



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Length–weight relationships and condition, of the asian striped catfish, *mystus vittatus* (bloch, 1794) from non-drainable perennial ponds from lucknow, up, india

^{1,2,*}Prem P. Srivastava, ^{1,2}Wazir S. Lakra, ¹Rajesh Dayal, ¹Shipra Chowdhary and ¹Sudhir Raizada

¹National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Canal Ring Road, Teli Bagh, Lucknow – 226 002, UP, India

² Central institute of Fisheries Education- ICAR, Panch Marg, Off Yari Road, Versova, Mumbai - 400 061, MS, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received xxxxxxxx

Received in revised xxxxxxxxxxxx

Accepted xxxxxxxxxxxx

Published online xxxxxxxxxxxx

Key words:

Length–weight, *Mystus vittatus*, condition, non-drainable, perennial ponds

ABSTRACT

The present study describes the annual condition as well the length–weight (LWR) of the Asian striped catfish, *Mystus vittatus* (Bloch, 1794), an important fishery by the village poor Anglers. A total of 119 specimens (7.0–8.8 cm standard length, 8.0–10.9 cm total length) used in this study was caught with traditional fishing gear from July 2009 to August 2009. Overall, the allometric coefficient 'b' of the LWR was close to the isometric value (b = 2.88). The results further indicated that the LWRs were highly correlated ($r^2 = 0.826296$, $P < 0.01$). The aim of the present paper was to carry out the comprehensive description of the LWRs and condition of the *M. vittatus*. In conclusion, this study has provided basic information on LWR, and condition. These results will be useful for fishery biologists and managers to impose adequate regulations for sustainable fishery management in the non-drainable ponds in India.

© Copy Right, IJRSR, 2013, Academic Journals. All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

The Asian striped catfish, *Mystus vittatus* (Bloch, 1794) is a fish from Bagridae (Siluriformes) family that occurs through-out the Indian sub-continent (Froese and Pauly, 2006). The Asian striped catfish *Mystus vittatus* (Bloch, 1794) occurs widely throughout the Indian subcontinent including Bangladesh, India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Nepal and Bhutan, but it has been also reported from Myanmar, Malaysia, Laos, Vietnam and Cambodia (Froese and Pauly, 2006). Also found in canals and irrigation channels, this species usually inhabits marginal vegetation in lakes and swamps with muddy substrates and feeds on plants, shrimps, insects, mollusks and fishes (Bhatt, 1971; Pethiyagoda, 1991). It is found in rivers, canals irrigation channels, non-drainable ponds. This species usually inhabits in marginal vegetation in swamps, lakes, non-drainable ponds with muddy substances and feeds on mollusks, small shrimp, plants and fishes (Bhatt, 1971; Pethiyagoda, 1991; Hossain *et al.*, 2006). *Mystus vittatus* is an important target fish for small-scale fisherman (Craig *et al.*, 2004; Kibria and Ahmad, 2005; Hossain *et al.*, 2006). This fish is indigenous and abundant in non-drainable small ponds and in India and are very rich in protein, vitamin and minerals (Hossain *et al.*, 2006). Length-weight relationships (LWR) has both basic and applied users (Pitcher and Hart, 1982; Patgiri *et al.*, 2001; Kar *et al.*, 2005; Anna Mercy *et al.*, 2008; Kar & Barbhuiya, 2010) to estimate weight from length observations, calculate production and biomass of a fish population and provide information on stocks or organism condition at the corporal level. The use of L-W relationship is to estimate length-weight observations to calculate biomass production of fish and to provide stocks or organism condition factor. The L-R relation is

