



ISSN: 0976-3031

Available Online at <http://www.recentscientific.com>

CODEN: IJRSFP (USA)

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research  
Vol. 8, Issue, 6, pp. 17574-17576, June, 2017

**International Journal of  
Recent Scientific  
Research**

DOI: 10.24327/IJRSR

## Research Article

### GENERALIZATION OF PAIRWISE QUASI-H-CLOSED SPACES

**\*Manoj Garg**

Department and Research Centre of Mathematics, Nehru Degree College, Chhibramau,  
Kannauj, U.P., India

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2017.0806.0382>

#### ARTICLE INFO

##### Article History:

Received 15<sup>th</sup> March, 2017  
Received in revised form 25<sup>th</sup>  
April, 2017  
Accepted 23<sup>rd</sup> May, 2017  
Published online 28<sup>th</sup> June, 2017

##### Key Words:

Topological ideals, ( $\mathcal{I}$ ) QHC-space.

#### ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove some important theorems on pair wise quasi-H-closed modulo an ideal spaces and study some of its properties.

**Copyright © Manoj Garg, 2017**, this is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### INTRODUCTION

In 1963, the concept of bitopological space was introduced by Kelly [6] when he was studying properties of asymmetric distance function on a non empty set  $X$ . Since bitopological space is a generalization of topological space, it is therefore worth-while to investigate that how the existing notions of topological spaces can be extended to bitopological setting. H-closed and Quasi H-closed (QHC) spaces are considered to be interesting and important topics of study for as long as the last seventy year or so. The intensive study of such spaces by eminent topologists during this long period has motivated many others to generalize the existing results to bitopological spaces. The pairwise QHC-spaces were first introduced by Mukherjee [7]. Subsequently such spaces have further been studied in detail by Kariofillis [2] and Sen *et al.* [10]. In 2002, the concept of pairwise compactness modulo an ideal in bitopological space was introduced by Lal *et al.* [8] inspired by the concept of compactness modulo an ideal introduced by Newcomb [1] in general topology.

In this paper, we proved some important theorems on pair wise quasi-H-closed modulo an ideal spaces and study some of its properties. In the process, we get some interesting characterizations of such spaces.

#### Preliminaries

**Definition:** A point  $x$  in space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $ij$ - $\theta$ -contact [2] point of a subset  $A$  of  $X$  if for any  $\tau_i$ -open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$ ,  $\tau_j$ - $\text{cl}(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . The set of all  $ij$ - $\theta$ -contact points of  $A$  is said to be  $ij$ - $\theta$ -closure of  $A$  and denoted by  $ij$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(A)$ .

**Definition:** A point  $x$  in a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $ij$ - $\theta$ -adherent point [2] of filter base  $B$  on  $X$  [3] if it is  $ij$ - $\theta$ -contact point of every member of  $B$  or point  $x$  in a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $ij$ - $\theta$ -adherent point of filter base  $B$  on  $X$  if  $x \in \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{B}} ij$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(B)$ . The set of all  $ij$ - $\theta$ -adherent points of  $B$  is called  $ij$ - $\theta$ -adherence of  $B$  and denoted by  $ij$ - $\theta$ - $\text{adh}B$ .

**Definition:** A subset  $A$  in a space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $ij$ -regularly open [4] if  $A = \tau_i$ - $\text{int}(\tau_j$ - $\text{cl}(A))$ . The complements of  $ij$ -regularly open sets are called  $ij$ -regularly closed sets.

**Definition:** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be pairwise quasi-H-closed [7] if for every  $\tau_i$ -open cover  $U$  of  $X$ ,

\*Corresponding author: **Manoj Garg**

Department and Research Centre of Mathematics, Nehru Degree College, Chhibramau, Kannauj, U.P., India

there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$  of  $U$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \tau_j\text{-cl}(U_k)$ .

**Definition:** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{F})$  is said to be pairwise compact modulo an ideal or just  $(I)$ FHP-compact [8] if every pairwise open cover  $U$  of  $X$  has a finite subfamily

$$\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\} \text{ of } U \text{ such that } X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n U_k \in I.$$

**Lemma:** [2]. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space, then we have:

1. If  $A \in \tau_j$ , then  $\tau_i\text{-cl}(A) = ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(A)$ .
2. If  $\{A_k : k \in K\}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , Then  $ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(\bigcap \{A_k : k \in K\}) \subset \bigcap \{ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(A_k) : k \in K\}$ .

