

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

### **CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)**

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 8, Issue, 4, pp. 16687-16691, April, 2017 International Journal of Recent Scientific Re*r*earch

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

# **Research Article**

# MICROWAVE ASSISTED SYNTHESIS OF MAGNETIC NANOPARTICLES WITH HIGHER RELAXIVITIES AS CONTRAST AGENTS FOR MRI

# Prashant Chavan<sup>1\*</sup>., Suhas Pednekar<sup>1</sup>., Ramesh Chaughule<sup>1</sup> and Deepak Patkar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Ramnarain Ruia College, Matunga, Mumbai 400019. India <sup>2</sup>MRI Department, Nanavati Hospital, Mumbai 400056. India

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2017.0804.0198

#### **ARTICLE INFO**

#### Article History:

Received 15<sup>th</sup> January, 2017 Received in revised form 25<sup>th</sup> February, 2017 Accepted 28<sup>th</sup> March, 2017 Published online 28<sup>th</sup> April, 2017

#### Key Words:

Magnetic nanoparticles, SPIONs, Microwave synthesis, MRI, Relaxivity, SEM, HPPH drug.

### ABSTRACT

There is considerable interest in developing magnetic nanoparticles and their surface modifications with therapeutic agents. The study involves the synthesis of biocompatible HPPH [2-(1-Hexyloxyethyl)-2-devinyl pyropheophorbide-a], a cancer drug coated with iron oxide nanoparticles and to evaluate their efficacy as MRI contrast agents. A simple and quick microwave method to prepare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>n anoparticles has been developed. Microwave heating offers potential advantages over conventional heating to enhance chemical reactions. The relaxivities ( $r_2$ ) of the coated magnetic nanoparticles were also measured and the results showed that  $r_2$  of the Fe complex was higher than that of MRI contrast agent Gd-DTPA used in clinics. The drug was successfully conjugated to the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>n anoparticles which can be used for various applications such as photodynamic therapy, hyperthermia etc.

**Copyright** © **Prashant Chavan** *et al*, **2017**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Nanoparticles (NPs) are of great scientific interest as they are, in effect, a bridge between bulk materials and atomic or molecular structures. This is typically because NPs have a greater surface area per volume as compared to larger particles which causes them to be more reactive than their bulk counterparts. The research is currently an area of intense scientific interest due to a wide variety of potential applications especially in biomedical and catalytic fields (R.Taylor et al., 2013). In the past several decades about 40 percent of newly designed drugs, especially those which are based on biomolecules such as peptides, oligonucleotides, proteins and DNA, often exhibit low bioavailability and are rejected by the pharmaceutical industry (J. L.Vivero-Escoto et al., 2010). To achieve these goals, numerous materials have been extensively investigated, such as amphiphilic block copolymers (R.Gref et al., 1994; B.Jeong et al., 1997; D. A. Hammer 1999), liposomes (V. P. Torchilin et al., 2005), dendrimers (C.Gao et al., 2004; C. C. Lee et al., 2005), hydrogels (N. A.Peppas et al., 2000; N. A. Peppas et al., 2006) as well as NPs (J. L.Vivero-Escoto et al., 2010; D. M. Jeftinija et al., 2003). MagneticNPs (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) is one of the most attractive nanomaterial for various biomedical applications such as multifunctional theranostic agent, biological detection, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)

contrast agents, cell sorting, drug delivery and targeted therapy (F. H.Chen et al., 2008; R.Hergt et al., 1998; D. L.Huber 2005). Imaging has been widely used in scientific and technological applications due to its visual and intuitional interface. In particular, biological imaging has been a rapidly growing field, not only in fundamental biology but also in medical science (H. B.Na et al., 2009). In particular, MagneticNPs are excellent MRI contrast agents for noninvasive cellular and molecular imaging though metallic Fe, Co, and Ni are highly magnetic, their use in biological applications is limited due to their toxicity. Nevertheless, iron oxides are very safe in clinical use due to their excellent biocompatibility. Magnetic NPs dispersed in composites usually have strong tendency to form agglomerates for reduction of energy associated with high surface area-tovolume ratio of nano-sized particles. To avoid aggregation of magnetic NPs, protection strategies have been developed to chemically stabilize the naked magnetic NPs by grafting of or coating with organic species, including surfactants or polymer or coating with an inorganic layer, such as silica or carbon. The incorporation of these functionalized magnetic NPs in polymer or other matrices for the development of magnetic nanocomposite material proved to be more effective (S.Kalia et al., 2014). An important medical application of polymer coated