also important for fisheries management for comparative growth performance (Jhingran 1952, 1968; Montopoulus & Stergoius, 2002) the adequate local information for many sub-tropical fish is not available (Harrison, 2001; Ecoutin *et al.*, 2005). Length–length relationships (LLRs) are also important in fisheries management for comparative growth studies (Montopoulus & Stergiou, 2002; Fafioye & Oluajo, 2005). Although LWR and LLR are readily available for most European and North American freshwater and marine fishes (e.g. Bagenal & Tesch, 1978; Petrakis & Stergiou, 1995; Koutrakis & Tsikliras, 2003; Sinovic *et al.*, 2004; Oscoz *et al.*, 2005; Leunda *et al.*, 2006; Miranda *et al.*, 2006), adequate local information is still scarce for most tropical and subtropical fish species (Martin-Smith, 1996; Harrison, 2001; Ecoutin *et al.*, 2005). The condition factor in natural fishery is quantitative parameters of the state of well-being of the fish. The condition of a fish reflects recent physical and biological circumstances, and fluctuates by interaction among feeding conditions, parasitic infections and physiological factors (Le Cren, 1951). A very limited work has been carried out on small fish of non-drainable ponds and specifically this high nutritional value fish in relation to L-W relationship, abundant in the perennial ponds in India. Thus, the present investigation has been undertaken for the demonstrating the L-W relation condition factor of *Mystus vittatus*, from non-drainable perennial pond.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Asian striped catfish specimens were collected from the sampling site from non-drainable perennial ponds located in Lucknow between July 2009 to August 2011 by means of traditional cast net fishing gear (Kibria & Ahmed, 2005). Specimens (Photo-1)

* Corresponding author: Prem P. Srivastava

National Bureau of Fish Genetic Resources, Canal Ring Road, Teli Bagh, Lucknow – 226 002, UP, India

were preserved in 10% buffered Alcohol-formalin, packed in glass bottles and transported to the laboratory. All specimens (119) were measured for total length (TL) and standard length (SL) with a millimeter scale to the nearest 1 mm, whilst body weight (BW) was determined with a digital balance to the nearest 0.01 g. The LWR for BW was calculated using the equation, $BW = a \cdot SL^b$, where coefficient a is the intercept in the y-axis, and the regression coefficient b is an exponent indicating isometric growth when equal to 3.

The statistical significance level of r^2 was estimated and the parameters a and b were estimated by linear regression on the transformed equation. $\log BW = \log a + b \cdot \log SL$. To test for possible significant differences in both slope and intercept, we followed the analysis of covariance. All statistical analyses were considered significant at $P < 0.05$. The Fulton's condition factor (K) was calculated for each individual fish according to the equation $K = (BW/SL^3) \times 100$.

Table 1 Relationship between logarithms of standard length (SL) and weight (w) in *Mystus vittatus*