**Pair wise quasi-H-closed modulo an ideal**

**Theorem:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be bitopological space and let  $I$  be an ideal on  $X$ . Then following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $(I)$ QHC,
2. any filter base in  $\wp(X) - I$  has non-empty  $ij\text{-}\theta$ -adherence,
3. for each family  $U$  of subsets of  $X$  such that  $U \subset \wp(X) - I$ , having  $(I)$ FIP, one has  $\bigcap \{ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(G) : G \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \phi$ .

**Proof** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $B$  be any filter base in  $\wp(X) - I$  without  $ij\text{-}\theta$ -adherent point. Then for each  $x \in X$  there exists  $\tau_i$ -neighbourhood  $V_x$  of  $X$  and  $F_x \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $\tau_j\text{-cl}(V_x) \cap F_x = \phi$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{X - \tau_j\text{-cl}(V_x) : x \in X\}$ , then  $G$  is  $\tau_i$ -open filter base in  $\wp(X) - I$  without  $\tau_i$ -adherent point. So  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{F})$  is not pair wise  $(I)$ QHC. Hence, we have contradiction.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $B$  be any  $\tau_i$ -open filter base in  $\wp(X) - I$ . By Lemma 2.6, we have  $\{ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(B) = \tau_i\text{-cl}(B)$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$x \in \bigcap \{ij\text{-}\theta(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

We have,  $x \in \bigcap \{\tau_j\text{-cl}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$

$$\Rightarrow V_x \cap F \neq \phi \text{ for each } B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and for each}$$

$$V_x \in N_i(x),$$

$\Rightarrow x$  is  $\tau_i$ -adherent point of  $B$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{F})$  is pair wise  $(I)$  QHC.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{G_k : k \in K\}$  be collection of subsets of  $X$  such that  $U \subset \wp(X) - I$  having  $(I)$ FIP. Therefore, we have

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^n \{G_k\} \notin \mathcal{F}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda : \Lambda \subset K, \Lambda \text{ is finite}\}$  does not contain

empty set and also for the intersection of any two members of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , there exists a member of  $\mathfrak{S}$  that is contained in the intersection of that two members. Therefore

$$\mathfrak{S} = \{\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda : \Lambda \subset K, \Lambda \text{ is finite}\} \text{ is a filter base on } X.$$

Clearly  $\mathfrak{S} \subset \wp(X) - \mathcal{F}$ . Hence by hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{S}$  has non-empty  $ij\text{-}\theta$ -adherence i.e.

$$x \in \bigcap \left\{ ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl} \left( \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} G_\lambda : \Lambda \subset K, \Lambda \text{ is finite} \right) \right\}.$$

Because we know that if  $\{G_k : k \in K\}$  is a collection of subsets of  $X$ , then

$$ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(\bigcap \{G_k : k \in K\}) \subset \bigcap \{ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(G_k) : k \in K\},$$

It follows that,

$$x \in \bigcap \left\{ \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(G_\lambda) : \Lambda \subset K, \Lambda \text{ is finite}) \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap \{ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(G_k) : k \in K\} \neq \phi.$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $U$  be any filter base exists in  $\wp(X) - I$ . Clearly it has  $(I)$ FIP, if not, there exists a finite number of members in  $U$

such that  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n U_k \in \mathcal{F}$ . Now by the definition of filter base,

there exists  $H \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $H \subset \bigcap_{k=1}^n U_k$  which implies

that  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  but this contradicts the fact that  $U$  be any filter base in  $\wp(X) - I$ . By hypothesis,  $\bigcap \{ij\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \phi$ ,

$\Rightarrow$  Filter base  $U$  in  $\wp(X) - I$  has non-empty  $ij$ -adherence.

