

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 3, Issue, 9, pp.778 -781, September, 2012 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

FACTORS MOTIVATING UPON INFORMATION SEEKING BEHAVIOR

Biju K. Abraham and Ponnudurai . R*

Department of Library and Information Science, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar608 002

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received 10th August, 2012 Received in revised form 20th August, 2012 Accepted 29th August, 2012 Published online 12th September, 2012

Key words:

The purpose of this paper is to present some of the results of the project Evaluating the motivating Factors and impact on Information Seeking Behaviour of the Faculty Members of Engineering Colleges affiliated to Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala. The aim of the study is to investigate Faculty Member's Information Seeking behaviour, and to ascertain whether their use and behaviours varied by designation and Institution in which they worked.

INTRODUCTION

Identifies some of the issues that are the motivation of faculty members in libraries. Perhaps that such motivation is central, to a quality culture. As libraries become more sophisticated in their approach to quality, self-motivation will become a central issue. Briefly reviews the rational-economic model, the social model, the self-actualizing model and the complex model as a basis for reviewing motivation of faculty members. Environmental factors that had an impact on motivation include: approaches to financial rewards, culture and the diversity of staff experience and roles. Possible strategies for motivation include developmental strategies such as appraisal, managing dissatisfies and financial and social reward. Information seeking behaviors, especially as they apply to educational activities, are the ways in which faculty members locate information, which include how they define an information need, their patterns of seeking and how they evaluate source $^{1,2',3}$...Rowely⁴ identified some of the issues that affect the motivation of staff members in libraries. Environmental factors that have an impact on motivation. Mark Shield⁵ examined the relationship between motivation and method of job and work design. The main factors influencing motivation identified in his study included environmental factors such as technological factors and economic recession, the nature of higher education systems and user community. T.Y Malliah⁶ conducted a study of the job environment of library professionals in University Library in Karnataka in which he found a positive correlation between job motivation and varieties of factors such as job rotation, promotion poleis and superior and subordinate relations in the organization. A.H Manslow⁷ said Motivation is the term used to describe those processes, both instinctive and rational, by which people seek to satisfy their basic drives, perceived needs and personal goals, which trigger human behavior.

© Copy Right, IJRSR, 2012, Academic Journals. All rights reserved.

METHODOLOGY

The present study is questionnaire based survey in which a structured questionnaire was used as the instrument for collection of data from the respondent. This questionnaire was prepared and distributed among the 26 institutions of engineering college faculty members. After constant personal persuasion by the investigators 1164 field questionnaires collected and analyzed by taking different variables into account. The following engineering Colleges Affiliated to Mahatma Gandhi University, Kottayam, Kerala. Have been taken under the purview of the study:

- 1. Rajiv Gandhi Institute Of Technology, Kottayam
- 2. Amaljyothi Engineering College, Kottayam
- 3. Mangalam College of Engineering, Kottayam
- 4. Saintgits College of Engineering, Kottayam
- 5. St. Joseph's College of Engg. and Technology,Kottayam
- 6. Mar Athanasius College of Egineering, Ernakulam
- 7. Adi Shankara Institute of Engineering and Technology, Kalady
- 8. Federal Institute of Science and Technology (FISAT), Ernakulam
- 9. Ilahia College of Engg. and Technology, Ernakulam
- 10. K.M.E.A Engineering College, Ernakulam
- 11. Matha College of Technology, North Paravur
- 12. Rajagiri School of Engineering and Technology, Kochi
- 13. S.C.M.S. School of Engineering Technology, Ernakulam
- 14. S.N.Gurukulam College of Engineering, Ernakulam
- 15. S.N.M Institute of Management and Technology, North Paravur
- 16. Vishwajyoti College of Engineering and Technology, Ernakulam
- 17. Jaibharath College of Management & Engineering Technology, Kochi
- 18. 18Indira Gandhi Institute of Engg. & Tech. for Women, Kothamangalam

^{*} Corresponding author: +91

E-mail address: dr.ponnudurai@yahoo.in

Table 1 Weighted Arithmetic Mean and Rank of factors on motivation the Information
Seeking Behavior of respondents