Department of Chemistry, Ramnarain Ruia College, Matunga, Mumbai 400019. India

iron oxide NPs as imaging agents allows for conjugation of functional Ligands. Coating helps against degradation after synthesis (protection against oxidation). Surface properties of magnetic NPs are the main factors determining colloidal stability. This can be improved by controlling surface charge and specific surfactant. Increase in surface area increases material's chemical reactivity and ability to connect with additional functional materials. Magnetic NPs labeled with targeting agent (polymers) with high affinity for tumors can pass through the blood-brain barrier (BBB) and successfully target brain tumors in mouse which has been proved experimentally. This highlights one advantage of Magnetic NPs over commonly used Gd chelates (R. S.Chaughule *et al.*, 2014).

Magnetic NPs (MNPs) offer some attractive possibilities in biomedicine. MRI shows high spatial resolution (~100 µm), long effective imaging window, rapid in vivo acquisition of images, and the absence of exposure to ionizing radiation (L.Li et al., 2013). Due to its low sensitivity, inclusion of contrast agents improves the quality of images and accentuates differences between normal and diseased lesions. Thus contrast agents play an important role for the analysis of the biological information and the diagnosis of the diseases (D. L.Huber 2005). The relaxation rates or the relaxivity of water proton is dependent on the given Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> concentration. Thus improvement in NPs size, development of magnetic core materials increases the relaxivity also it depends on the molecular structure and kinetics of the complex. To increase the number of water molecules that are in the inner sphere of the complex, or to slow down the molecular rotational correlation time, are the possibilities to improve the water relaxivity (S.Mornet et al., 2004). In clinical practice, it is often necessary to add a substance (contrast agent) to the system under observation in order to enhance contrast by altering its intrinsic relaxation times for MRI. The images can be enhanced by reducing the longitudinal  $(T_1)$  and transverse  $(T_2)$  relaxation times of the water protons which is often done by the use of contrast agents such as gadolinium chelate (G.Strijkers et al., 2007). To get the  $T_2$  MRI contrast, the magnetic dipole moment is induced in SPIONs under an applied magnetic field. When water molecules diffuse into the outer space of the induced dipole moment, the magnetic relaxation processes of the water protons are perturbed and  $T_2$  is shortened. This shortening of  $T_2$ is a signal reduction, compared to the surrounding water protons, appearing dark region on a T<sub>2</sub> weighted MR image.One of the important criteria for the contrast agents is to have high magnetic moment, it is well documented that the coating particles controls oxidation (e.g., spin-canting) and thus the reduction in magnetization is controlled (A. G.Roca et al., 2009).

#### Synthesis of Nanoparticles

There are several publications available for the synthesis of magnetic NPs that include highly stable, shape controlled and mono dispersed NPs. These include co-precipitation, thermal decomposition, micelle synthesis, hydrothermal synthesis, laser pyrolysis etc. Though there is significant development in the synthesis procedure, maintaining the stability of these NPs for a long time without agglomeration is a prime concern. With this point in mind we tried to develop a microwave method for synthesis of NPs for good stability and dispersity.

#### Microwave Method

The microwave technique that we carried out in our laboratory is simple, rapid, convenient and significant for the synthesis of magnetic NPs. Microwave heating offers several potential advantages over conventional heating or enhancing chemical reactions. The formation of magnetic NPs using microwave requires only few minutes and this is much faster than other reported methods.