**Theorem:** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space and let  $I$  be an ideal on  $X$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pair wise  $(I)$ QHC,
2. each  $ij$ -regularly open cover  $U$  of  $X$ , there exists a finite sub collection  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$  of  $U$  such

$$\text{that } X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\tau_j\text{-cl}(U_k)\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

3. for each family  $U$  of  $ij$ -regularly closed subsets having empty intersection, there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$  of  $U$  such that

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^n \{\tau_j\text{-int}(U_k)\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

4. For each family  $U$  of  $ij$ -regularly-closed subsets such that  $\{\tau_j\text{-int}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  has  $(I)$  FIP, one has  $\bigcap \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \phi$ .

**Proof** (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any  $\tau_i$ -open cover of  $X$ . Then  $\{\tau_j\text{-int}(\tau_j\text{-cl}(U)) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is  $ij$ -regularly open cover of  $X$ . By hypothesis, there exists a finite subfamily  $\{\tau_j\text{-int}(\tau_j\text{-cl}(U_k)) : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\tau_j\text{-cl}(\tau_i\text{-int}(\tau_j\text{-cl}(U_k)))\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

Since  $U_k$  is  $\tau_i$ -open and for each  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U$  of  $U$ ,  $\tau_j\text{-cl}(\tau_i\text{-int}(\tau_j\text{-cl}(U))) = \tau_j\text{-cl}(U)$ .

Then we have  $X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\tau_j\text{-cl}(U_k)\} \in \mathcal{F}$ , which shows that  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise  $(I)$ QHC.

1.  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This is obvious, because every  $ij$ -regularly open set is  $\tau_i$ -open,
2.  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{F})$  be pairwise  $(I)$ QHC. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any family of  $ij$ -regularly-closed subsets having empty intersection. Then  $\{X - U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is  $ij$ -regularly open cover of  $X$  and hence admits a subfamily  $\{X - U_k : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\tau_j\text{-cl}(X - U_k)\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

$$\Rightarrow X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{X - \tau_j\text{-int}(U_k)\} \in \mathcal{F}, \text{ Hence we have}$$

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^n \{\tau_j\text{-int}(U_k)\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

3.  $\Rightarrow$  (4). This is easy to be established.
4.  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Suppose bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \mathcal{F})$  is not pairwise quasi-H-closed modulo an ideal. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any pairwise  $ij$ -regularly open cover of  $X$ , then there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that

$$X - \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{\tau_j\text{-cl}(U_k)\} \in \mathcal{F},$$

Hence,  $\{X - U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is family of  $ij$ -regularly closed sets such that  $\{\tau_j\text{-int}(X - U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$  has  $(I)$  FIP. By hypothesis,  $\bigcap \{X - U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset$ . But

$X - \bigcup \{U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset$ , that is,  $\mathcal{U}$  is not  $ij$ -regularly open cover of  $X$ , a contradiction.

## References

1. R.L. Newcomb, Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal, Ph.D. Dissertation, Univ. of Cal. at Santa Barbara, 1967.
2. C.G. Kariofillis, *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles*, 100 (1986), 129-37.
3. B.P. Dvalishvili. Bitopological spaces: theory, relations with generalised algebraic structures and applications, *Math. Studies*, 199 (2005), North-Holland, Elsevier.
4. M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, Some more separation axioms in bitopological spaces, *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles*, 84 (1970), 207-230.
5. T.R. Hamlett and D. Jankovic, Compactness with respect to an ideal, *Boll. U.M.I.*, 7 (4-B) (1990), 849-861.
6. J. C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 13 (1963), 71-89.
7. M.N. Mukherjee, *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles*, 96 (1982), 98-106.
8. S. Lal and M.K. Gupta, Pairwise compactness modulo an ideal, *Indian J. Math.*, 42 (2) (2000), 241-251.
9. W.J. Pervin, Connectedness in bitopological spaces, *Indag. Math.*, 29 (1967), 369-372.
10. S.K. Sen, J.N. Nandi, and M.N. Mukherjee, On bitopological QHC-spaces, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 27(3) (1996), 245-255.

### How to cite this article:

Manoj Garg.2017, Generalization of Pairwise Quasi-H-Closed Spaces. *Int J Recent Sci Res.* 8(6), pp. 17574-17576.  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.2017.0806.0382>

\*\*\*\*\*