

Available Online at http://www.recentscientific.com

International Journal of Recent Scientific Research Vol. 4, Issue, 5, pp. 506- 514, May, 2013 International Journal of Recent Scientific Research

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# MICROBIAL STATUS OF THE COASTAL HABITATS IN THE ANDAMANS, INDIA

# Rajagopal Gobalakrishnan, Kannan Kamala, Subramaniam Poongodi, Kannan Sivakumar\*, Lakshmanan Kannan

Centre of Advance Study in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Sciences Annamalai University, Parangipettai - 608 502, Tamilnadu, India

## **ARTICLE INFO**

#### Article History:

Received 12<sup>th</sup>, March, 2013 Received in revised form 15<sup>th</sup>, April, 2013 Accepted 25<sup>th</sup>, May, 2013 Published online 28<sup>th</sup> May, 2013

#### Key words:

Havelock Island; microbial contamination; total heterotrophic bacteria; pathogens; population density

## **INTRODUCTION**

Microorganisms are cosmopolitan, diverse and distributed in open seawaters, sediments, estuaries, and hydrothermal vents. Marine ecosystems are governed by decomposition of organic matter to inorganic form and cycling of nutrients, mediated by microorganisms, which are responsible to sustain all the living things in the oceans (Cevera *et al.*, 2005). Transformation of organic detritus through the mediation of microorganisms is now recognized as an important process in the sea (Surajit Das *et al.*, 2007). Further, marine microbes are accountable for most of the benthic biomass and it is well known that they play a significant ecological and biogeochemical role in the marine environment by regulating the transformation of major bioactive elements (i.e. carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, oxygen and sulfur) and by affecting the degradability of organic matter (Polymenakou *et al.*, 2009).

In general, sea bathing and consumption of seafoods from coastal waters contaminated by the discharge of urban wastes could cause human health problems (Shuval, 1999). Contaminants are received in the sea either by direct discharge of waste water flowing from communities or from the runoff of rivers and streams, carrying the wastes disposed off by the up-steam communities (Shuval, 2005). Also many pathogens are transferred to the sea from vegetable wastes and fecal matters (Sharma and Chaturvedi, 2007; Williams *et al.*, 2007). Pathogens originating from such contaminations frequently cause a lot of diseases and sometimes menace even the human life (Elmanama *et al.*, 2005). The pathogens can also cause

\* Corresponding author: + 04144-252099 Email: oceanactino@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

Since ancient period, humans depend on coastal areas for their livelihood. Therefore, coastal monitoring process is necessary to detect contaminations. In the present investigation, distribution of bacteria including pathogens in water and sediments of different coastal habitats (mangrove, coral, seagrass and beach) of the Havelock Island, the Andamans, India, was studied. From nine stations, the study has found 47 total heterotrophic bacterial strains, belonging to 13 genera (*Escherichia, Pseudomonas, Vibrio, Aeromonas, Enterococcus, corynebacterium, Salmonella, Klebsiella, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Flavobacterium, Micrococcus* and *Shigella*). The genus *Escherichia*, was dominant with 21%, followed by *Pseudomonas* (13%) and *Vibrio* (13%). THB population density varied in water samples from 43 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml to 182 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml and in the sediment samples, it varied from 79 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mg to 259 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg. This study is significant as it would pave way for future workers to elucidate the importance of coastal sanitation, for keeping the environment clean.

© Copy Right, IJRSR, 2013, Academic Journals. All rights reserved.

major diseases in the marine organisms like corals (Banin et al., 2000; Kakim et al., 2012), fishes (Austin, 2005), etc.

Humans have resided in close association with the coastal regions of the world for the past many years. So, a continuous monitoring of the marine environment (water and sediments) is necessary to detect the presence of THB and pathogenic bacteria for the betterment of coastal people. This will also help create public awareness on the health management for the coastal dwellers. In this context, present investigation focuses attention on THB and pathogenic bacteria occurring in both water and sediments of the Havelock island, the Andamans, India.

### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Study area

The Andaman group of Islands in the Bay of Bengal, lie between the latitude  $11^{\circ}97'$  N and longitude  $93^{\circ}00'$  E. In these island groups, Havelock island clinch with mangrove, coral, seagrass and beach habitats was taken as our study area. The sample sites around this island were selected based on the different habitats: Station 1 (Mangrove I, Lat.  $12^{\circ}02' 27.9''$  N and Long.  $92^{\circ} 58' 41.5''$  E), Station 2 (Coral I, Lat.  $12^{\circ}02' 28.5$  N and Long.  $92^{\circ}58' 47.1''$  E) Station 3 (Beach I, Lat.  $12^{\circ}02' 32.5''$  N and Long.  $92^{\circ}58' 56.9''$  E), Station 4 (Mangrove II, Lat.  $12^{\circ}02' 00.0''$  N and Long  $92^{\circ}59' 52.7''$  E), Station 5 (EL Dorado - Coral II, Lat  $12^{\circ} 01' 31.6''$  N andLong $93^{\circ}$  00' 13.8'' E), Station 6 (Dive India - Beach II, Lat  $12^{\circ} 01' 38.5''$  N and Long  $93^{\circ} 00' 15.8''$  E), Station 7 (Kalapathar –

Mangrove III, Lat 11° 57' 37.9" N and Long 93° 00 46.6" E), Station 8 (Silver sand – Coral + Seagrass I, Lat 12° 00' 36.1"N and Long 93° 00' 31.0" E) and Station 9 (Radha Nagar, Beach III, 11° 59' 04.2" N and 92° 57' 04.0" E) (Fig. 1).



Fig.1 Map showing the study area and sampling stations in the Havelock Island

### Sampling

Field collections were carried out in January 2011(nonmonsoon) at the above nine stations. Surface water samples were collected in 100 ml sterile screw cap bottles for bacteriological assessment. Sediment samples were collected by employing an alcohol rinsed and air- dried small Peterson's grab. The central portion of the collected sediments was aseptically transferred into sterile polythene bags using sterile spatula. All samples were brought to the field laboratory (at Havelock Island) in portable icebox within 4 hours. Immediately after arrival, inoculations were made using suitable media with necessary dilutions and pure cultures were established.

#### **Bacterial enumeration**

Total heterotrophic bacterial (THB) population was enumerated by using spread plate method with Marine Agar medium. The plates after inoculation were incubated in an inverted position at a temperature of  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 24 to 48 hours. After incubation, colonies in the triplicate samples were counted and expressed as colony forming units in water (CFU/ml) and sediments (CFU/mg). Bacterial colonies were picked out from the petridishes and restreaked in appropriate nutrient agar plates and pure cultures were stored in agar slants for further identification. Specific media were used for Escherichia coli (MacConkey agar), Vibrio cholera and V. parahaemolyticus (TCBS agar), Species of Salmonella, Shigella and Klebsilla (XLD agar), Pseudomonous aeruginosa (Cetrimide agar), Streptococcus faecalis (M-enterococcus agar), Aeromonas hydrophila (AMB agar) and Staphylococcus aureus (Monnitol Salt agar). Different morphological and biochemical characteristics of the isolates were studied according to the Bergey's Manual of Determinative

Bacteriology. All the chemicals and media were purchased from Hi-media, Mumbai.

### RESULTS

### Generic composition of Total Heterotrophic Bacteria (THB)

A total of 13 genera [Escherichia (21%), Pseudomonas (13%), Vibrio (13%), Aeromonas (11%), Enterococcus (9%), corynebacterium (7%), Salmonella (6%), Klebsiella (6%), Streptococcus (4%) Staphylococcus (4%), Flavobacterium (2%), Micrococcus (2%) and Shigella (2%)] were identified (Fig. 2) from 47 total heterotrophic bacterial strains, isolated from the water and sediment samples of nine stations of the Havelock island. Among them, 8 genera viz. Escherichia, Pseudomonas, Salmonella, corynebacterium, Vibrio. Klebsiella, Enterococcus and Aeromonas, from 22 strains, were isolated from the water samples, and 13 genera viz. Escherichia, Pseudomonas, Flavobacterium, Salmonella, Vibrio, Shigella, Klebsiella, Micrococcus, Cornybacterium, Enterococcus, Aeromonas, Streptococcus and Staphylococcus, from 25 strains, were isolated from the sediment samples. In the water and sediment samples, gram negative bacteria were more (64.36%) as compared to the gram positive bacteria (35.64%).



