



International Journal Of
**Recent Scientific
Research**

ISSN: 0976-3031
Volume: 7(6) June -2016

PROPERTIES OF IRREGULAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY HYPERGRAPHS

Vimala S and Pradeepa I



THE OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF
INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENT SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (IJRSR)
<http://www.recentscientific.com/> recentscientific@gmail.com



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research
Vol. 7, Issue, 6, pp. 11971-11975, June, 2016

**International Journal of
Recent Scientific
Research**

Research Article

PROPERTIES OF IRREGULAR INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY HYPERGRAPHS

Vimala S¹ and Pradeepa I²

¹Department of Mathematics Mother Teresa Women's University Kodaikanal - 624101

²Department of Mathematics Arul Anandar College Karumathur, Madurai - 625 514

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 05th March, 2016

Received in revised form 21st April, 2016

Accepted 06th May, 2016

Published online 28th June, 2016

Key Words:

Degree of vertex of IFHG, Total degree, regular IFHG

ABSTRACT

Intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs, Irregular Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, Degree, order and size in Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, Properties of irregular Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs are discussed in the papers[12],[9],[10],[3]. In this paper, we define irregular intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs and we extend the result to various classifications and also study the properties of neighbourly irregular IFHG and totally irregular IFHG. Some results on totally irregular IFHG are established.

Copyright © Vimala S and Pradeepa I., 2016, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hypergraph is a graph in which an edge can connect more than two vertices. Hypergraphs can be applied to analyze architecture structures and to represent system partitions. Hypergraph theory, developed by C. Berge [2] in 1960, is a generalization of graph theory. The notion of hypergraphs has been extended in fuzzy theory and the concept of fuzzy hyper graphs was proposed by Lee-Kwang and S.M.Chen. The concept of an Intuitionistic fuzzy graph was introduced by Atanassov[1]. Parvathy. R and M.G. Karunambigai's paper [12] introduced the concepts of IFH and analyzed its components. M. Akram [5] applied the concepts in to a real-life problem with a numerical example.

NagoorGani. A and SajithBegum. S [10] defined degree, order and size in Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs and extend the properties. NagoorGani A and Radha[8] introduced regular fuzzy graphs, total degree and totally regular fuzzy graphs. NagoorGani. A and Latha. R [9] also introduced irregular fuzzy graphs and discussed some of its properties. GnaanaBhagsam and Ayyaswamy suggested a method to construct a neighborly irregular graph of order and also discussed some properties on neighborly irregular graph. Also Jahir Hussain. R and Yahya Mohamed. S[3] established properties on irregular IFG. Yousef Alavi, F.R.K. Chung, et.al [13] introduced k-path irregular graph and studied some properties on k-path irregular graphs.

In this paper, we define some types of irregular IFHG. The discussion on the relation between neighbourly irregular IFHG and highly irregular IFHG are established.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1: A hyper graph H is an ordered pair $H = (X, E)$ where

- i) $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ a finite set of vertices.
- ii) $E = \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m\}$ a family of subsets of V .
- iii) $E_j \neq \phi, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $\cup_j E_j = X$.

The set X is called the set of vertices and E is the set of edges (or hyper edges).

*Corresponding author: Vimala S

Department of Mathematics Mother Teresa Women's University Kodaikanal - 624101

In a hypergraph two or more vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are said to be adjacent if there exist an edge E_j which contains those vertices. In a hypergraph two edges E_i & E_j $i \neq j$ is said to be adjacent if their intersection is not empty. The size of a hypergraph depends on the cardinality of its hyperedges. we define the size of H as the sum of the cardinalities of its hyperedges.

A regular hypergraph is one in which every vertex is contained in k edges for some constant k.

Definition 2.2: Let X be a finite set and let ξ be a finite family of non-trivial fuzzy subsets of X such that $X = \bigcup_{\mu \in \xi} \text{Supp}(\mu)$. The pair $H = (X, \xi)$ is called a fuzzy hypergraph (on X) and ξ is called the edge set of H which is sometimes denoted $\xi(H)$. The members of ξ are called the fuzzy edges of H.

Definition 2.3: The IFHG H is an ordered pair $H = \{X, E\}$ where H satisfies the following conditions

- i) $X = \{x_1, x_2 \dots x_n\}$ is a finite set of vertices.
- ii) $E = \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m\}$ is a family on intuitionistic fuzzy subsets of X .
- iii) $E_j = \{(x_i, \mu_j(x_i), \gamma_j(x_i)); \mu_j(x_i), \gamma_j(x_i) \geq 0 \ \& \ \mu_j(x_i) + \gamma_j(x_i) \leq 1\} \quad j = 1, 2 \dots m$
- iv) $E_j \neq \phi, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$
- v) $\bigcup_j \text{Supp}(E_j) = X, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$

The edges E_j are an IFSs of vertices, $\mu_j(x_i)$ and $\gamma_j(x_i)$ denote the degree of membership and non-membership of vertex x_i to edge E_j .

