



RESEARCH ARTICLE

BIOPROSPECTING AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN NORTH EAST INDIA

¹Dr. S. Shyamkiran Singh* and ²Dr. S. Priyalakshmi Devi

¹Assistant professor, Department of Chemistry, Waikhom Mani Girls' College, Manipur, India.

²Kursk State Medical University, Russia

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24327/ijrsr.20241509.0945>

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 16th July, 2024

Received in revised form 18th August, 2024

Accepted 16th September, 2024

Published online 28th September, 2024

Key words:

Bioprospecting, North East India, Indigenous Knowledge, Ethical Considerations, Biodiversity, Prior Informed Consent, Benefit Sharing, Intellectual Property Rights

ABSTRACT

Bioprospecting, the exploration of biodiversity for commercially valuable genetic and biochemical resources, is a rapidly expanding field with immense potential. In North East India (NEI), known for its rich biodiversity and indigenous knowledge, bioprospecting holds both promise and peril. This research paper discusses the potential of bioprospecting in NEI, the role of indigenous knowledge, and the ethical considerations that arise, particularly focusing on the principles of prior informed consent (PIC), benefit-sharing, and intellectual property rights (IPR). It also explores the legal frameworks and the challenges that must be addressed to ensure sustainable and equitable bioprospecting practices in this region.

Copyright© The author(s) 2024, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

North East India (NEI) is a hotspot of biodiversity, characterized by unique flora and fauna, many of which are endemic to the region. The region's indigenous communities have nurtured a deep relationship with nature, possessing extensive traditional knowledge about the medicinal and agricultural uses of biodiversity. Bioprospecting, which involves the exploration of this biological wealth for new products, is particularly promising in NEI. However, it raises ethical concerns regarding the rights of indigenous communities and the protection of biodiversity.

Bioprospecting refers to the exploration of biodiversity to discover new genetic resources and bioactive compounds for commercial and scientific applications, including pharmaceuticals, agriculture, and biotechnology. The rise in demand for natural products and growing recognition of the economic potential of biodiversity has made bioprospecting a vital area of research. North East India (NEI), a region renowned for its rich biodiversity, plays a significant role in this context. It is part of the Indo-Burma biodiversity hotspot, which is one of the 36 global hotspots identified for their ecological richness and endemism (Myers et al., 2000). The diverse flora, fauna, and microorganisms found in this region present tremendous opportunities for scientific discovery and commercial devel-

opment.

NEI's indigenous communities possess traditional knowledge about local biodiversity, accumulated over centuries through their sustainable interactions with nature. This knowledge covers the medicinal uses of plants, agricultural practices, and other ecosystem-based traditions. As bioprospecting ventures increasingly target such knowledge, ethical concerns arise regarding the rights and benefits of indigenous communities. These concerns, including issues of *biopiracy* and exploitation of resources without proper compensation, have become prominent in the region (Shiva, 1997).

Ethical bioprospecting mandates adherence to the principles of Prior Informed Consent (PIC) and fair and equitable benefit sharing, as outlined in the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) (CBD, 1992). The CBD and its **Nagoya Protocol** require that the communities providing genetic resources and associated traditional knowledge are fully informed and agree to its use. They must also receive an equitable share of any benefits arising from the commercialization of these resources (CBD, 2010). However, in NEI, enforcing these regulations presents challenges due to the complex sociopolitical landscape, lack of awareness among local communities, and linguistic diversity. Many communities are unaware of their legal rights concerning bioprospecting, making them vulnerable to exploitation by external entities (Pathak, 2018).

Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) also pose significant ethical challenges in bioprospecting. Many pharmaceutical companies and research institutions seek to patent compounds or traditional knowledge-based products, often without recogniz-

*Corresponding author: **Dr. S. Shyamkiran Singh**

Assistant professor, Department of Chemistry, Waikhom Mani Girls' College, Manipur, India.

ing or compensating the indigenous knowledge holders. This practice has led to several cases of *biopiracy* in India, where foreign companies have patented traditional medicinal practices or plants without proper consent from or benefit-sharing with the local communities (Sahai, 2001). Such cases highlight the need for stronger legal frameworks and mechanisms that protect indigenous knowledge systems in NEI.

India's Biological Diversity Act (2002) was enacted to regulate access to biological resources and ensure that benefits are shared with local communities. While the act incorporates the principles of the CBD and Nagoya Protocol, its implementation has been uneven in NEI, primarily due to bureaucratic inefficiencies and lack of institutional capacity (Kothari et al., 2016). Strengthening local legal and governance frameworks, enhancing community awareness, and building capacity for negotiation are essential to ensure ethical bioprospecting in the region.

Thus, while bioprospecting in NEI holds immense potential for both scientific discovery and economic development, ethical considerations related to indigenous rights, PIC, benefit sharing, and IPR must be addressed. By promoting ethical practices, the region can benefit from the commercialization of its biodiversity while safeguarding its ecological and cultural heritage.

The paper aims to critically analyze the ethical issues surrounding bioprospecting in NEI, examining the potential benefits and risks, and reviewing the legal frameworks and international agreements that govern such activities.

Bioprospecting in North East India

Biodiversity of NEI

North East India (NEI) is one of the most biologically diverse regions in the world, forming a part of the Indo-Burma biodiversity hotspot, one of the world's 36 hotspots recognized for high levels of species richness and endemism. Spanning eight states — Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Tripura, and Sikkim — the region's varied topography, ranging from high-altitude mountain ranges to low-lying floodplains, and its unique climatic conditions contribute to its rich biodiversity. The region's forests, rivers, and mountainous terrains create a diverse ecosystem suitable for various biological research and commercial exploration.