Fig. 2 Percentage composition of THB genera isolated from the water and sediment samples of the Havelock island

#### Population density of THB and pathogenic bacteria

Population density of THB in water samples varied from 43  $X10^5$  CFU/ml (Station 9) to 182  $X10^5$  CFU/ml (Station 7). In the case of the sediment samples, It varied from 79  $X10^5$  CFU/mg (station 9) to 259  $X10^4$ CFU/mg (station 7) (Fig.3).



Fig.3 Population density of THB in the water and sediment samples of the Havelock island.

Population density of *E. coli* was lower in water (3  $\times 10^5$  CFU/ml) and sediments (8  $\times 10^4$ CFU/mg) at station 3. While, station 7 recorded higher density both in water (83  $\times 10^5$  CFU/ml) and sediments (195  $\times 10^4$ CFU/mg) (Fig.4).



Fig.4 Population density of *E.coli* in the water and sediment samples of the Havelock island

Population density of both *V. cholerae* and *V. parahaemolyticus* was estimated in both water and sediment samples at all the nine stations. In the water, *V. cholerae* showed the maximum (21 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 9 and the minimum (1 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml), at station 3. *V. parahaemolyticus* registered lower population density (2 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 3 and higher population density (28 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml), at station 4. In the sediments, *V. parahaemolyticus* recorded the maximum density (41 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg) at station 7 and the minimum (3 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg), at station 7. *V. cholerae* showed the maximum (55 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg), at station 7 and the minimum (55 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg), at station 7 and the minimum (5 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg), at station 3 (Fig. 5).



Fig.5 Population density of *Vibrio* spp. in the water and sediment samples of the Havelock island

In water, lower population density of *S. typhimurium* (2 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) was recorded at stations 3, 5 and 9 and higher density (31 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml), at station 4. *S. paratyphi* recorded the maximum density (19 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 4 and the minimum (1 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml), at stations 3 and 5. *S. dysenteriae* recorded the maximum (24 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 7 and the minimum (1 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml), at stations 3 and 5. In *K. pneumonia*, the density was minimum (1 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 4. In the case of sediments, lower population density of *S.* 

*typhimurium* (6 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg) was recorded at station 5 and higher density (53 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg), at station 7. *S. paratyphi* recorded the maximum (39 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg) at station 7 and the minimum (2 X10<sup>4</sup> CFU/mg), at station 5. *S. dysenteriae* showed the maximum (26 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg) at station 7 and the minimum (2 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg), at station 3. *K. pneumonia* showed the minimum (3X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg) at stations 3, 5 and 9 and the maximum (24 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg), at station 7 (Fig.6).



Fig.6 Population density of the species of *Salmonella*, *Shigella* and *Klebsiella* in water and sediment samples of the Havelock island.

Population density of *P. aeruginosa* in water was lower (1 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 6 and higher (47 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 7. While in the sediments, lower density (7 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg) was noticed at station 6 and higher density (63 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg), at station 7 (Fig.7).



**Fig.7.** Population density of *P. aeruginosa* in the water and sediment samples of the Havelock island.

In the water samples, population density of *S. faecalis* was lower  $(5X10^5 \text{ CFU/ml})$  at station 5 and higher  $(49X10^5 \text{ CFU/ml})$ , at station 1. In the sediments, lower population density  $(11 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU/mg})$  was noticed at station 5 and higher density  $(106 \times 10^4 \text{ CFU/mg})$ , at station 4 (Fig.8).



Fig.8 Population density of *S. faecalis* in the water and sediment samples of the Havelock island.

Population density of *A. hydrophila* in water was lower (2 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 9 and higher (23 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 7. In the sediments, lower density (5 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg) at station 9 and higher density (34 X10<sup>4</sup>CFU/mg) at station 7 were recorded (Fig.9).



Fig.9 Population density of *A. hydrophila* in the water and sediment samples of the Havelock island.