S No.	SL(Cm)	TL(Cm)	W(g)	SL% of TL	a=W/L ³	logW=loga +b*(logL)	b=(logW-loga)/logL	r ²	K
1	6.5	9.5	5	68.42	0.0182	0.5682	2.84		1.821
2	7.7	9.7	11	79.38	0.0241	0.9232	2.87		2.409
3	8.4	10.3	9	81.55	0.0152	0.8820	2.92		1.518
4	8.3	10.1	7	82.18	0.0122	0.7767	2.93		1.224
5	7.9	9.8	7	80.61	0.0142	0.7586	2.90		1.420
6	8.2	9	7	91.11	0.0127	0.7723	2.92		1.270
7	8.6	10.3	8	83.50	0.0126	0.8439	2.94		1.258
8	8.4	10.2	11	82.35	0.0186	0.9625	2.91		1.856
9	7.4	9.3	9	79.57	0.0222	0.8295	2.86		2.221
10	7.8	9.6	7	81.25	0.0148	0.7539	2.90		1.475
11	7.8	9.2	8	84.78	0.0169	0.8056	2.89		1.686
12	7.1	8.2	7	86.59	0.0196	0.7194	2.85		1.956
13	7.2	9.1	8	79.12	0.0214	0.7742	2.85		2.143
14	7.3	9.2	7	79.35	0.0180	0.7296	2.87		1.799
15	8	10.1	9	79.21	0.0176	0.8618	2.90		1.758
16	8.1	10.1	9	80.20	0.0169	0.8669	2.90		1.694
17	6.8	8.6	5	79.07	0.0159	0.5819	2.86		1.590
18	7.1	9.2	6	77.17	0.0168	0.6624	2.86		1.676
19	7.3	9.2	6	79.35	0.0154	0.6718	2.88		1.542
20	7.2	9	7	80.00	0.0188	0.7245	2.86		1.875
21	8.1	10	8	81.00	0.0151	0.8204	2.91		1.505
22	8.4	10.5	9	80.00	0.0152	0.8820	2.92		1.518
23	7.2	9.1	6	79.12	0.0161	0.6671	2.87		1.608
24	7.1	9.9	8	71.72	0.0224	0.7688	2.84		2.235
25	6.9	8.4	6	82.14	0.0183	0.6528	2.85		1.826
26	6	7.5	4	80.00	0.0185	0.4685	2.83		1.852
27	6.8	8.7	5	78.16	0.0159	0.5819	2.86		1.590
28	7.9	10	10	79.00	0.0203	0.8976	2.89		2.028
29	7.9	9.2	10	85.87	0.0203	0.8976	2.89		2.028
30	7.2	9.2	7	78.26	0.0188	0.7245	2.86		1.875
31	7	8.9	7	78.65	0.0204	0.7142	2.85		2.041
32	6.9	8.8	6	78.41	0.0183	0.6528	2.85		1.826
33	7.2	9.4	8	76.60	0.0214	0.7742	2.85		2.143
34	7	9.2	7	76.09	0.0204	0.7142	2.85		2.041
35	6.9	8.5	7	81.18	0.0213	0.7089	2.84	0.826296	2.131
36	7.8	9.8	8	79.59	0.0169	0.8056	2.89		1.686
37	7	9	7	77.78	0.0204	0.7142	2.85		2.041
38	6.7	8.5	6	78.82	0.0199	0.6428	2.84		1.995
39	8.3	10.1	9	82.18	0.0157	0.8770	2.92		1.574
40	6.7	8.7	5	77.01	0.0166	0.5774	2.85		1.662
41	8	9.9	9	80.81	0.0176	0.8618	2.90		1.758
42	7	8.7	6	80.46	0.0175	0.6576	2.86		1.749
43	7.4	9.1	6	81.32	0.0148	0.6764	2.88		1.481
44	7	8.6	5	81.40	0.0146	0.5907	2.87		1.458
45	7.5	9.5	8	78.95	0.0190	0.7903	2.87		1.896
46	7.4	9.7	8	76.29	0.0197	0.7850	2.86		1.974
47	7.7	10	10	77.00	0.0219	0.8865	2.87		2.190
48	8.5	10.6	11	80.19	0.0179	0.9679	2.92		1.791
49	7.5	9.6	8	78.13	0.0190	0.7903	2.87		1.896
50	7.5	9.3	8	80.65	0.0190	0.7903	2.87		1.896
51	7	8.9	6	78.65	0.0175	0.6576	2.86		1.749
52	7.1	9.2	7	77.17	0.0196	0.7194	2.85		1.956
53	7.9	10	10	79.00	0.0203	0.8976	2.89		2.028
54	8.4	10.3	10	81.55	0.0169	0.9243	2.92		1.687
55	8.5	10.8	11	78.70	0.0179	0.9679	2.92		1.791
56	7.9	10.1	10	78.22	0.0203	0.8976	2.89		2.028
57	7.6	9.9	8	76.77	0.0182	0.7955	2.88		1.822

