS on first and third days post arrest are good predictors of outcome. Also malignant EEG patterns (burst suppression, unreactive background and low voltage background) and NSE levels post arrest are good predictors of bad outcome of post arrest with higher sensitivity and specificity of NSE levels than malignant EEG patterns to predict bad outcome.

Copyright © **Tamer Abdallah Helmy., Sherif Abd Elmonem and Ahmed M Taha., 2016**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Despite advances in the treatment of heart disease, the outcome of patients experiencing SCA remains poor (Rea TD *et al*).

The need for protracted high-intensity care of neurologically devastated survivors presents an immense burden to healthcare systems, patients' families, and society in general (Hamel MB *et al*).

To limit this burden, clinical factors and diagnostic tests are used to prognosticate functional outcome. With the limitation of care or withdrawal of life-sustaining therapies as a likely outcome of prognostication, studies have focused on poor long-term prognosis (vegetative state or death) based on clinical or test findings that indicate irreversible brain injury (Geocadin RG *et al*).

Recently, several systematic reviews evaluated predictors of poor outcome, including clinical circumstances of cardiac arrest and resuscitation, patient characteristics, neurological examination, electrophysiological studies, biochemical markers, and neuroimaging (Wijdicks EF *et al*).

EEG has been used to assist in determining the prognosis following cardiac arrest since the 1960s, when Hockaday *et al.*

advised an EEG rating scale to predict neurologic outcome in this setting. This scale was found to have an accuracy of 80% (Hockaday JM *et al*).Certain malignant EEG patterns including a nonreactive background (Rossetti AO *et al*), burst suppression and low voltage $20 < \mu V$ (Fugate JE *et al*).

Neuron-specific enolase (NSE) is an intracellular enzyme found in neurons and other cells of neurodermal origin (Schmechel D *et al*). Elevation of serum NSE 1-3 days after cardiac arrest is regarded as a severity of postanoxic neuronal injury; it has been shown to be released in the serum of patients after CA, with a half-life of about 24 hours (Oksanen T *et al*).

The current study aimed to investigate the EEG findings in post-cardiac arrest patients and to compare its predictive value with that of serum neuron-specific enolase levels.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was approved by the ethical committee of the Alexandria faculty of medicine and an informed consent signed by patients relatives.

Patients we prospectively studied a cohort of 40 normothermic consecutive comatose adults admitted between January 2014

^{*}Corresponding author: Tamer Abdallah Helmy

Department Of Critical care Medicine Faculty of Medicine, Alexandria University, Egypt

and january 2015 to the Department of Intensive Care Medicine of Alexandria main university hospital, after successful resuscitation from CA. we excluded patients who were less than 16 years old, or with recent intake of sedatives, head trauma, metabolic derangments, or diagnosed as brain death.

Outcome assessment Functional neurologic outcome was assessed at 3 months through a phone interview by trained personnel of the EEG unit who had no access to study data, and categorized according to the Glasgow outcome scale Categories (GOS) (B. Jennett *et al*). In line with the vast majority of studies on this topic, outcome was dichotomized as good (GOS 3 - 4 - 5) vs poor (GOS 1 - 2).

EEG data EEG monitoring was done within the first day of admission for about 30 minutes and repeated on the seventh days after cardiac arrest. Stimulation (nail bed pressure, passive eye opening, and shouting in the ear) was routinely performed to show the reactivity of background. recording was done using Nicolet (21 channels) machine, using both bipolar and referential montages with the electrodes (21 electrodes) placed according to the international 10-20 system using EEG free electrodes with filter setting low cut filter of 1.6Hz and high cut filter 35 Hz.

EEG classification on days 1 and 7 post-arrest according to Young *et al* (Young GB *et al*).

- 1. Delta/theta > 50% of recording
- 2. Triphasic waves
- 3. Burst-suppression pattern: with or without epileptiform activity
- 4. Alpha/theta/spindle pattern coma (no reactivity).
- 5. Suppression (generalized) : <20 microvolts

EEG patterns will be divided into benign and malignant according to Synek *et al.* benign consisted of patterns I&II, while malignant consisted of III, IV & V (Synek VM *et al*).

NSE will be sampled at both day 1 and 3 after cardiac arrest. Serum concentrations of NSE will be measured using ELISA technique.