The sets X and E are crisp sets.

Notations

The triple $\langle x_i, \mu_{1i}, \gamma_{1i} \rangle$ denotes the degree of membership and non-membership of the vertex x_i . The triple $\langle e_{ij}, \mu_{2ij}, \gamma_{2ij} \rangle$ denote the degree of membership and non-membership of the edge $e_{ij} = (x_i, x_j)$ on X.

That is $\mu_{1i} = \mu_1(x_i), \gamma_{1i} = \gamma_1(x_i)$ and $\mu_{2ij} = \mu_2(x_i, x_j), \gamma_{2ij} = \gamma_2(x_i, x_j)$.

Definition 2.4

In an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Hypergraph H, the degree of a vertex x is denoted by $d(x)$ and defined by $d(x) = (d^\mu(x), d^\gamma(x))$

$$\text{Where } d^\mu(x) = \sum_{x \in e_j} \mu_E(e_j)$$

$$d^\gamma(x) = \sum_{x \in e_j} \gamma_E(e_j)$$

Example 2.5

Consider an IFHG $H = (V, E)$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ where $\mu_1(x_1) = 0.1, \mu_1(x_2) = 0.3, \mu_1(x_3) = 0.4, \mu_1(x_4) = 0.2$ and $\gamma_1(x_1) = 0.2, \gamma_1(x_2) = 0.5, \gamma_1(x_3) = 0.6, \gamma_1(x_4) = 0.2$
 $e_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ $\mu_E(e_1) = 0.01, \gamma_E(e_1) = 0.06$ and $e_2 = \{x_3, x_4\}$ $\mu_E(e_2) = 0.08, \gamma_E(e_2) = 0.12$. Then the degree of vertices is $d(x_1) = (0.01, 0.06)$ $d(x_2) = (0.01, 0.06)$ $d(x_3) = (0.09, 0.18)$ $d(x_4) = (0.08, 0.12)$.

Definition 2.6

In an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Hypergraph H, the total degree of a vertex x is denoted by $td(x)$ and defined by

$$d(x) = (td^\mu(x), td^\gamma(x))$$

$$\text{Where } td^\mu(x) = d(x) + \mu_1(x)$$

$$td^\gamma(x) = d(x) + \gamma_1(x)$$

Example 2.7

Consider an IFHG $H=(V,E)$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ where
 $\mu_1(x_1) = 0.1, \mu_1(x_2) = 0.3, \mu_1(x_3) = 0.4, \mu_1(x_4) = 0.2$ and $\gamma_1(x_1) = 0.2, \gamma_1(x_2) = 0.5, \gamma_1(x_3) = 0.6, \gamma_1(x_4) = 0.2$
 $e_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ $\mu_E(e_1) = 0.01, \gamma_E(e_1) = 0.06$ and $e_2 = \{x_3, x_4\}$ $\mu_E(e_2) = 0.08, \gamma_E(e_2) = 0.12$. Then the total degree of vertices is $d(x_1) = (0.11, 0.26) d(x_2) = (0.31, 0.56) d(x_3) = (0.49, 0.78) d(x_4) = (0.28, 0.32)$.

3 Irregular Intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs

Definition 3.1

Let $H=(V,E)$ be IFHG. Then H is irregular if there is a vertex which is adjacent to vertices with distinct degrees.

Example 3.2

Consider an IFHG $H=(X,E)$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ where
 $e_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}, \mu_E(e_1) = 0.02, \gamma_E(e_1) = 0.1$
 $\mu_1(x_1) = 0.2, \mu_1(x_2) = 0.3, \mu_1(x_3) = 0.4, \mu_1(x_4) = 0.5$ and $e_2 = \{x_2, x_3\}, \mu_E(e_2) = 0.05, \gamma_E(e_2) = 0.04$
 $\gamma_1(x_1) = 0.6, \gamma_1(x_2) = 0.5, \gamma_1(x_3) = 0.2, \gamma_1(x_4) = 0.2$ $e_3 = \{x_4\}, \mu_E(e_3) = 0.02, \gamma_E(e_3) = 0.1$
 $\& e_4 = \{x_1, x_4\}, \mu_E(e_4) = 0.05, \gamma_E(e_4) = 0.04$.

Then the degrees of vertices are $d(x_1) = (0.07, 0.14) d(x_2) = (0.07, 0.14) d(x_3) = (0.05, 0.04) d(x_4) = (0.07, 0.14)$
 Here x_2 adjacent to x_1 and x_3 have distinct degrees.

Definition 3.3

Let $H=(X, E)$ be connected IFHG, Then H is said to be a highly irregular IFHG if every vertex of H is adjacent to vertices with distinct degrees.

