

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 7, Issue, 11, pp. 14317-14319, November, 2016 International Journal of Recent Scientific <u>Re</u>rearch

Research Article

CONSTRUCTIVIST MODELLING OF LEARNING HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS FOR VIII STANDARD STUDENTS

Hariharan.R., Gyanendra Kumar Rout and Arun Kumar

Education Indira Gandhi National Tribal University Amarkantak Madhya Pradesh

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received 15th August, 2016 Received in revised form 25th September, 2016 Accepted 23rd October, 2016 Published online 28th November, 2016 Largely the students of mathematics find difficulty to learning maths concepts and the similarity between the terminologies as well as in the derivations may develop few misperceptions in learning process. Moreover the APOS strategy provides the computational skills in math learning. Advanced mathematical thinking is inclusive of constructive theory and parallel construction of own knowledge on mathematical learning. In this present study the APOS strategy was adopted to VIII standard students by TATA interactive system and pre-test- post-test experimental analysis the students of experimental group were better than the control group.

Key Words:

Constructivist, Reflective abstraction, Learning, Mathematics

Copyright © **Hariharan.R** *et al.*, **2016**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Advanced mathematical thinking is inclusive of constructive theory and parallel construction of own knowledge on mathematical learning.

Dubinsky (1991) proposed to use of reflective abstraction of Piaget (Beth & Piaget (1966) in advanced mathematical thinking. Dubinsky (1991) and Asiala, Brown, DeVries, Dubinsky, Mathews, and Thomas (1996) determined six kinds of reflective abstractions: interiorization, coordination, reversal, encapsulation, thematization, and generalization in mathematics education and these are mentioned in the table 1.

Based on these reflective abstraction of mind, the APOS theory has been developed by Dubinsky and his colleagues. Action, Process, Object, Schema are the mental structures that an individual builds by the mental mechanism of reflective abstraction.

Based on these theoretical propositions the present study has been undertaken and the utility of the APOS theory is checked for its efficiency.

Need For the Study

Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA) on reading: Failure mode and effect analysis, or FMEA, is an attempt to delineate all possible failures, their effect on the system, the likelihood of

occurrence, and the probability that the failure will go undetected (Pyzdek, 2010).

Further the FMEA analysis shows that the Lack of dynamic ability in moulding the students, Poor administrative academic practices and in Lack of skill training of the students (210) are the most negative dominant factors which may negatively influence the VIII standard. Hence it is indispensable to take on the current study and it is entitled "Constructivist Modelling of Learning High School Mathematics by VIII Standard Students".

Specific Objectives

- 1. To find out the significance difference between the control group and experimental group students at pretest level.
- 2. To find out the significance difference between the control group and experimental group students at posttest level.

Hypothesis of the Study

- 1. There is no significance difference between the control group and experimental group students at pretest level.
- 2. There is no significance difference between the control group and experimental group students at posttest level.

Mode of failure	Effect of failure	S.I	Causes of failure	0	Controls	D	R	Recommended action
Lack of understanding mathematics	Lack of learning attainments	6	Lack of dynamic ability in moulding the students to compute	5	Periodical inspection of authorities	7	210	Resourceful Training to and Payment as per the norms
Hampering The fullest potential in remarkable learning outcome			Lack of parental care in learning maths concepts	6	Periodical visit of authorities	4	144	Creating infrastructural facilities
			Poor administrative and academic practices towards textual learning.	7	Effective monitoring	5	210	Implementation of suitable strategies to Improve the leadership qualities
			Lack of skill training of the students for text preparation	5	Constant Motivation by feedback	7	210	Providing motivational programs-counselling
			Reluctance for continuous evaluation on mathematical skills	4	Effective monitoring	4	112	Providing motivational programs-counselling
Responsibility		Author	rities of nodal agencies, mana	gemer	nts, fads of the instituti	ons, Fa	culty and s	students

 $[(R = SI \times O \times D) \ S.I - Severity \ Index; \ O - opportunity; \ D - Detection \ possibility; \ R- \ Risk \ priority \ number]$

Tools Used For the Study

The self-prepared tools are made for the present study from

- 1. Tata Class Edge based learning mathematics adopted for the VIII standard students
- 2. An achievement test (pre and posttest) in mathematics. The Reliability and validity of the tool was 0.78 and 0.82 respectively. The objective based questionnaire was set to test the achievement of the VIII standard students. The APOS strategy was adopted as stipulated by Dubinsky et al (1991).

Sampling

The investigators have selected the experimental and control groups among VII standard students in James Memorial Matriculation Higher Secondary School, Pragasapuram. There were 20 students in each group. The pre-test was administer to the two groups and the purposive sampling was adopted.