Statistical Analysis

Qualitative data were described using number and percent. Quantitative data were described using mean and standard deviation, median, minimum and maximum. Agreement of the different predictives with the outcome was used and was expressed in sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy. Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC) was plotted to analyze a recommended cut off, the area under the ROC curve denotes the diagnostic performance of the test. Area more than 50% gives acceptable performance and area about 100% is the best performance for the test.

RESULTS

This study included 40 post cardiopulmonary arrest patients, 11 with good outcome and 29 with bad outcome.

Comparison of	baseline	characteristics	(table 1)
---------------	----------	-----------------	-----------

Table 1	Baseline	characteristic	(n=40)	1
---------	----------	----------------	--------	---

	Good (I) (n = 11)	Bad (II) (n = 29)	P value
Sex n (%)	()	(>)	
Male	7(63.6)	18(62.1)	1.000
Female	4(36.4)	11(37.9)	
Age years(Mean ± SD)	55.4 ± 11.43	47.7 ± 15.1	0.137
Place of arrest n (%)			
Inside icu	10(90.9)	16(55.2)	.061
Outside icu	1(9.1)	13(44.8)	
Resuscitation time minutes (Median)	10	12	0.035

25 male patients (62.5%) and 15 female patients (37.5%). Their ages ranged from 19 to 72 years (mean 50.88 ± 14.99); none of our patients was treated with hypothermia protocol. This shows no significance difference between the two groups as regards age and sex.

26 patients (65%) arrested in the ICU while 14 (35.0%) arrested outside the ICU inside the hospital. Resuscitation time had median of 10 minutes in good outcome group while had median of 12 minutes in bad group. This shows that there was no significant difference between the two groups as regards place of arrest but there was significant difference as regards resuscitation time.

Comparison of Clinical Parameters (Table 2)

 Table 2 comparison of clinical parameters (n=40)

	Good	Bad	
		(II)	P value
		· · ·	r value
	(n = 11)	(n = 29)	
Corneal reflex 1st			
day	11(100)	15(51.7)	0.004^{*}
Preserved	0(0.0)	14 (48.3)	0.004
Lost			
Corneal reflex 3rd			
day	11(100)	17(58.6)	0.017
Preserved	0(0.0)	12 (41.4)	0.017
Lost		. ,	
Pupillary reflex 1st			
day			<0.001*
Preserved	11(100)	5(17.2)	< 0.001*
Lost	0(0.0)	24(82.8)	
Pupillary reflex 3rd			
day	11(100)	17(58.6)	0.017
Preserved	0(0.0)	12(41.4)	0.017
Lost			
GCS on day 1	0.0	5.0	<0.001*
(Median)	8.0	5.0	< 0.001*
GCS on day 3	14.0	4.0	<0.001*
(Median)	14.0	4.0	< 0.001*
Myoclonus n (%)			
Present	0(0.0)	6(20.7)	0.162
Absent	11(100.0)	23(79.3)	

This shows Corneal and pupillary reflexes were lost only in bad outcome group on day 1 and 3. Corneal reflex was lost in 14 patients on day 1 and in 12 on day 3. Pupillary reflex was lost in 24 patients on day 1 and in 12 patients on day 3. There was statistically significant difference between the two groups as regards corneal and pupillary reflexes in first and third days after arrest.

Clinical observation revealed 6 (20.7%) patients with myoclonus with no significant difference between the two groups.

GCS scores in good group had median of 8 and 14 on day 1 and 3 respectively, while in bad group had median of 5 and 4 on day 1 and 3 respectively. There was statistically significant difference between the two groups as regards GCS in first and third day after arrest.

Comparison of EEG patterns (Table 3 - 4)

 Table 3 Comparison between two groups regarding nonconvulsive seizures and status

	Good (n = 11)	Bad (n = 29)	Р
Nonconvulsive seizures n (%)	0 (0%)	5 (17.2%)	0.297
Nonconvulsive status n (%)	0 (0%)	8 (27.5%)	0.803

Table 4 Impact of EEG patterns on outcome (n=40)