Example 3.4

Consider an IFHG $H=(X, E)$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ where
 $\mu_1(x_1) = 0.2, \mu_1(x_2) = 0.3, \mu_1(x_3) = 0.4, \mu_1(x_4) = 0.5$ and $e_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \mu_E(e_1) = 0.01, \gamma_E(e_1) = 0.06$
 $\gamma_1(x_1) = 0.6, \gamma_1(x_2) = 0.5, \gamma_1(x_3) = 0.2, \gamma_1(x_4) = 0.2$ $e_2 = \{x_2, x_4\}, \mu_E(e_2) = 0.06, \gamma_E(e_2) = 0.1$
 $e_3 = \{x_1, x_4\}, \mu_E(e_3) = 0.01, \gamma_E(e_3) = 0.04$.
 Then the degrees of vertices are $d(x_1) = (0.07, 0.14) d(x_2) = (0.07, 0.14) d(x_3) = (0.05, 0.04) d(x_4) = (0.07, 0.14)$
 Here $d(x_1) \neq d(x_2) \neq d(x_3) \neq d(x_4)$

Definition 3.5

Let $H=(X, E)$ be connected IFHG, Then H is said to be a neighbourly irregular IFHG if every two adjacent vertices of H have distinct degrees.

Proposition 3.6

A highly irregular IFHG need not be neighbourly irregular IFHG. As for example we consider an IFHG $H=(X,E)$ such that
 $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ where $\mu_1(x_1) = 0.1, \mu_1(x_2) = 0.3, \mu_1(x_3) = 0.4, \mu_1(x_4) = 0.2$ and
 $\gamma_1(x_1) = 0.2, \gamma_1(x_2) = 0.5, \gamma_1(x_3) = 0.6, \gamma_1(x_4) = 0.3$
 $e_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \mu_E(e_1) = 0.01, \gamma_E(e_1) = 0.06$
 $e_2 = \{x_3, x_4\}, \mu_E(e_2) = 0.08, \gamma_E(e_2) = 0.12$
 $d(x_1) = (0.01, 0.06) d(x_2) = (0.01, 0.06) d(x_3) = (0.09, 0.18) d(x_4) = (0.08, 0.12)$

Here the IFHG is highly irregular but not neighbourly irregular as $d(x_1) = d(x_2)$.

Definition 3.7

Let $H=(V,E)$ be IFHG. Then H is totally irregular if there is a vertex which is adjacent to vertices with distinct total degrees.

Example 3.8

Consider an IFHG $H=(X,E)$ such that $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ and $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$ where

$$\mu_1(x_1) = 0.1, \mu_1(x_2) = 0.1, \mu_1(x_3) = 0.4, \mu_1(x_4) = 0.03 \text{ and } e_1 = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, \mu_E(e_1) = 0.01, \gamma_E(e_1) = 0.06$$

$$\gamma_1(x_1) = 0.2, \gamma_1(x_2) = 0.5, \gamma_1(x_3) = 0.6, \gamma_1(x_4) = 0.6 \quad e_2 = \{x_3, x_4\}, \mu_E(e_2) = 0.08, \gamma_E(e_2) = 0.12$$

Then the total degrees of vertices are

$$td(x_1) = (0.11, 0.26) \quad td(x_2) = (0.11, 0.56) \quad td(x_3) = (0.49, 0.78) \quad td(x_4) = (0.11, 0.72)$$

Here x_2 adjacent to x_1 and x_3 have distinct total degrees.

Definition 3.9

Let $H = (X,E)$ be connected IFHG, Then H is said to be a neighbourly totally irregular IFHG if every two adjacent vertices of H Have distinct total degrees.

Theorem 3.10

Let H be a IFHG. Then H is highly irregular IFHG and neighbourly irregular IFHG iff the degrees of all vertices of H are distinct.

Proof

Let, G be the IFHG with n vertices x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Now, Suppose H is highly irregular and neighbourly irregular IFHG. Let the adjacent vertices of x_1 be x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n with degrees $(m_2, n_2), (m_3, n_3), \dots, (m_n, n_n)$ respectively.

Since H is highly irregular, $d(x_1) \neq d(x_2) \neq d(x_3) \neq d(x_4) \neq \dots \neq d(x_n)$. So it is obvious that all vertices of H are of distinct degrees.

Conversely, Assume that the degrees of all vertices of H are distinct. This means that, every two adjacent vertices have distinct degrees and to every vertex, the adjacent vertices have distinct degrees. Hence, H is neighbourly irregular and highly irregular IFHG.

Theorem 3.11

Let $H = \langle X, E \rangle$ be IFHG. If H is neighbourly irregular and μ_1 and γ_1 are constant functions, then H is a neighbourly totally irregular IFHG.

