

International Journal Of

# Recent Scientific Research

ISSN: 0976-3031 Volume: 7(6) June -2016

STUDY OF HAND HYGIENE COMPLIANCE IN INTENSIVE CARE UNITS AT A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL

Arindam Chatterjee., Punit Yadav., Swati Varshney., Sunil Basukala and Sipi Verma



THE OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (IJRSR) http://www.recentscientific.com/ recentscientific@gmail.com



Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 7, Issue, 6, pp. 11472-11475, June, 2016 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

# **Research Article**

# STUDY OF HAND HYGIENE COMPLIANCE IN INTENSIVE CARE UNITS AT A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL

# Arindam Chatterjee<sup>1</sup>., Punit Yadav<sup>1</sup>., Swati Varshney<sup>2</sup>., Sunil Basukala<sup>2</sup> and Sipi Verma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Department of Hospital Administration, Armed Forces Medical College, Pune, Maharashtra, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT		
<i>Article History:</i> Received 17 <sup>th</sup> March, 2016 Received in revised form 21 <sup>st</sup> April, 2016 Accepted 06 <sup>th</sup> May, 2016 Published online 28 <sup>th</sup> June, 2016	Healthcare Associated Infections (HAIs) are a burning problem in both Intensive as well as Non Intensive care units in hospitals. Healthcare Associated Infections (HAIs) are a burning problem in both Intensive as well as Non Intensive care units in hospitals. WHO 5 Moments of Hygiene observation tool and WHO Hand Hygiene Self Assessment Framework 2010 is utilized to assess the hand hygiene compliance. The overall compliance of the study group was estimated to be <b>11.96%</b> . The compliance rates were highest amongst the post graduate residents (23.8%) and the lowest was amongst the doctors (4.89%). Hospital administrators must strive to create an organizational climate and culture that stimulates and motivates for patient safety in the workplace. Hand Hygiene is undoubtedly the most important factor for improving on Healthcare associated Infections With these measures provided Hand Hygiene compliance certainly would increase and steer way for healthier and faster recovery of patients.		

**Copyright** © Arindam Chatterjee *et al.*, 2016, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Healthcare Associated Infections (HAIs) are a burning problem in both Intensive as well as Non Intensive care units in hospitals. A HAI is defined as an infection which develops 48 hours after hospital admission or within 48 hours after being discharged that was not incubating at the time of admission at the hospital [1]. Hospital-acquired infections complicate 7-10% of hospital admissions [2,3].Organisms that cause nosocomial infections are most commonly transmitted by the hands of physicians, nurses, physiotherapists, and other hospital personnel [4-6]. Hand hygiene has often been singled out as the most important procedure in preventing nosocomial infection [7,8]. Hand hygiene (HH) is the single most important measure to prevent this but despite relative simplicity of HH procedures and recommendations; compliance with hand washing is still poor[9,10]. The importance of good hand hygiene practices in ICUs cannot be overemphasized, yet many published studies conducted in intensive care units have reported that health care workers (HCWs) failed to wash their hands more than half of the recommended times, and in many cases, the hand-washing procedure was inadequate [11-14].

Guidelines for hand hygiene are intended to promote improved hand hygiene practices that help health care institutions reduce transmission of microorganisms and the associated infections, which lead to increased morbidity, mortality, lengths of stay, and costs. The guidelines consist of specific recommendations that are based on scientific evidence and the consensus of experts in the field [15,16]. Such guidelines published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and by the World Health Organization lay down the specific "WHO 5 moments of Hand Hygiene" [Figure 1], and also emphasize the importance of monitoring hand hygiene compliance and providing healthcare workers (HCWs) with feedback regarding their performance as components of multimodal hand hygiene promotion programs [16,17]. Currently, observational surveys conducted by trained personnel (often infection control professionals) are considered the gold standard for monitoring hand hygiene compliance practices among HCWs [16].



Department of Hospital Administration, Armed Forces Medical College, Pune, Maharashtra, India

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### Study Design: Observational

*Settings*: The study was carried out in a 20 bedded ICU (Medical & Surgical) of a tertiary care hospital after obtaining approval from Institutional Ethics Committee over a period of three months.

*Study population:* The study population comprised of all the Health Care workers/professionals involved in patient care delivery in the ICUs. All the physicians on round in the ICU, Intensivists, all post graduate residents, nurses, therapists, technical staff or any other health related professional involved in patient care.

*Inclusion Criterion*: Activities and procedures pertaining to all the patients admitted to the ICUs during the study period and no stratification was done between critically ill, ventilated or non ventilated patients.

*Hand Hygiene action*: Hand washing included washing hands with an unmedicated/medicated soap (antiseptic hand washing) water for a minute. Hygienic hand rubbing with an antiseptic solution or alcohol based hand rub using small quantity (1-2 ml) (hand rubbing) for at 20 seconds till hands are dry.