				GOS	
	EEG	-	ood = 11)	· · · ·	Bad tive or dead) n = 29)
		No.	%	No.	%
	1 st day				
I.	(delta/theta > 50%)	6	54.5	2	6.89
II.	(triphasic waves)	3	27.2	1	3.44
III.	(burst suppression)	1	9.09	9	31.0
IV.	(unreactive background)	1	9.09	4	13.7
V.	(low voltage $< 20 \ \mu V$)	0	0.0	13	44.8
	(p)			(<0.001*)	
	7 th day				
I.	(delta/theta > 50%)	7	63.6	0	0.0
II.	(triphasic waves)	2	18.1	2	6.89
III.	(burst suppression)	1	9.09	4	13.7
IV.	(unreactive background)	1	9.09	7	24.1
V.	(low voltage $< 20 \ \mu V$)	0	0.0	16	55.1
	(p)			(<0.001*)	

29 patients had bad outcome (vegetative or dead). Malignant patterns were found in 26 of them. Burst suppression was found in 9 patients on first day and 4 patients on seventh day (Figure 1). Unreactive background was found in 4 patients on first day and in 7 patients on seventh day. Low voltage background < 20 microvolts was found in 13 patients on first and 16 patients on seventh day. There was statistically significant difference between the two groups as regards incidence of malignant EEG patterns.

Extrements								
	00'00	62'00	64.00	00'00	oe'eo	10.00	12'00	14.00
10.Fit+								
1.00					mmm	mmm	mm	mm
					mmon	m	mon	mm
N-020			mum	manne	mon	mount	mmm	moun
¥2.F8+								
						~~~~~	mmm	~~~~~
4.16+					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	m	mm
8.02+						~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	mont
10.73+						man		man
3-C3+							more	mm
				mmm	mon	mm	mon	monor
12.01.		m	mannan		munner the	mour	mmmm	www.
10.870					man			
7.13.					man	m	man	www.
13-16+					many	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	mont	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
15-01+				~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	munder	m	mumm	mor www.
4.72.						manne	manne	method
2/30				****		manner	mana	mon
2.020		m			munt		man	mm
				mmm	mon	mont	moun	wwww
100	4 miles	- line	- land	- la la	- Andre	- landa	An In	4

Figure 1 EEG shows discontinuous EEG background activity ("burstsuppression pattern").

Nonconvulsive seizures were found only in 5 Patients (17. 2 %) in bad group, while nonconvulsive status epilepticus was found in 8 patients (27.5 %). There was no stastically significant difference between the two groups as regards incidence of nonconvulsive seizures and status.

## Prognostic predictive value of malignant EEG patterns on bad outcome (Table 5)

 Table 5 Prognostic predictive value of malignant EEG patterns for bad outcome.

	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
Mailgnant EEG day1	89.6	81.8	74	75
Malignant EEG day3	93.1	81.1	75	81.1

EEG on first day, malignant patterns had sensitivity of 89.6 %, specificity of 81.8 %, PPV of 74 % and NPV of 75 % to predict bad outcome. While malignant patterns on seventh day had sensitivity of 93.1 %, specificity of 81.1 %, PPV of 75 % and NPV of 81.1 to predict bad outcome.

#### Comparison of NSE Levels (Table 6)

 Table 6 comparison of NSE levels in both groups

	Good (n = 11)	Bad (n = 29)	Р
NSE 1 st day Median.	6	43	0.001*
NSE 3rd day Median	5	24	< 0.001*

NSE on first day had median of 6 in good outcome while had median of 43 in bad outcome group with statistically significant difference between two groups (p = 0.001).

While on seventh day had median of 5 in good outcome while had median of in bad outcome group with statistically significant difference between two groups (p < 0.001).

#### **Prognostic predictive value of neuron specific enolase on bad** *outcome (Table 7)*

 
 Table 7 Agreement (sensitivity, specificity and accuracy) for N SE in 1st and 3rd days with bad GOS.

	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
NSE day1 cut-off value $\geq 10$	96.6	100	71.8	91.7
NSE day3 cut-off value $\geq$ 8.5	96.6	100	71.8	91.7

Table (7) showed the agreement (sensitivity and specificity) for neuron specific enolase (NSE) in predicting bad outcome with cut-off point  $\geq$  10 on first day and  $\geq$  8.5 on third day it came clear that NSE level had sensitivity of 96.6 %, specificity of 100 %, PPV of 71.8 % and NPV of 91.7 % to predict bad outcome.