In water, population density of *S. aureus* was found to be lower (4 X10<sup>5</sup> CFU/ml) at station 3 and higher  $(33X10^5 \text{ CFU/ml})$  at station 7. In the sediments, lower density of  $9X10^4 \text{ CFU/mg}$  at station 3 and higher density of 49 X10<sup>4</sup> CFU/mg at station 7 were recorded (Fig.10).



**Fig.10** Population density of *S. aureus* in the water and sediment samples of the Havelock island

### DISCUSSION

Many researchers have reported on the marine bacterial diversity from various parts of the world, such as Coast of Spitsbergen, Arctic Ocean (Ravenschlag *et al.*, 1999), Balltic Sea, Mediterranean Sea, Southern CaMornia Bight, Skagerrak, Weddell Sea and Andaman Sea (Hagstrom *et al.*, 2000), Southern Baltic Sea coast (Mudryk, 2005), Bay of Bengal (Surajit Das *et al.*, 2007), East and South China Sea (Hailian Du *et al.*, 2006), Northern Baffin Bay (Fouilland *et al.*, 2007), Wadden Sea of the German North Sea coast (Stevens *et al.*, 2007), Northeastern Pacific Ocean (Hongxiang *et al.*, 2008) and Sindh and Baluchistan coast of Pakistan (Uzair *et al.*, 2009), Eastern Antarctica (Yong Yu *et al.*, 2011), Kottaipattinam, Southeast coast of India (Ramkumar *et al.*, 2011) and Muthukuda Mangroves, Southeast Coast of India (Govindasamy *et al.*, 2011).

Present investigation highlights the occurrence of THB and pathogenic bacteria in the water and sediment samples, collected from nine stations along the Havelock island, the Andamans, covering mangrove, coral, seagrass and beach habitats. THB and pathogenic bactera were more in the sediments than the water samples due to the rich organic content of the former and lesser residential time of the microorganisms in the water column than the sediments (Anon, 1997), in addition to the sediments retaining substantial amounts of naturally occurring organic matter such as sugars, amino acids, phenolic substances, lipids, polypepdides, polysaccharides and other constituents of living organisms (Premuzic et al., 1982); especially sediment CO<sub>2</sub> and pH (Yanagowa et al., 2012) were favourable for microbial growth. Further, coastal and shelf sediments play a significant role in the demineralization of organic matter (Swarnakumar et al., 2008) which would enhance the microbial load in the sediments.

Swarnakumar et al. (2008) reported that Vibrio was dominant followed by Pseudomonas and Escherichia in the Little Andaman island. Sahu et al. (2006) also isolated Vibrio spp. from the sediments of the coral reef environment of the Little Andaman island. In the present study, a total of 13 bacterial genera were identified: Escherichia Pseudomonas, Vibrio, Aeromonas, Enterococcus, Cornybacterium, Salmonella, Klebsiella, Streptococcus, Staphylococcus, Flavobacterium, Micrococcus and Shigella. Escherichia (21%) followed by Pseudomonas (13%) and Vibrio (13%) contributed more than the other genera in the Havelock island. But, Mohapatra et al. (2003) isolated 102 bacterial strains from three sedentary organisms of Havelock and among them Bacillus, Flavobacterium and Micrococcus were found to be dominant. Thus, this coastal area thronged by tourists with higher anthropogenic activity might contribute to the THB and pathogenic bacteria in addition to the other processes, indicating a higher risk of pathogens being present, as suggested by Fujioka (2001) in his study from Hawaii.

Mangrove ecosystems are the major ecosystems along the tropical coastlines. They play a vital role in regulation and optimization of marine environments (Zhang *et al.*, 2009). In this investigation, most of the THB and pathogenic bacterial communities were more at stations 1, 4 and 7, the mangrove sites (as compared to coral, seagrass and beach sites), which might act as a major nutrients transformation system

responsible for microbial activity (Alongi *et al.*, 1993; Holguin *et al.*, 2001). Further, bacterial colonies, after the mangrove litter fall, can appear shortly, grow quickly and reach very high densities (Kathiresan, 2007). As homeland of microbes, mangrove area also offers the major substrate for the proliferation of bacteria by providing with favorable conditions, due to the presence of rich sources of nutrients (Sahoo and Dhal, 2009; Lakshmipriya and Sivakumar, 2012).