**Opportunities:** An opportunity was any potential HH action needed during the patient care as per WHO 5 moments of Hand Hygiene. Compliance was recorded against the opportunities for hand hygiene that occurred.

*Observation tool*: WHO Five Moments of hand hygiene format.

Observers: Three post graduate residents worked as observers.

*Questionnaire:* WHO Hand Hygiene Self Assessment Framework 2010

## **METHODOLOGY**

Direct observation was carried out in respect of all HCWs. Individual HCW was observed during routine patient care by observers with respect to potential HH opportunities available. The observer conducted observations openly but the identities of HCWs were kept confidential. At a given time 2 of the observers noted for compliance simultaneously and in case of any doubt, inter rater agreement was taken into account. Each observation session lasted for 20 min. Observations were distributed over a period of three months. HH action whether by hand washing, alcohol based hand rubbing or using gloves were the main variable outcome. Failure to remove gloves after patient contact between caring of two patients or between a dirty and clean body site on the same patient was considered as non adherence.

After the observations were made, all the HCWs were asked to fill the Hand Hygiene Assessment Framework form [18] which comprised of questions about the System Change (6 Questions), the training and education given(9 questions), its evaluation and feedback (10 questions), reminders in the workplace(7 questions) and regarding Institutional Safety climate(16 questions). Each of the categories could score a maximum of 100 marks.

#### Statistical Analysis

Compliance was calculated as a percentage of HH actions performed to number of opportunities. Study variables included WHO 5 moments of hand hygiene & professional status of HCWs (Doctors, Nurses, Post graduate Residents & other paramedical staff). The calculations were done using MS Excel.

#### **Observations**

During our study, over a period of three months, observations were made which made upto a total of 1321 Hand Hygiene opportunities. The overall compliance of the study group was estimated to be **11.96%**. The compliance rates were highest amongst the post graduate residents (23.8%) and the lowest was amongst the doctors (4.89%). The compliance amongst various professional groups is as shown in Table 2. As per WHO 5 Moments, the highest compliance was 'Before Aseptic Procedure' i.e. 56.5% and the lowest was 'After touching patient surroundings of 3.7%. Compliance rates for different moments are as shown in Figure 2.

Table 1 Compliance rates as per the profession of HCW

Profession	Total Opportunities	Non Compliance	Compliance	% Compliance
Doctors	322	307	15	4.89
Nurses	339	307	32	9.43
Para medical Staff	270	252	18	6.66
Residents	390	297	93	23.8



Fig 2 Compliance as per WHO 5 Moments

% COMPLIANCE



Fig 3 Compliance as per Profession AFTPSR: After touching patient surrounding AFTPT: After patient touching AFTBF: After touching Body Fluids BEFAS: Before Aseptic procedure BFPT: Before touching patient











WHO Hand Hygiene Assessment Framework form was given to a total of 32 HCWs of which were 9 Consultant doctors, 10 Nurses, 9 Residents and 4 Paramedic workers. Each of the parameter had certain questions with a total score of 100 each. Every HCW assigned the score depending on the facility available in the institution. The average of score out of 100 given by each professional category is taken.

The results pertaining to the Hand Hygiene Assessment Framework form are as shown in Table 2.

 Table 2 Scoring as per Hand Hygiene Assessment

 Framework form

S No.	Parameter	Consultants	Nurses	Residents	Paramedics	Mean
1.	System change	70.55	63.5	61.11	38.75	58.47
2.	Training & Education	52.77	33.5	53.33	48.75	47.08
3.	Evaluation & Feedback	46.9	46.75	42.2	47.5	45.83
4.	Reminders	59.4	42	45.27	12.5	39.79
5.	Institutional Safety Climate	36.66	15	33.33	31.25	29.06

The Institutional Safety climate has been scored the lowest of 29.06, while the parameter of system change scores the maximum at 58.47.

# DISCUSSION

Healthcare associated Infections continue to occur worldwide and have been a constant source of concern. They not only increase the morbidity and mortality but also result in additional costs[19,20].

Our study displays the HH compliance to be lowest amongst the doctors at 4.89% amongst all the professional categories. It is substantiated by a previous study by Pittet *et al* [10], where hand hygiene compliance was also found to be the lowest amongst physicians.

In response to the questionnaire, amongst the infrastructural parameters, Institutional safety climate was scored to be the lowest (39.79), whereas the system change was the best (58.47). The consultants scored all the parameters, the highest amongst all the professional categories but ironically their adherence to hand hygiene was the lowest!

Residents' compliance to hand hygiene was found to be the highest at 23.88 and also their score for Training and Education component in the Questionnaire scored the highest (53,33).

Thus this may be an important reason for their higher compliance.

All the categories found Institutional Safety climate inadequate, which may be considered as an important factor for low compliance rates. The next important factor may be deduced to be lack or less number of reminders in the facility, which has been scored only 39.79 out of 100.