#### DISCUSSION

In the last few decades. several clinical and electrophysiological variables have been reported to be strongly associated with a poor outcome in comatose survivors of cardiac arrest, these include absence of pupillary and corneal reflexes, absent motor response to pain (Chen R et al), myoclonus or epilepticus status (Thomke F et al), an increase of neuron specific enolase (NSE) in serum and a burstsuppression or isoelectric electroencephalography (EEG) pattern (Zandbergen EG et al).

Our results regarding EEG findings revealed that 29 patients had bad outcome (vegetative or dead). EEG on first day,

malignant patterns had sensitivity of 89.6 %, specificity of 81.8 %, PPV of 74 % and NPV of 75 % to predict bad outcome. While malignant patterns on seventh day had sensitivity of 93.1 %, specificity of 81.1 %, PPV of 75 % and NPV of 81.1 to predict bad outcome.

In a study by Young *et al.*, patients with burst suppression or who had low voltage less than 20  $\mu$ V did not survive (Neurocrit. Care 2005). While in a study by Rossetti *et al.*, patients with burst suppression, unreactive background or low voltage < 20 $\mu$ v had PPV OF 100% to predict bad outcome (Neurology 2012).

In the American Academy of Neurology (AAN) recommendations, so-called "malignant" EEG patterns (such as generalized background suppression<  $20\mu v$ ; burst-suppression with generalized epileptiform activity, or unreactive background) during the three first days after cardiac arrest were associated with poor outcome with a FPR of 3% (95% CI: 0.9-11%) (Bonifacio SL *et al*).

Regarding nonconvulsive seizures (NCSz) and status (NCSE) our study showed that nonconvulsive seizures were found only in 5 Patients (17. 2 %) in bad group, while nonconvulsive status epilepticus was found in 8 patients (27.5 %). There was no stastically significant difference between the two groups as regards incidence of nonconvulsive seizures and status.

Seizure activity especially nonconvulsive seizures (NCSz) or nonconvulsive status epilepticus) is common in patients with anoxic–ischemic encephalopathy and may contribute to brain damage and prolonged coma (Neurology.2006). In a series of comatose patients with NCSE, 42% of the patients had hypoxic-anoxic injury after cardiac arrest (Neurology 2012).

Clinical observation revealed 6 (20.7%) patients of bad outcome group with myoclonus with no significant difference between the two groups. Compared to our results, in a group of 67 patients with epileptic myoclonus 22% had a good outcome, myoclonic phenomena in the 1st 3 days were predictors of poor outcome (Neurocrit. Care 2005).

Regarding neuron specific enolase (NSE) sampling, there was highly statistically significant difference between two groups as regards NSE levels on first and third days. NSE level had cut-off point  $\geq 10 \ \mu g/l$  on first day and  $\geq 8.5 \ \mu g/l$  on third day it came clear that NSE level had sensitivity of 96.6 %, specificity of 100 %, PPV of 71.8 % and NPV of 91.7 % to predict bad outcome.

In one prospective, multicenter study involving 231 patients, NSE level of more than 33  $\mu$ g per liter, sampled between 1 and 3 days after cardiac arrest, was strongly predictive of a poor outcome (Thomke F *et al*).

Other study showed that an NSE cutoff of 71.0 g/L drawn between 24 and 48 hours after ROSC was required to achieve an FPR of 0% (95% CI (0% to 43%) for predicting poor outcome with a sensitivity of 14% (Grubb NR *et al*). Numerous other studies show various thresholds from 30 to 65 g/L for poor outcome and mortality (Tiainen M *et al*).

#### CONCLUSION

In post cardiopulmonary arrest patients, certain clinical parameters like corneal and pupillary reflexes in first day and GCS on first and third days post arrest are good predictors of outcome. Also malignant EEG patterns (burst suppression, unreactive background and low voltage background) and NSE levels post arrest are good predictors of bad outcome of post arrest with higher sensitivity and specificity of NSE levels than malignant EEG patterns to predict bad outcome.