*Escherichia* has a great genetic diversity and is disseminated all over the world (Korfmann *et al.*, 1983): Atlantic Ocean (76.8 %) (Regine *et al.*, 1998), South China Sea (55.1%) and Spain Sea (32-34%) (Barcina *et al.*, 1990). In this investigation, only 21% of *Escherichia* was found, suggesting that the coastal region of Havelock island is less polluted with *Escherichia* as compared to other parts of the world. Continuous water exchange with the oceanic waters might reduce the pathogenic bacterial density, as opined by Nallathambi *et al.* (2002) form their study from the Port Blair Bay, the Andamans.

Presence of *S. faecalis* suggests that the occurrence of such microbes in seawater could exist anytime as sewage from human or animal origin is discharged into the coast (Metcalf, 1982) and the higher THB population density (Hatha *et al.*, 2008) and fecal coliform density (Shehane *et al.*, 2005) could be due to the land run off from various sources after the rainfall. Similarly, Cheung *et al.* (1990) from the Hong Kong beach area observed the presence of *Streptococci* and used them as indicators of fecal pollution.

In conclusion, this study, to the best of our knowledge, is the first of its kind in the Havelock coast of India and this study is significant as it would pave way for future workers to elucidate the importance of coastal sanitation, for keeping the environment clean.

### Acknowledgments

Authors thank the Dean, Faculty of Marine Sciences and the authorities of Annamalai University for providing with necessary facilities. They also thank the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India, for financial support to carry out the study.

# References

- Alongi, D.M., Christoffersen, P. and Tirendi, F., 1993. The influence of forest type on microbial-nutrient relationships in tropical mangrove sediments. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 171(2): 201-223.
- Anon, J., 1997. Ecological, toxicological and environmental impacts assessment studies of the effluent discharge from MRL - CHR in marine environs of Nagapattinam, Tamil Nadu, Technology Reference Number NIO, 12/97, 86.
- Austin, B., 2005. Bacterial Pathogens of Marine Fish. In: Oceans and health: pathogens in the marine environment, pp.391- 413. Edited by Belkin, S., and Collwell, R.R., Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Banin, E., Israely, T., Kushmaro, A., Loya, Y., Orr, E. and Rosenberg, E., 2000. Penetration of the coral-bleaching bacterium *Vibrio shiloi* into *Oculina patagonica*. Appl.Environ. Microbiol. 66 (7): 3031-3036.
- Barcina, I., Gonzalez, J.M., Iriberri, J. and Egea, L., 1990. Survival strategy of *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus*

*faecalis* in illuminated fresh and marine systems. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 68(2), 189-198.