In a snap cap tube of microwave, 30 mM of Iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate [Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, 9H<sub>2</sub>O] dissolved in water was taken and kept for constant stirring while during constant stirring add 0.025 M ascorbic acid dissolved in ethanol (all chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were 99 % in purity) kept the above solution for stirring for few minutes and the solution was irradiated in the Microwave Synthesizer (CEM Discover mono mode) for 3 minutes. The reaction was carried out under dynamic condition at 130<sup>o</sup>C and 65 W of power the power max was off as the reaction mixture did not require simultaneous cooling. After the microwave process gets over we observe that there is formation of black precipitate of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs. Wash the above formed precipitate of Fe NPs with Chloroform for 3 to 4 times to remove impurities and then dry the above precipitate in oven at  $60^{\circ}$ C. After drying, the Fe NPs were kept in muffle furnace for 1 hour at  $500^{\circ}$ C.

## Polymer Coating to Fe NPs

For PEG coating, iron oxide nanoparticles were washed with ethanol and dried in an oven at 100 °C for 30 min. Ten milligram iron oxide nanoparticles were mixed with 5 mL of 3 mM Polyethylene glycol (MW 1000, Sigma Aldrich) and sonicate for 1 h. The mixture was washed thoroughly with ethanol, and centrifuged for 5 min at 5000 rpm.

#### **ConjugationofDrug**

HPPH coated Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic NPs were prepared with concentration  $4 \times 10^{-2}$  mM of HPPH added with 1 mg/mL of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG magnetic NPs by slight stirring for 60 minutes. After stirring the negatively charged HPPH combined with positively charged Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG thus resulted in HPPH- Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG magnetic conjugates.



### X-ray Diffraction

XRD measurement was used to identify the crystalline structure of the products. As shown in Fig.1 the X-ray diffraction pattern of bare  $Fe_3O_4$  NPs recorded in Xpert Pro MPD operated with Cu K $\alpha$  (1.5405 Angstrom)match well with

the characteristic peaks of inverse cubic spinel structure (JCPDS 19-0629), which indicate the crystalline structure of  $Fe_3O_4$  NPs it also indicates that the crystallite size can be remained after surface modification. All the peaks are well crystallized. The broadness of the peaks indicates small crystallite size.



Fig. 1 X-ray diffraction pattern showing (a) Fe $_3O_4and$  (b)Fe $_3O_4\text{-PEG}$  NPs

The size calculation was done using Scherrer formula. It is used to calculate particle size using the following relation

#### D = K / S cos "

Where, D is the mean size of the ordered (crystalline) domains, which may be smaller or equal to the grain size, K is a dimension less hape factor, with a value close to unity,  $\lambda$  is the X-ray\_wavelength,  $\beta$  is the line broadening at half the maximum intensity (FWHM),  $\theta$  is the Bragg angle.

From calculations the sizes of bare  $Fe_3O_4$  NPs were 26.47 nm and that for  $Fe_3O_4$  -PEG NPs were 28.86 nm. The difference between bare NPs and coated NPs were 2.39 suggesting the coating of the polymer to the magnetic NPs.

#### Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy

The energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy is used for the elemental analysis or chemical characterization of the sample. It relies on the interaction of source of X-ray excitation and a

sample. Fig. 2 is the EDS spectrum of  $Fe_3O_4$  NPs which show the peaks of the elements Fe and O that confirms the formation of  $Fe_3O_4$  NPs.



Fig. 2 EDS spectrum of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>NPs

#### Infrared Spectroscopy

Fig.3 shows the infrared spectrum of  $Fe_3O_4NPs$ . The analysis was done using Perkin Elmer Infrared Spectrum unit version 10.03.07. In the IR spectrum, it can be seen that the characteristic absorption of Fe-O bond is at 580 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 634 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while that of -OH bond is at 3398 cm<sup>-1</sup>The peaks at 2855 cm<sup>-1</sup> is from the vibration of long alkyl chain -CH<sub>2</sub> and -CH<sub>3</sub>.The O-H stretch, C-H stretch and Fe-O stretch show the formation of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> NPs with the coating of Polyethylene glycol.



Fig 3 Infrared Spectrum Showing Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG NPs

#### Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) Studies

Figs. 4 and 5 show the SEM images of  $Fe_3O_4$ - PEG-DrugNPs. The analysis was carried out using model Zeiss Merlin 6073 Compact. It is seen that the obtained NPs are uniform in size. The average size of NPs from the above analysis was in the range around 30 nm.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

MRI is one of the most widely used and powerful tools for noninvasive clinical diagnosis due to soft tissue contrast, spatial resolution and penetration depth. Contrast agents are evaluated on the basis of their relaxivity or how much relaxation rates of water protons are increased in the presence of the MRI contrast agent (e.g., magnetite NPs) at a given concentration.