S.	Motivational	0	1	2	3	4	WAM	Rank
No	factors							
1	To prepare for class	32	41	167	314	610	52.41	2
	teaching	2.75%	3.52%	14.35%	26.98%	52.41%		
2	To guide students projects /	30	24	101	385	624	53.61	1
	research scholars	2.58%	2.06%	8.68%	33.08%	53.61%		
3	General awareness for new	28	31	175	341	589	50.6	3
	knowledge	2.41%	2.66%	15.03%	29.30%	50.60%		
4	For participation in	42	52	212	497	361	31.01	7
	seminars/conferences etc.,	3.61%	4.47%	18.21%	42.70%	31.01%		
5	To increase promotional	143	186	298	321	216	18.56	13
	opportunities	12.29%	15.98%	25.60%	27.58%	18.56%		
6	To conduct seminars /	87	91	297	372	317	27.23	10
	summer / winter school	7.47%	7.82%	25.52%	31.96%	27.23%		
	programmes/workshops etc.,							
7	To write and publish papers	94	61	201	362	446	38.32	4
		8.08%	5.24%	17.27%	31.10%	38.32%		
8	To prepare notes for special	81	83	276	378	346	29.73	8
	lectures / public speech etc.	6.96%	7.13%	23.71%	32.47%	29.73%		
9	To set questions	91	112	351	352	258	22.16	12
	*	7.82%	9.62%	30.15%	30.24%	22.16%		
10	To set and use equipments	121	142	346	349	206	17.7	15
	* *	10.40%	12.20%	29.73%	29.98%	17.70%		
11	To check authenticity of	139	141	304	397	183	15.72	16
	available results /	11.94%	12.11%	26.12%	34.11%	15.72%		
	information							
12	To check and evaluate	116	147	282	323	296	25.43	11
	results	9.97%	12.63%	24.23%	27.75%	25.43%		
13	To broaden the area of	71	79	294	381	339	29.12	9
	attention and work done in	6.10%	6.79%	25.26%	32.73%	29.12%		
	related areas							
14	To crystallize broad and	149	198	249	393	175	15.03	17
	vague assertions	12.80%	17.01%	21.39%	33.76%	15.03%	10100	17
15	To evolve innovative ideas /	59	98	237	372	398	34.19	5
	techniques	5.07%	Q 1004	20.26%	21.06%	24 1004		
16	To know the information	161	0.42 <i>%</i> 101	20.30%	271	34.19% 157	12 /0	19
10	about cost decisions on	101	191	204	571	157	15.49	10
	S & T policy of funding	13.83%	16.41%	24.40%	31.87%	13.49%		
17	S&I policy of funding	51	(7	250	200	200	22.42	~
17	For pleasure of doing good	54	07	250	398	389	33.42	0
	self – satisfaction	4.64%	5.76%	21.99%	34.19%	33.42%		
18	To have visibility among	164	167	249	376	208	17.87	14
	peers and colleagues	14.09%	14.35%	21.39%	32.30%	17.87%		

 $0-Non-motivator \quad 1-Weakest \ motivator \quad 2-Average \ motivator \ 3-Fairly \ motivator \ 4-Strongest \ motivator$

Table 2 Motivating Factors vs designation

ANOVA							
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit	
Rows	520531	17	30619.470	5.93202	0.0553	5.4863	
Columns	80501	4	20125.25	3.8977	0.0658	6.4863	
Error	351105	68	5163.3088				
Total	952137	89					

Table 3 Motivating Factors vs institution

			ANOVA			
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P- value	F crit
Rows	1987886	17	116934.47	11.4454	0.0875	8.8896
Columns	1520350	4	380087.5	37.2024	06531	12.6483
Error	694737	68	10216.720			
Total	422973	89				

S. No	Motivational Factors	Calculated χ ² value	Rank
1	To prepare for class teaching	352.59	2
2	To guide students projects / research scholars	475.18	1
3	General awareness for new knowledge	328.15	3
4	For participation in seminars / conferences etc.,	192.50	7
5	To increase promotion opportunities	52.55	13
6	To conduct seminars / summer / winter school programmes / workshops etc.,	93.83	10
7	To write and publish papers	238.32	4
8	To prepare notes for special lectures / public speech etc.,	161.71	8
9	To set questions	60.56	12
10	To set and use equipments	32.30	15
11	To check authenticity of available results / information	24.87	16
12	To check and evaluating results	61.28	11
13	To broaden the area of attention and work done in related areas	105.86	9
14	To crystallize broad and vague assertions	16.68	17
15	To evolve innovative ideas / techniques	203.47	5
16	To know the information about Govt. decisions on Science and Technology	11.08	18
	policy of funding		
17	For pleasure of doing good work, self-fulfillment and self-satisfaction	199.35	6
18	To have visibility among peers and colleagues	42.76	14

Table 4 Chi-Square test of motivation factors vs institution

Table 5 Chi-Square test of motivating factors vs designation

		Calculated	
S. No	Motivational Factors	χ^2 value	Rank
1	To prepare for class teaching	327.59	2
2	To guide students projects / research scholars	331.82	1
3	General awareness for new knowledge	252.64	3
4	For participation in seminars / conferences etc.,	187.46	7
5	To increase promotion opportunities	35.22	13
6	To conduct seminars / summer / winter school programmes / workshops etc.,	111.72	10
7	To write and publish papers	219.82	4
8	To prepare notes for special lectures / public speech etc.,	184.95	8
9	To set questions	37.58	12
10	To set and use equipments	18.00	15
11	To check authenticity of available results / information	12.564	16
12	To check and evaluating results	94.03	11
13	To broaden the area of attention and work done in related areas	133.12	9
14	To crystallize broad and vague assertions	12.06	17
15	To evolve innovative ideas / techniques	193.61	5
16	To know the information about Govt. decisions on Science and Technology	8.01	18
	policy of funding		
17	For pleasure of doing good work, self-fulfillment and self-satisfaction	187.65	6
18	To have visibility among peers and colleagues	34.49	14

- 19. Mar Baselious Institute of Technology & Science, Kothamangalam
- 20. Caarmel Engineering College, Ranni
- 21. Mount Zion College of Engineering, Kadammanitta Pathanamthitta
- 22. Musaliar College of Engineering and Technology, Pathanamthitta
- 23. Sree Bhudha College of Engineering for women, Pathanamthitta
- 24. Govt. Engineering College, Idukki
- 25. University College of Engineering Thodupuzha
- 26. Mar Baselius Christian College of Engg. and Tech., Peerumedu

Motivating factors of Information Seeking Behavior

The Motivation is one of the most important factors that determine the efficiency of an organization. In order to motivates the faculties, it must determine the motives or needs of the faculties and provide them a conducive environment in which they seek their information for the satisfaction of their needs.