- Cevera, J.E., Karl, D. and Buckley, M., 2005. Marine microbial diversity: the key to earth's habitability. American academic of microbiology, pp. 1-22.
- Cheung, W.H.S., Chang, K.C.K. and Hung, R.P.S., 1990. Health effects of beach water pollution in Hong Kong. Epidemiol. Infect. 105(1): 139-162.
- Elmanama, A.A., Fahd, M.I., Abdallah, S.A.S. and Bahr, S., 2005. Microbiological beach sand quality in Gaza Strip in comparison to seawater quality. Environ. Res. 99(1): 1-10.
- Fouilland, E., Gosselin, M., Rivkin, R.B., Vasseur, C. and Mostajir, B., 2007. Nitrogen uptake by heterotrophic bacteria and phytoplankton in Arctic surface waters. J. Plankton Res. 29 (4): 369–376.
- Fujioka, R.S., 2001. Monitoring coastal marine waters for spore-forming bacteria of faecal and soil origin to determine point from non-point source pollution. Water Sci. Technol. 44 (7): 181-188.
- Govindasamy, C., Ruban, P., Arulpriya, M., Srinivasan, R. and Meena, N., 2011. Biochemical characterization of total heterotrophicbacteria (THB) in muthukuda mangroves, Southeast Coast of India. Afr. J Basic & Appl. Sci. 3(6): 285-289.
- Hagstrom, A., Jarone pinhassi and Ulla Li Zweifel, 2000. Biogeographical diversity among marine bacterioplankton. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 21: 231-244.
- Hailian Du, Nianzhi Jiao, Yaohua Hu and Yonghui Zeng, 2006. Diversityand distribution of pigmented heterotrophic bacteria in marine environments. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 57, 92-105.
- Hakim, H.I., Karna Radjasa, O., Majid Khoeri, M., Aji Pratama, G., Dewi Nasima, Ambariyanto, Sarjito and Sudoyo, H., 2012. Causative agents of White band disease from culturable bacterial community associated with healthy and diseased corals *Acropora humilis* and *Acropora tortuosa* from Karimunjawa Islands, Indonesia. Ecologia. 2(2): 52-59.
- Hatha, M., Abhirosh chandran and Sherin Varghese, 2008. Increased prevalence of indicator and pathogenic bacteria in the Kumarankom Lake: A function of salt water regulator in Vembandu Lake, A Ramsar site, along west coast of India. The 12th World Lake Conference. pp. 250-256.
- Holguin, G., Vazquez, P. and Bashan, Y., 2001. The role of microorganisms in the sediment productivity conservation and rehabilitation of mangrove ecosystems: an overview. Biol. Fert. Soils. 33: 265-278.
- Hongxiang, X., Wu Min, Wang Xiaogu, Yang J. and Wang Chunsheng, 2008. Bacterial diversity in deep-sea sediment from northeastern Pacific Ocean. Acta Ecol. Sin. 28(2): 479-485.
- Kathiresan, K., 2007. Degradation and destruction of mangroves In: International training course on coastal biodiversity in mangroves ecosystems, pp 476-483. (Eds.) Kathiresan, K. and S. Ajmal Khan, UNU-INWEH-UNESCO, November 12-26.
- Korfmann, G., Ludtke, W., Van Treeck, U. and Wiedemann, B., 1983. Dissemination of streptomycin and sulfonamide resistance by plasmid pBP1 in *Escherichia coli*. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis. 2(5): 463-468.
- Lakshmipriya, V.P. and Sivakumar, P.K., 2012. Isolation and characterization of total heterotrophic bacteria and

exopolysaccharide produced from mangrove ecosystem. IJPBA. 3(3): 679-684.

- Metcalf, T.G., 1982. Virus in shellfish-growing waters. Environ. Int. 7(1): 21-27.
- Mohapatra, B.R., Bapuji, M. and Sree, A., 2003. Production of industrial enzymes (amylase, carboxymethylcellulase and protease) by bacteria isolated from marine sedentary organisms. Acta Biotechnol. 23(1): 75-84.
- Mudryk, Z.J., 2005. Occurrence and distribution antibiotic resistance of heterotrophic bacteria isolated from a marine beach. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 50: 80-86.
- Nallathambi, T., Eashwar, M., Kuberaraj, K. and Govindarajan, G., 2002. Abundance of indicator and general heterotrophic bacteria in Port Blair bay, Andamans. Indian J. Mar. Sci. 31(1): 65-68.
- Polymenakou, P.N., Lampadariou, N., Mandalakis, M. and Tselepides, A., 2009. Phylogenetic diversity of sediment bacteria from the southern cretan margin, Eastern Mediterranean Sea. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 32(1): 17-26.
- Premuzic, E.T., Benkovitz, C.M., Gaffney, J.S. and Walsh, J.J., 1982. The nature and distribution of organic matter in the surface sediments of world oceans and seas. Org. Geochem. 4(2): 63-77.
- Ramkumar, V.S., Kannapiran, E. and Palanisamy, M., 2011. Prevalence and distribution of total heterotrophic bacteria from Kottaipattinam coast, Palk Strait, Southeast coast of India. Arch. Appl. Sci. Res. 3(5): 593-598.
- Ravenschlag, K., Kerstin Sahm, Jakob Pernthaler and Rudolf Amann, 1999. High bacterial diversity in permanently cold marine sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65(9): 3982–3989.
- Regine, H.S.F., Vieira, Rodrigues, D.P., Norma, S.S., Evangelista, Grace, N.D., Theophilo and Eliane, M.F.R., 1998. Colimetry of marine waters off Fortaleza (Ceara State, Brazil) and detection of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1(3): 221-224.
- Sahoo, K. and Dhal, N.K., 2009. Potential microbial diversity in mangrove ecosystems: A review. Indian J. Mar. Sci. 38(2), 249-256.
- Sahu, M.K., Sivakumar, K. and Kannan, L., 2006. Isolation and characterization of marine actinomycetes inhibitory to human Bacterial Pathogen. Geobios. 33(2-3): 173-177.
- Sharma, A. and Chaturvedi, A.N., 2007. Population dynamics of *Vibrio* species in the river Narmada at Jabalpur. J. Environ. Biol. 28(4): 747-751.
- Shehane, S.D., Harwood, V.J., Whitelock, J.E. and Rose, J.B., 2005. The influence of rainfall on the incidence of microbial faecal indicators and the dominant sources of faecal pollution in a Florida river. J. Appl. Microbiol. 98(5): 1127-1136.