#### References

- B. Jennett, M. Bond: Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. In: Lancet. Band 1, Number 7905, March 1975, S. 480–484.
- 2. Bonifacio SL, Glass HC, Peloquin S *et al.* A new neurological focus in neonatal intensive care. Nat rev neurol 2011; 7: 485-494.
- Chen R, Bolton CF, Young B. Prediction of outcome in patients with anoxic coma: a clinical and electrophysiologic study. Crit Care Med. 1996, 24:672-8.
- 4. Fugate JE, Wijdicks EF, Mandrekar J, Claassen DO, Manno EM, White RD, *et al.* Predictors of neurologic outcome in hypothermia after cardiac arrest. Ann Neurol. 2010; 68:907–14.
- Geocadin RG, Buitrago MM, Torbey MT, Chandra-Strobos N, Williams MA, Kaplan PW. Neurologic prognosis and withdrawal of life support after resuscitation from cardiac arrest. Neurology. 2006; 67:105–108.
- Grubb NR, Simpson C, Sherwood R, Abraha H, Cobbe SM, O'Carroll RE, Deary I, Fox KA. Prediction of cognitive dysfunction after resuscitation from out-ofhospital cardiac arrest using serum neuron-specific enolase and protein S-100. Heart. 2007; 93:1268–1273.
- Hamel MB, Phillips R, Teno J, Davis RB, Goldman L, Lynn J, Desbiens N, Connors AF Jr, Tsevat J. Cost effectiveness of aggressive care for patients with nontraumatic coma. Crit Care Med. 2002; 30:1191– 1196.
- Hockaday JM, Potts F, Epstein E, Bonazzi A, Schwab RS. Electroencephalographic changes in acute cerebral anoxia from cardiac or respiratory arrest. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol .1965; 18:575– 86.
- 9. Oksanen T, Tiainen M, Skrifvars MB, Varpula T, Kuitunen A, Castrén M, *et al.* Predictive power of serum NSE and OHCA score regarding 6-month neurological outcome after out of hospital ventricular fibrillation and therapeutic hypothermia. Resuscitation. 2009; 80:165-70.
- 10. Rea TD, Eisenberg MS, Becker LJ, *et al.* Temporal trends in sudden cardiac arrest: a 25-year emergency medical services perspective. Circulation 2003; 107:2780.
- Rossetti A, Carrera E, Oddo M. Early EEG correlates of neuronal injury after brain anoxia. Neurology .2012; 78: 796-802.
- 12. Rossetti AO, Urbano LA, Delodder F, Kaplan PW, Oddo M. Prognostic value of continuous EEG monitoring during therapeutic hypothermia after cardiac arrest. Crit Care. 2010; 14:R173.
- 13. Schmechel D, Marangos PJ, Brightman M. Neuronespecific enolase is a molecular marker for peripheral and central neuroendocrine cells. Nature.1978; 276:834-836.

Cited in: Rossetti A, Carrera E, Oddo M. Early EEG correlates of neuronal injury after brain anoxia. Neurology. 2012; 78: 796-802.

- 14. Synek VM. Value of a revised EEG coma scale for prognosis after cerebral anoxia and diffuse head injury. Clin Electroencephalogr. 1990; 21: 25-30.
- 15. Thomke F, Marx JJ, Sauer O, Hundsberger T, *et al.* Observations on comatosed survivors of cardiopulmonary resuscitation with generalized myoclonus. BMC Neurology. 2005; 5:14.
- Tiainen M, Roine RO, Pettilä V, Takkunen O. Serum neuron-specific enolase and S-100B protein in cardiac arrest patients treated with hypothermia. Stroke. 2003; 34:2881–2886.
- Wijdicks EF, Hijdra A, Young GB, Bassetti CL, Wiebe S; prediction of outcome in comatose survivors after cardiopulmonary resuscitation (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. 2006; 67:203–210.
- Young GB, Doig G, Ragazzoni A. Anoxic ischemic encephalopathy: Clinical and electrophysiological associations with outcome. Neurocrit. Care 2005; 2:159– 164.
- Young GB, McLachlan RS, Kreeft JH, Demelo JD. An electroencephalographic classification for coma. Can J Neurol Sci. 1997; 24:320–5.
- 20. Zandbergen EG, Hijdra A, Koelman JH, Hart AA, Vos PE, Verbeek MM, *et al*, PROPAC Study Group:Prediction of poor outcome within the first 3 days of postanoxic coma. Neurology.2006; 66: 62-8.

******

#### How to cite this article:

Tamer Abdallah Helmy., Sherif Abd Elmonem and Ahmed M Taha.2016, Comparison Between outcome Predictors of Hypoxic Encephalopathy. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 7(5), pp. 10746-10750.