58	8	10.3	10	77.67	0.0195	0.9031	2.89	1.953
59	7.3	9.2	8	79.35	0.0206	0.7797	2.86	2.056
60	8	9.7	9	82.47	0.0176	0.8618	2.90	1.758
61	7.3	8.7	7	83.91	0.0180	0.7296	2.87	1.799
62	7.6	9.2	7	82.61	0.0159	0.7444	2.89	1.595
63	7.3	9	7	81.11	0.0180	0.7296	2.87	1.799
64	7.9	9.8	9	80.61	0.0183	0.8566	2.89	1.825
65	7.8	9	7	86.67	0.0148	0.7539	2.90	1.475
66	7.5	9.7	8	77.32	0.0190	0.7903	2.87	1.896
67	8.2	10	8	82.00	0.0145	0.8253	2.91	1.451
68	7.4	9.1	6	81.32	0.0148	0.6764	2.88	1.481
69	7.7	9.5	7	81.05	0.0153	0.7492	2.89	1.533
70	8.5	10.3	9	82.52	0.0147	0.8869	2.93	1.465
71	7.9	10.1	9	78.22	0.0183	0.8566	2.89	1.825
72	6.8	8.8	5	77.27	0.0159	0.5819	2.86	1.590
73	7.4	9.4	7	78.72	0.0173	0.7346	2.87	1.727
74	8	10	8	80.00	0.0156	0.8156	2.90	1.563
75	8.1	10	10	81.00	0.0188	0.9085	2.90	1.882
76	8.3	10.3	11	80.58	0.0192	0.9571	2.91	1.924
77	8.5	10.5	10	80.95	0.0163	0.9294	2.92	1.628
78	8.3	10.1	10	82.18	0.0175	0.9191	2.91	1.749
79	6.5	8.2	4	79.27	0.0146	0.4894	2.86	1.457
80	8.1	10	9	81.00	0.0169	0.8669	2.90	1.694
81	7.2	9.1	7	79.12	0.0188	0.7245	2.86	1.875
82	7.3	8.9	7	82.02	0.0180	0.7296	2.87	1.799
83	7.9	10.1	10	78.22	0.0203	0.8976	2.89	2.028
84	7.4	9.2	6	80.43	0.0148	0.6764	2.88	1.481
85	8.4	10.1	9	83.17	0.0152	0.8820	2.92	1.518
86	8	9.9	7	80.81	0.0137	0.7632	2.91	1.367
87	7.2	9.1	6	79.12	0.0161	0.6671	2.87	1.608
88	6.2	8.1	4	76.54	0.0168	0.4771	2.84	1.678
89	7.9	9.9	8	79.80	0.0162	0.8106	2.90	1.623
90	7.8	9.2	8	84.78	0.0169	0.8056	2.89	1.686
91	7.1	9.1	6	78.02	0.0168	0.6624	2.86	1.676
92	8	10	9	80.00	0.0176	0.8618	2.90	1.758
93	7.4	9.4	6	78.72	0.0148	0.6764	2.88	1.481
94	7.7	9.5	7	81.05	0.0153	0.7492	2.89	1.533
95	8.8	10.9	13	80.73	0.0191	1.0521	2.93	1.908
96	8	10	9	80.00	0.0176	0.8618	2.90	1.758
97	6.9	8.6	6	80.23	0.0183	0.6528	2.85	1.826
98	7.9	9.9	8	79.80	0.0162	0.8106	2.90	1.623
99	7.3	9.4	7	77.66	0.0180	0.7296	2.87	1.799
100	7.5	9.1	7	82.42	0.0166	0.7395	2.88	1.659
101	7.6	9.3	8	81.72	0.0182	0.7955	2.88	1.822
102	7.5	9.1	5	82.42	0.0119	0.6116	2.90	1.185
103	7.6	9.2	7	82.61	0.0159	0.7444	2.89	1.595
104	6.6	8.2	6	80.49	0.0209	0.6377	2.83	2.087
105	7.7	9.7	8	79.38	0.0175	0.8006	2.88	1.752
106	6.7	8.3	5	80.72	0.0166	0.5774	2.85	1.662
107	7	8	5	87.50	0.0146	0.5907	2.87	1.458
108	6.8	9.4	7	72.34	0.0223	0.7036	2.83	2.226
109	7.5	9.5	7	78.95	0.0166	0.7395	2.88	1.659
110	6.8	8.9	6	76.40	0.0191	0.6478	2.84	1.908
111	5.8	7.5	3	77.33	0.0154	0.3642	2.85	1.538
112	7.2	8.3	5	86.75	0.0134	0.5992	2.88	1.340
113	7.5	9.2	7	81.52	0.0166	0.7395	2.88	1.659
114	7.4	9.3	9	79.57	0.0222	0.8295	2.86	2.221
115	8.3	10.1	10	82.18	0.0175	0.9191	2.91	1.749
116	7.4	8.9	6	83.15	0.0148	0.6764	2.88	1.481
117	8.1	9.6	8	84.38	0.0151	0.8204	2.91	1.505
118	5.8	7.8	4	74.36	0.0205	0.4596	2.81	2.050
119	7.4	9.3	8	79.57	0.0197	0.7850	2.86	1.974
av b =2.88								