Proof:

Let $H = \langle X, E \rangle$ be IFHG. Assume that $H = \langle X, E \rangle$ is neighbourly Irregular IFHG.(i.e) the degrees of every two adjacent vertices are distinct.

Consider two adjacent vertices x_1 and x_2 with distinct degrees say (m_1, n_1) and (m_2, n_2) respectively.

Also assume $\mu_1(x) = a$ for all $x \in X$

and $\gamma_1(x) = b$ for all $x \in X$; where a, b are constant and in $[0,1]$

Therefore, $td(x_1) = (d^{\mu}(x_1) + \mu_1(x_1), d^{\gamma}(x_1) + \gamma_1(x_1)) = (m_1 + a, n_1 + b)$ clearly $td(x_1) \neq td(x_2)$

$$td(x_2) = (d^{\mu}(x_2) + \mu_1(x_2), d^{\gamma}(x_2) + \gamma_1(x_2)) = (m_2 + a, n_2 + b)$$

Therefore the two adjacent vertices x_1 and x_2 with distinct degrees, its total degrees are also distinct, provided μ_1, γ_1 are constant functions. The above argument is true for every pair of adjacent vertices in H .

Theorem 3.12

Let $H = \langle X, E \rangle$ be a neighbourly total irregular IFHG and μ_1 and γ_1 are constant functions, then H is a neighbourly irregular IFHG.

Proof:

Let $H = \langle X, E \rangle$ be IFHG. Assume that $H = \langle X, E \rangle$ is neighbourly total irregular IFHG.

(i.e) the total degree of every two adjacent vertices are distinct.

Consider two adjacent vertices x_1 and x_2 with distinct degrees say (m_1, n_1) and (m_2, n_2) respectively.

Also assume $\mu_1(x) = a$ for all $x \in X$

and $\gamma_1(x) = b$ for all $x \in X$; where $a, b \in [0,1]$ are constants.

Also $td(x_1) \neq td(x_2)$.

We are to prove that $d(x_1) \neq d(x_2)$

$m_1 + a \neq m_2 + a$ and $n_1 + b \neq n_2 + b$.

So $m_1 \neq m_2$ and $n_1 \neq n_2$

Hence the degrees of adjacent vertices of H are distinct.

This is true for every pair of adjacent vertices in H. Hence the result.

CONCLUSION

Here we derived and discussed some types of irregular IFHG. We also discussed the relationship between the irregularities of IFHG. Further we are planned to extend the work to different types of IFHG.

References

1. Atanassorv, K. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets – Theory and Applications, New York, Physica-Verlog, 199N, ISBN: 3-7908-1228-5.
2. Berge, C. Graphs and Hypergraphs, Nort-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
3. JahirHussain. R and Yahya Mohamed. S, Properties of irregular intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, *Applied Mathematical Sciences(International Journal)*, Hikari Ltd, Bulgeria, Vol.8, 2014, no.8, p.379-389.
4. H. Lee-Kwang, K.M. Lee, Fuzzy Hypergraph and Fuzzy Partition, *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybernet*, 25(1995) 196-201.
5. M. Akram and W.D. Dudek. Intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs with applications, *Information Sciences*, 2012.
6. M. Akram, Muhammed and Davvaz, Bijan, Strong Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, *Filomat*, 2012.
7. Moderson N. John, Nair S. Premchand, Fuzzy Graphs and Fuzzy Hypergraphs, New York, Physica-Verlog, 2000, ISBN: 3-7908-1286-2.
8. NagoorGani A. and S.R. Latha, On Irregular Fuzzy Graphs, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 6(11), (2012), 517-523.
9. NagoorGani. A *et.al.*, Irregular Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, *IOSR Journal of Mathematics* Vol 9, Issue 6 (Jan 2014) p.47-51.
10. NagoorGani.A and S.Shajitha Begum, Degree, order and size in Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, *International Journal of Algorithms, Computing and Mathematics*, (3)3(2010).
11. Pradeepa. I and Vimala. S, Regular and Totally Regular Intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs, *International Journal of Mathematics and its Applications*, (4)4(2016).
12. S. Thilagavathi, R. Parvathi and M.G. Karunambigai, Intuitionistic fuzzy hypergraphs, *J. Cybernetics and Information Technologies*, 9(2), (2009), p. 46-53 Sofia.
13. YousefAlavi, F.R.K. Chung, Paul Erodos, R. L. Graham, Ortrud R. Oellermann, Highly irregular Graphs, *Journal of Graph theory*, 11(2), 235-249.

How to cite this article:

Vimala S and Pradeepa I. 2016, Properties of Irregular Intuitionistic Fuzzy Hypergraphs. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 7(6), pp. 11971-11975.

T.SSN 0976-3031



9 770976 303009 >