Fig. 4 & 5 zoom in and zoom out SEM images of  ${\rm Fe_3O_4NPs}$  coated with PEG and Drug

A  $T_2$  image with no contrast agent was taken as reference. Magnetite NPs were dispersed in water and diluted to various concentrations (0.0375, 0.075, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.5 mM) and pH of the solutions were adjusted to 7. A 5 ml glass sample holder was placed in the iso-center of the magnet using head coil. Spin-echo pulse sequences were utilized to obtain  $T_2$  maps of each sample and the  $r_2$  relaxation rates were obtained from the reciprocal of obtained  $T_2$  results. MR imaging capabilities of the magnetite NPs were examined at 3 T with the parameters point resolution of  $320 \times 256$  matrix and 4 mm of slice thickness. TE was varied between 15 to 90 ms with TR value of 3420 ms. Fig. 6 show the  $T_2$ -weighted MR images. The degree of the  $T_2$  contrast effect is typically represented by the spin-spin relaxivity  $r_2$  ( $r_2=1/T_2s^{-1}$ ), where higher values of  $r_2$ result in a greater contrast effect.



Fig. 6 MRI Images of  $Fe_3O_4$ ,  $Fe_3O_4$ -PEG,  $Fe_3O_4$ -PEG-Drug For Fe conc. of 0.5, 0.2, 0.1, 0.075, 0.0375 mM in Water

The plot of relaxation rates  $(1/T_2, s^{-1})$  of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG-Drug versus iron concentration in water in the magnetic field of 3 T at room temperature is shown in Fig.7. T<sub>2</sub> values were calculated using Free Induction Decay (FID) of the sample using relation

# $\mathbf{M}\ddagger = \mathbf{M}_0 \ \mathbf{e}^{\ddagger/\mathrm{T}}_2$

Where M $\tau$ is the intensity of the FID signal at time  $\tau$  and M<sub>0</sub>is the intensity of the signal at time  $\tau = 0$ .

From the graph of the Fe concentration dependent relaxation times, the relaxivity  $r_2$  was determined.  $T_2$  is reduced by the presence of super paramagnetic nanoparticles which means that  $T_2$  is influenced much more by the coating of magnetic NPs. From Fig. 7 it is seen that as the particle sizes of the bare Fe NPs, polymer coated Fe NPs and polymer -drug coated Fe NPs are increased, the relativities are also increasing accordingly which is in agreement with the results reported earlier (J.H.Lee *et al.*, 2007).



**Fig. 7** Plot of  $1/T_2$  versus concentration of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG-Drug  $\Delta$ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEG-Drug  $\Box$ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-PEGFe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>  $\diamondsuit$ 

# CONCLUSION

We synthesized iron oxide (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) nanoparticles by using Microwave Synthesizer, and coated them with biocompatible Polymer PEG (Polyethylene glycol) and a photosensitizer drug [2-(1-Hexyloxyethyl)-2-devinyl pyropheophorbide-HPPH a]. The sizes of microwave synthesized are Fe NPs, polymer coated Fe NPs and polymer-drug coated Fe NPs were 26.47, 28.86 and 30 nm respectively. The coated nanoparticles were found to be spherical in SEM images with a core shell structure, and showed a uniform size distribution.T2 relaxation is mainly influenced by outer sphere processes. Relaxivity decreased with increase in overall particle size. From MRI it is seen that theT<sub>2</sub> relaxivity increases linearly with increase in concentration of Fe NPs in water. The T<sub>2</sub> relaxivity of polymer coated Fe NPs and polymer -drug coated Fe NPs also increases than bare Fe NPs due to the increase in hydrodynamic sizes.It is also concluded that increase in coating thicknesses increases the overall relaxivity.