Factors motivating faculty to go in search of information have been rated on a five point scale and studied making use of statistical tools and listed and presented in the table follows. The data in table 1 presents WAM value along with the rating of the factors motivating them. The WAM values of the eighteen variables along with their rank are also presented in this table.

Weighted Arithmetic Mean of motivating factors

In order to ascertain the rating of the factors form the above table the WAM value along with the rating of the factors motivating them are presented. The WAM values of the eighteen variables along with their rank are also presented in this table.

Factors motivating the information seeking behavior of the faculty are listed according to their importance based on the nature of their weighted arithmetic mean.

- 1. To guide students projects / research scholars (42.36)
- 2. To prepare for class teaching (35.57)
- 3. General awareness for new knowledge (33.86)
- 4. To write and publish papers(38.32)
- 5. To evolve innovative ideas / techniques(34.19)
- 6. For pleasure of doing good work, self -fulfillment and self -satisfaction(33.42)
- 7. For participation in seminars/conferences etc(31.01)
- 8. To prepare notes for special lectures / public speech etc(29.03)
- 9. To broaden the area of attention and work done in related areas(29.12)
- 10. To conduct seminars / summer / winter school programmes/workshops etc(27.23)
- 11. To check and evaluate results(25.43)
- 12. To set questions(22.16)
- 13. To increase promotional opportunities(18.56)
- 14. To have visibility among peers and colleagues(14.87)
- 15. To set and use equipments(17.7)
- 16. 16.To check authenticity of available results / information(15.72)
- 17. 17. To crystallize broad and vague assertions(15.03)
- 18. To know the information about govt. decisions on S&T policy of funding(13.43)

ANOVA(Two way)significance of the motivating factors as against the faculty

To test the significance of the variables of the motivating factors, ANOVA (Two-way) was made and the results are presented in Tables. 2 & 3

It can be such from a reading of data presented in Table 2 that, the P- value is 0.05 less than the table value of the variables, which infers that the difference in the sample mean is not significant. The level of significance was tested at 95% confidence interval. It can be occluded that there is no significant difference between the sample mean.

It can be seen from a reading of data presentation Table 3 that, the p- value is less than the table value of the variables, which infers that the difference in the sample mean is not significant. The level of significance was tested at 95% confidence interval. It can be occluded that there is no significant difference between the sample mean.

Chi -Square Test to determine the ranking of motivating factors.

These variables were further subjected to the Chi square test to buttress the above argument, that there is not much difference between the earlier findings often and Chi square test with regard to the motivational factors of the samples institution were and designation wise. The results are presented in Tables 5.24 (a) and Tables 5.24 (b).

It can be seen that the computed Chi -Square value is greater than the tabulated value of all the eighteen variables at 95% confidence interval. Hence, the difference in the rank of the motivating factors is significant institution wise.

It can be seen that the computed Chi square value is greater than the tabulated value of all the eighteen variables at 95% confidence interval. Hence, the difference in the ranking of the motivating factors among is significant designation wise.

CONCLUSION

The strength of an organization is essentially dependent upon its resources. In an education system is no exception, lies in the accumulated knowledge of it faculty. A system based on perfect fulfillment of the needs of its faculty helps and encourage to perform with distinction the increasingly complex jobs entrusted to them. Guiding student projects, preparation for class teaching, general awareness for new knowledge and participation in seminars/conferences etc., are major motivating factors for seeking information, It has been found that the institution and designation of the sample have direct bearing on the motivation for Information seeking has been proved by ANOVA and Chi Square Test

References

- 1. Fidel, R Davies, R.K., & Douglass, M.H(2007). A visit to the information mall: Web Searching behavour of high school student. Journal of the American society for Information Science, 50, 24-37
- Kuhlthau, C.C (1994) Seeking meaning: A process approach to library and information services, Norwood, NJ:Abex publishing.
- 3. Wilson, T.D. (2000) Human information behavior, In *forming science 3(2), p49-56*
- 4. Jennifer Rowley, (1996) "Motivation of staff in libraries", Library Management, Vol. 17 Iss: 5, pp.31 – 35
- 5. Shields, Marks. (1998). Work motivations in Academic Libraries. Library Management 9(2) 2-33
- Mallaiah T.Y.(2008) Job and job environment of libraries professionals in university libraries in Karnataka: A survey.14(1) p43-50
- Maslow AH. (1970) Motivation and Peronality, 2nd ed. London: Harper and Row.