Data Analysis

 Table 2 significance difference between control and experimental group students based on pre-test in mathematics

Group	Ν	Mean	S.D	Calculate 't' value	Remarks 5% level	
Control group	35	4.37	1.262	1.566	NS	
Experimental Group	35	4.91	1.616	1.300		

(At 5% Level of significance the table value of 't' is 1.96). (NS-Non significant)

It is inferred from the above table that there is no significant difference between control and experimental group students based on pre-test in mathematics so the above stated hypothesis

 Table- 3 Significant Difference Between Control Group

 And Experimental Group Students Based On Post-Test In

 Chapter1.

Chapter I						
Group	Ν	Mean	S.D	Calculate 't' value	Remarks 5% level	
Control group	35	6.40	1.288			
Experimental Group	35	10.03	2.216	8.375	S	

(At 5% Level of significance the table value of 't' is 1.96). (S- Significant)

has been accepted at the table value of 1.96 and the nonsignificant results showed the students of the both groups have similar test score at pretest level.

It is inferred from the above table that there is significant difference between control group and experimental group students based on post-test in mathematics so the above stated hypothesis has been rejected at the table value of 1.96 and the significant results showed the students of the experimental group outdid the control group in test score at posttest level. Hence it was stated that the TATA based interactive system was effective in learning mathematics by VIII standard students.

DISCUSSIONS

The reflective knowledge based constructivism is fostered by the TATA interactive system as the same technology influence the mental construct of the students in experimental group and this research outcome is in congruence with the mathematical research conducted and analyzed by Dubinsky (1991) and Asiala, Brown, DeVries, Dubinsky, Mathews, and Thomas (1996). Though the use of FMEA in educational research is meagre unlike the in industrial units for identifying the defective process, it is now much apprehended that the same can be adopted in academic process as analytical outcomes generated by Hariharan and Mohanasundaram (2013).

Suggestions

Since the technology inspires the learning process, the reflective constructivism method of teaching can be adopted for acquiring the language skills. Moreover, the mechanism of the mental constructs are to be profoundly researched such a manner that can emphasise the brain parts responsible for the development of reflective skills.

CONCLUSION

The mathematical learning has been under constant change as the newest form of technological revolution takes place in our modern society and the TATA interactive system can be exemplified. The students develop much acquaintance with learning the mathematical concepts as the present study has proven.

References

- Asiala, M., Brown, A., De Vries, D. J., Dubinsky, E., Mathews, D., & Thomas, K. (1996). A framework for research and development in undergraduate mathematics education. *Research in Collegiate Mathematics Education*, 2, 1-32.
- Chetankumar,G.Shetty.,(2012). ExperInn e-learning system. Academic Research International (Vol. 2, No. 1, January 2012); AR-ID: 0102-86-SC-082011; ISSN-L: 2223-9553 (online), ISSN: 2223-9944 (Print), ISSN: 2223-9952 (CD).
- Dubinsky, E. (2010). The APOS theory of learning mathematics: Pedagogical applications and results.
 Paper presented at the Eighteenth Annual Meeting of the Southern African Association for Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education.
 Durban, South Africa.
- Dubinsky, E., & McDonald, M. A. (2001). APOS: A constructivist theory of learning in undergraduate mathematics education research. In D. Holton (Ed.), *The teaching and learning of mathematics at university level: An ICMI study* (pp. 275-282). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers. Retrieved from http://www.math.kent.edu/~edd/ICMIPaper.pdf accessed on January 2014.

- Haines, C. R., & Dunthorne, S. (Eds.). (1996). *Mathematics Learning and Assessment*. London: Arnold.
- Hariharan, R.,& Mohanasundaram,K.(2013). Impact of Six Sigma – DMAIC Approach in Learning the ICT Concept by the Prospective Teachers. Book of abstracts of the Association for Teacher Education in Europe Spring Conference 2013: Teacher of the 21st Century: International conference Quality Education for Quality Teaching, p. 31, Riga, Latvia. May 10-11, 2013
- Ikeda, T., & Stephens, M. (2001). The effects of students' discussion in mathematical modeling. In J.F.Matos, W.Blum, K.Houston, & S.P.Carreira (Eds.), *Modelling* and MathematicsEducation: ICTMA9 Applications in Science and Technology, (pp. 381-400). Chichester: Ellis Horwood.
- KOM (2002). *Competencies and mathematical learning*. Niss, M., (Chair), Roskilde University, Denmark.

How to cite this article:

Hariharan.R et al. 2016, Constructivist Modelling of Learning High School Mathematics for Viii standard Students. Int J Recent Sci Res. 7(11), pp. 14317-14319.