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From the total of 119 specimens of *M. vittatus* collected during the study period, the LWRs indicated that the calculated allometric coefficients vary as shown in Table -1. The LWR can be obtained from length and weight measurements of the same fishes throughout their lives or from a sample of fish taken at a particular time (Wootton, 1990). The parameters of fish LWR are affected by a series of factors including season, habitat, gonad maturity, sex, diet, stomach fullness, health and preservation techniques (e.g. Tesch, 1971; Bagenal and Tesch, 1978).

Subsequently, if these LWRs were obtained monthly throughout a complete annual cycle as in the present study, then the simulated parameters would be more appropriate. This would apply as well as for the entire LWR data. All allometric coefficients (*b*) estimated in this studies were within the expected range of 2.5–3.5, but they can vary between 2 and 4 (Bagenal & Tesch, 1978). Even if specimens <30 mm SL are not used in LWR estimations to avoid potential bias of the inclusion of immature juveniles that had not yet attained adult shape (Bagenal & Tesch, 1978), the observed between-month differences in the LWR *b*-values could

be affected by non-overlapping or narrow size ranges (Petrakis & Stergiou, 1995; Moutopoulos & Stergiou, 2002).



Photo -1 Live sample of *Mystus vittatus*

The Fulton's condition factor (K) ranged from 0.972 to 3.188. There was no significant difference in K between months ($P > 0.05$). The mean Fulton's condition factor in relation to size class (K_m) is shown in Table - 1. The K_m tends to be lower, between 5.5 and 7.0 cm SL. In this study the average K values of *M. vittatus* were recorded as an average of 1.750. As Bhatt (1971) reported for *M. cavasius*, this study shows that highest values of K_m occur in <4.5 cm SL, gradually decreasing from 5.0 cm SL to the lowest K_m values at 6.0 cm SL, when *M. vittatus* females showed spawning activity. The variation observed in LWR parameters and condition of *M. vittatus* may be attributed to the seasonality of the flooding cycle related to the monsoons that rule the reproductive cycle and growth of fish species (Craig *et al.*, 2004). In conclusion, this study has provided basic information on the LWR and K that would be useful for fishery biologists/managers to impose adequate regulations for sustainable fishery management in non-drainable pond system in India and/or Indian sub-continent.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the Director NBFGR, Lucknow for providing the necessary facilities to take up this study. Thanks also due to the fishermen who caught the fishes and sold to us.

References

Anna MTV, Jacob E and Bhaskar RK (2008). Length-weight relationship of sixteen species of indigenous ornamental fishes of the Western Ghats of India. Indian J. Fish., 55(4): 337-339.

Bagenal TB and Tesch FW (1978). Age and growth. In: Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters, 3rd edn. T. Bagenal (Ed.). IBP Handbook No. 3, Blackwell Science Publications, Oxford, pp. 101-136.

Bhatt VS (1971). Studies on the biology of some freshwater fishes, part VI. *Mystus cavasius* (Ham.). Hydrobiologia 38: 289-302.

Craig JM, Halls AS, Barr JJF and Bean CW (2004). The Bangladesh floodplain fisheries. Fish. Res. 66: 271-286.

Ecoutin JM, Albaret JJ and Trape S (2005). Length-weight relationships for fish populations of a relatively undistributed tropical estuary: the Gambia. Fish. Res. 72: 347-351.