- Shuval, H., 2005. Thalassogenic infectious diseases caused by wastewater pollution of the marine environment: an estimate of the worldwide occurrence. *In*: Oceans and health: pathogens in the marine environment, pp.373-388. Edited by Belkin, S. and Collwell, R.R., Springer Verlag, Berlin.
- Shuval, H.I., 1999. Scientific, Economic and Social Aspects of the Impact of Pollution in the Marine Environment on Human Health- A Preliminary Quantitative Estimate of the Global Disease Burden, an unpublished report dated August14. Prepared for the Division on the Protection of Human Environment, World Health Organization and GESAMP, pp. 28.
- Stevens, H., Brinkhoff, T., Beate Rink, John Vollmers and Simon, M., 2007. Diversity and abundance of Gram positive bacteria in a tidal flat ecosystem. Environ. Microbiol. 9 (7): 1810-1822.
- Surajit Das, Lyla, P.S. and Ajmal Khan, S., 2007. Spatial variation of aerobic culturable heterotrophic bacteria population in sediments of the continental slope of western Bay of Bengal. Indian J. Mar. Sci. 36(1): 51-58.
- Swaranakumar, N.S., Sahu, M.K., Sivakumar. K. and Thangaradjou, T., 2008. Assessment of microbial pollution in the coastal environs of the Little Andaman Island, India. Indian J. Mar. Sci. 37(2): 146-152.
- Uzair, B., Nuzhat Ahmeda, Faryal Vali Mohammadb, Viqar Uddin Ahmadb and David Edwards, 2009. Screening of marine bacteria of Pakistan coast for drug discovery potential. Proc. Pak. Acad. Sci. 46(3): 137-144.
- Williams, G.P., Babu, S., Ravikumar, S., Kathiresan, K., Arul Prathap, S., Chinnapparaj, S., Marian, M.P. and Liakath Alikhan, S., 2007. Antimicrobial activity of tissue and associated bacteria from benthic sea anemone *Stichodactyla haddoni* against microbial pathogens. J. Environ. Biol. 28(4): 789-793.
- Yanagawa, K., Yuki Morono, Dirk de Beer, Matthias Haeckel, Michinari Sunamura, Taiki Futagami, Tatsuhiko Hoshino, Takeshi Terada, Ko-ichi Nakamura, Tetsuro Urabe, Gregor Rehder, Antje Boetius, and Fumio Inagaki, 2012.
  Metabolically active microbial communities in marine sediment under high-CO<sub>2</sub> and low-pH extremes. The ISME Journal. doi:10.1038/ismej.2012.124.
- Yong Yu, Hui-Rong Li, Yin-Xin Zeng, and Bo Chen, 2011. Bacterial diversity and bioprospecting for cold-active hydrolytic enzymes from culturable bacteria associated with sediment from Nella Fjord, Eastern Antarctica. Mar. Drugs. 9(2): 184-195.
- Zhang, Y., Yang, B. and Ling, J., 2009. Bacterial community structure of mangrove sediments in relation to environmental variables accessed by 16S rRNA genedenaturing gradient gel electrophoresis fingerprinting. Sci. Mar. 73 (3): 487-498.

\*\*\*\*\*\*