Improvements in hand hygiene compliance can be expected by improving upon the education programs, posters demonstrating correct techniques of hand wash, regular monitoring and feedback, motivational posters and active involvement of administration. This has been shown in a previous study by Sharma *et al* [21], and also by our study.

## CONCLUSION

Hand Hygiene is undoubtedly the most important factor for improving on Healthcare associated Infections. The guidelines on hand hygiene [15,16] measures definitely need to be adhered. Further studies need to be done to evaluate the HH compliance in India, and also assessment of factors for improvement should be done. Institutions should look into the issue and provide for adequate infrastructure, put up reminders and posters, regular education and training and also follow up with regular evaluation and feedback programs. Hospital administrators must strive to create an organizational climate and culture that stimulates and motivates for patient safety in the workplace. With these measures provided Hand Hygiene compliance certainly would increase and steer way for healthier and faster recovery of patients.

## Limitations

Absence of control group, exclusion of other areas like casuality, operation theatre, wards etc, short duration of study, improvement in compliance due to awareness of being observed (Hawthorne effect) and absence of intervention at any stage could be some of the limitations of the study.

## References

- 1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System (NNIS) report, data summary from January 1992 through June 2003, issued August 2003.Am J Infect Control. 2003; 31:481–98.
- 2. Pittet D, Hugonnet S, Harbarth S, Mourouga P, Sauvan V, Touveneau S, *et al.* Effectiveness of a hospital-wide programme to improve compliance with hand hygiene. Infection Control Programme. Lancet 2000; 356:1307-12.
- Albert RK, Condie F. Hand-washing patterns in medical intensive-care units. N Engl J Med 1981; 304:1465-6.
- 4. Buxton AE. Nosocomial infections in the intensive care unit. *Crit Care Update*. 1982; 9:32–37.

- 5. Garner JS, Simmons BP. Guideline for isolation precautions in hospitals. *Infect Control.* 1983; 4(suppl):245–325
- 6. Leclaire JM, Freeman J, Sullivan BG, *et al.* Prevention of nosocomial respiratory syncytial virus infections through compliance with glove and gown isolation precautions. *N Engl J Med.* 1987; 317:329–334
- 7. Larson E. A causal link between hand washing and risk of infection? Examination of the evidence. *Infect Control.* 1988; 9:28–36
- 8. Steere AC, Mallison GF. Hand washing practices for the prevention of nosocomial infections. *Ann Intern Med.* 1975; 83:683–690
- 9. Daschner FD. Useful and useless hygienic techniques in intensive care units. *Intensive Care Med.* 1985;11:280–283
- 10. Pittet D, Mourouga P, Perneger TV. Compliance with hand washing in a teaching hospital. Infection Control Program. Ann Intern Med 1999; 130:126-30.
- 11. Maury E, Alzieu M, Baudel JL, Haram N, Barbut F, Guidet B, *et al.* Availability of an alcohol solution can improve hand disinfection, compliance in an intensive care unit. Am J RespirCrit Care Med 2000; 162:324-7.
- 12. Quraishi ZA, McGuckin M, Blais FX. Duration of hand washing in intensive care units: a descriptive study. *Am J Infect Control.* 1984; 11:83–87
- 13. Fox MK, Langner SB, Wells RW. How good are hand washing practices? *Am J Nurs*.1974; 74:1676–1678
- Albert RK, Condie F. Hand-washing patterns in medical intensive-care units. N Engl J Med. 1981; 304:1465–1466
- 15. Boyce J.M., Pittet D.: Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health- Care Settings: Recommendations of the Healthcare Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. *Infect Control HospEpidemiol*23 (Suppl.):S3–S40, Dec. 2002.
- 16. World Health Organization (WHO): *WHO Guidelines* on Hand Hygiene in Health Care (Advanced Draft): A Summary. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2006.
- 17. Boyce JM, Pittet D, and the HICPAC/SHEA/APIC/IDSA Hand Hygiene Task Force. Guideline for hand hygiene in health-care settings. *MMWR* 2002; 51(RR-16):1 45.
- 18. http://www.who.int/gpsc/country\_work/hhsa\_framewor k.pdf
- 19. Rello J, Ollendorf DA, Oster G, *et al.* Epidemiology and outcomes of ventilator-associated pneumonia in a large US database. *Chest* 2002; 122:2115-2121.
- 20. Bates DW, Yu DT, Black E, *et al.* Resource utilization among patients with sepsis syndrome. *Infect Control HospEpidemiol*2003; 24:62-70.
- 21. Sharma, *et al.* Hand Hygiene Compliance in the Intensive care Units of a tertiary care Hospital. *Indian Journal of Comm Med.*2001; 36:217-22.

\*\*\*\*\*\*

## How to cite this article:

Arindam Chatterjee *et al.*2016, Study of Hand Hygiene Compliance In Intensive Care Units At A Tertiary Care Hospital. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 7(6), pp. 11472-11475.