Fafioye OO and Olujajo OA (2005). Length-weight relationships of five fish species in Epe lagoon, Nigeria. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 4: 749-751.

Froese R and Pauly D (Eds) (2006). FishBase 2006. World Wide Web electronic publication.

Harrison T D (2001). Length-weight relationships of fishes from South African estuaries. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 17: 46-48.

Hossain MY, Ahmed ZF, Leunda PM, Jasmine S, Oscoz J, Miranda R and Ohtomi J (2006). Condition, length-weight and length-length relationship of the Asian striped catfish *Mystus vittatus* (Bloch, 1794) (Siluriformes: Bagridae) in the Mathbhanga River, southwestern. Bangladesh. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 22: 304-307.

Jhingran AG (1968). The Length-Weight relationship and K factor of *Gudusia chopra* (Ham.) from the Ganga river system. PNAS, India, 38 B (III&IV): 249-263.

Jhingran, VG (1952). General length-weight relationship of three major carps of India. PNAS, India, 18: 449-460.

Kar D and Barbhuiya MH (2010). Length -weight relationship and condition factor in *Puntius amphibious* (Valenciennes) and *Puntius vittatus* (Day) from Barak Valley region of Assam. J. of Inland Fish. Soc. 42 (1) : 76-77.

Kar D, Boni AL, Nath D and Barbhuiya MH (2005). Length -weight relationship of *Neolissochilus hexagonolepis* (McClelland) and *Garra lissorhynchus* (McClelland). Indian Journal of Fisheries, 52 (4) : 495-496.

Kibria G and Ahmed KKKU (2005). Diversity of selective and nonselective fishing gear and their impact on inland fisheries in Bangladesh. NAGA 28, 43-48.

Koutrakis ET and Tsikliras AC (2003). Length-weight relationships of fishes from three northern Aegean estuarine systems (Greece). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 19: 258-260.

Le Cren ED (1951). The length-weight relationships and seasonal cycle in gonad weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). J. Anim. Ecol. 20: 201-219.

Leunda PM, Oscoz J and Miranda R (2006). Length-weight relationships of fishes from tributaries of the Ebro River, Spain. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 22: 299-300.

Martin-Smith KM (1996). Length/weight relationships of fishes in a diverse tropical fresh-water community, Sabah, Malaysia. J. Fish. Biol. 49: 731-734.

Miranda R, Oscoz J, Leunda PM and Escala MC (2006). Weight-length of cyprinid fishes of the Iberian Peninsula. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 22: 297-298.

Moutopoulos DK and Stergiou KI (2002). Length-weight and length-length relationships of fish species from Aegean Sea (Greece). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 18: 200-203.

Oscoz J, Campos F and Escala MC (2005). Weight-length relationships of some fish species of the Iberian Peninsula. J. Appl. Ichthyol. 21: 73-74.

Patgiri A, Goswami MM, Kar D and Barbhuiya MH (2001). Comparative study of length-weight relationship and relative condition factors in Major and exotic carps in ponds of Guwahati. Indian J. of Environment and Ecoplanning, 5(1):179-180.

Pethiyagoda R (1991). Freshwater fishes of Sri Lanka. The Wildlife Heritage Trust of Sri Lanka, Colombo, pp. 362.

Petrakis G and Stergiou KI (1995). Weight-length relationships for 33 fish species in Greek waters. Fish. Res. 21: 465-469.

Pitcher TJ and Hart PJ (1982). Fisheries Ecology. Chapman and Hall, London.

Sinovic G, Franicevic M, Zorica, B and Cikes-Kec V (2004). Length-weight and length-length relationships for 10 pelagic fish species from the Adriatic Sea (Croatia). J. Appl. Ichthyol. 20: 156-158.

- Tesch FW (1971). Age and growth. In: Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters. W. E.Ricker (Ed.). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 99–130.
- Wootton RJ (1990). Ecology of teleost fishes. Chapman and Hall, London.