#### Acknowledgments

Authors wish to gratefully thank Ms. Sarika Rasal of Mumbai University and Dr. Rupesh Devan of Punjab University for valuable assistance in characterizing the nanoparticles. We wish to thank Dr. Ravindra Pandey of Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, USA for PDT drug and helpful suggestions. Authors also wish to thank Mr. Tejas Padte, Ms. Dipika Raorane for their help and Mr. Prasad Mhatre for determining MR images.

## References

- R.Taylor;S.Coulombe;T.Otanicar;P.Phelan; A.Gunawan; W.Lv; G.Rosengarten; R.Prasher; H. Tyagi, J. Appl. Phys.2013, 113 (1), 011301.
- 2. J. L.Vivero-Escoto; I. I.Slowing; B. G.Trewyn; V. S.-Y.Lin, *Small*2010, 6 (18), 1952-1967.
- R.Gref; Y.Minamitake; M. T.Peracchia; V.Trubetskoy; V.Torchilin; R.Langer, *Science*1994, 263 (5153), 1600-1603.
- B.Jeong; Y. H.Bae; D. SLee.; S. W. Kim, *Nature*1997, 388 (6645), 860-862.
- 5. D. A. Hammer, elastic 1999, 500, 10.
- 6. V. P. Torchilin Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.2005, 4 (2), 145-160.
- 7. C.Gao; D. Yan, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2004, 29 (3), 183-275.
- C. C.Lee; J. A.MacKay; J. M. J.Fréchet; F. C. Szoka, *Nat. Biotechnol*. 2005, 23 (12), 1517-1526.
- 9. N. A.Peppas; P.Bures; W.Leobandung; H. Ichikawa, *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm*.2000, 50 (1), 27-46.
- N. A.Peppas; J. Z.Hilt; A.Khademhosseini; R.Langer, Adv. Mater.2006, 18 (11), 1345-1360.

- 11. D. M. Jeftinija; K.Jeftinija; S.Xu; S.Jeftinija; V. S.-Y. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125 (15), 4451-4459.
- 12. F. H.Chen; Q.Gao; J. Z. Ni, *Nanotechnology*2008, *19* (16), 165103.
- 13. R.Hergt; W.Andra; C. G.d' Ambly; I.Hilger; W. A.Kaiser; U.Richte; H.-G.Schmidt, *IEEE Trans. Magn.*1998, *34* (5), 3745-3754.
- 14. D. L.Huber, Small 2005, 1 (5), 482-501.
- 15. H. B.Na; I. C.Song; T. Hyeon, Adv. Mater.2009, 21 (21), 2133-2148.
- 16. S.Kalia; S.Kango; A.Kumar; Y.Haldorai; B.Kumari; R. Kumar, *Colloid Polym. Sci*.2014, 292 (9), 2025-2052.
- R. S.Chaughule; S.Purushotham; R. VRamanujan, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. Phys. Sci.2012, 82 (3), 257-268.
- 18. L.Li; W.Jiang; K.Luo; H.Song; F.Lan; Y.Wu; Z. Gu, *Theranostics* 2013, *3* (8), 595-615.
- 19. S.Mornet; S.Vasseur; F.Grasset; E. Duguet, J. Mater. Chem.2004, 14 (14), 2161.
- 20. G.Strijkers; M. W.Mulder; F. G.van Tilborg; K.Nicolay, *Anticancer Agents Med. Chem*.2007, 7 (3), 291-305.
- A. G. Roca; DNiznansky.; J.Poltierova-Vejpravova; B.Bittova; z M. A.González-Fernánde; C. J.Serna; M. P. Morales, J. Appl. Phys.2009, 105 (11), 114309.
- J.-H.Lee; Y.-M.Huh; Y.Jun; J.Seo; J.Jang; H.-TSong;
  S.Kim; E.-J.Cho; H.-G.Yoon; J.-S.Suh; J. Cheon, *Nat. Med.* 2007, *13* (1), 95-99.

## How to cite this article:

Prashant Chavan *et al.*2017, Microwave Assisted Synthesis of magnetic Nanoparticleswith Higher Relaxivities As Contrast Agents For MRI. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 8(4), pp. 16687-16691. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2017.0804.0198

\*\*\*\*\*\*