The region is home to over 8,000 species of flowering plants, 50% of which are endemic. NEI hosts 50% of India's bird species and is especially known for its rich diversity of orchids, with more than 700 species found across the region. Additionally, it boasts a wide variety of fauna, including Brown-antlered deer (*Sangai*, found only in Manipur, India), tigers, clouded leopards, red pandas, one-horned rhinoceros, and several species of primates, reptiles, and amphibians.

The **forests** of NEI, including tropical rainforests, alpine forests, and bamboo groves, provide habitats for numerous species, some of which are critically endangered. The rivers and wetlands, such as the Brahmaputra and Barak river systems, add to the region's ecological diversity, supporting freshwater ecosystems that are essential for both aquatic and terrestrial species.

The indigenous communities of NEI have developed traditional knowledge systems that emphasize sustainable use of biodiversity, particularly in agriculture and medicinal practices.

The combination of ecological richness and cultural practices makes NEI an important region for biodiversity conservation. However, deforestation, habitat loss, and climate change pose significant threats to this biodiversity, making it imperative to implement conservation measures.

NEI's biodiversity not only contributes to global ecological health but also holds immense potential for scientific research, particularly in the fields of **bioprospecting** and **ecosystem management**.

Indigenous Knowledge Systems

Indigenous communities in NEI have long used natural resources sustainably for food, medicine, and cultural practices. Knowledge of medicinal plants, for example, is passed down through generations, providing valuable insights into pharmacology. This traditional knowledge (TK) has immense value for pharmaceutical companies and biotechnological firms looking for new drug leads, agricultural products, or biotechnological innovations. However, accessing this knowledge without the consent of these communities raises ethical concerns.

The indigenous knowledge systems of North East India (NEI) are deeply intertwined with the region's rich biodiversity and cultural practices. These knowledge systems, passed down through generations, are invaluable for their understanding of natural resource management, traditional medicine, agriculture, and sustainable living. Indigenous communities in NEI, including groups like the Meitei, Naga, Khasi, Mizo, and Apatani, have developed intricate practices to utilize local plants and animals for food, medicine, and rituals.

Traditional healing practices are a significant aspect of this knowledge, where medicinal plants are used to treat various ailments. For example, the use of **Zanthoxylum** (prickly ash) for digestive issues or the application of **Centella asiatica** (gotu kola) for healing wounds are well-documented.

Agricultural practices, such as the **shifting cultivation** (jhum) widely practiced in the region, are rooted in sustainable land use, allowing the regeneration of forests and soils. Additionally, indigenous techniques for water management, such as the Zabo system in Nagaland, are sophisticated ways of conserving water and maintaining ecological balance.

These knowledge systems are crucial for both biodiversity conservation and sustainable development in NEI. However, the increasing threats of modernization and environmental degradation challenge the preservation of these traditional practices.

Ethical Considerations in Bioprospecting

Prior Informed Consent (PIC)

One of the foremost ethical principles in bioprospecting is the requirement for **Prior Informed Consent (PIC)**. PIC ensures that indigenous communities are fully aware of and agree to the use of their biological resources and traditional knowledge. NEI's indigenous groups often lack access to legal resources, making them vulnerable to exploitation. Ensuring PIC protects these communities from *biopiracy*, where their knowledge is exploited without recognition or compensation.

PIC, as defined in the **Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)**, is a crucial step in any bioprospecting endeavor. However, in NEI, implementing this principle can be challenging due to linguistic, cultural, and legal complexities. Government bodies, researchers, and commercial entities must engage in



transparent and meaningful consultations with local communities before initiating bioprospecting activities.

Benefit Sharing

Benefit sharing is another critical ethical concern. The **Nagoya Protocol**, an international agreement adopted under the CBD, stresses that the benefits arising from the use of genetic resources should be shared fairly and equitably with the local communities that provide them. In NEI, benefit-sharing could take the form of monetary compensation, infrastructural development, or capacity-building for the communities involved.

However, the process of determining what constitutes a fair share is complicated by unequal power dynamics between local communities and multinational corporations. Establishing transparent, fair agreements and legal frameworks that guarantee equity is essential to ensure that the benefits of bioprospecting are distributed justly.

Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)

Another ethical issue in bioprospecting is the question of **Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)**. The patenting of genetic resources or traditional knowledge without recognizing the contribution of indigenous communities is a form of *biopiracy*. For example, several cases have been reported in India where traditional remedies were patented by foreign companies without compensating the local knowledge holders. The **Neem case** refers to a legal dispute between India and the US regarding the patenting of the Neem tree and its medicinal properties by several US-based corporations in the 1990s.

The Indian **Biological Diversity Act (2002)** was enacted to regulate access to biological resources and ensure that benefits are shared with local communities. However, challenges persist in effectively implementing this legislation in NEI. Strengthening institutional mechanisms and providing legal support to indigenous groups can protect their intellectual property from exploitation.

Legal Frameworks and International Agreements

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)

The **CBD** is a landmark international treaty that aims to conserve biological diversity, ensure sustainable use of its components, and promote fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising from genetic resources. India, as a signatory to the CBD, has incorporated many of its provisions into national laws. NEI, with its rich biodiversity, is a region where these regulations must be rigorously applied.

The Nagoya Protocol

The **Nagoya Protocol**, adopted in 2010, provides a framework for access to genetic resources and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits. It emphasizes the importance of obtaining PIC from indigenous communities and establishes rules for benefit-sharing. However, the implementation of the Nagoya Protocol in NEI is still a work in progress, as many communities are unaware of their rights under this international framework.

Biological Diversity Act (2002)

India's **Biological Diversity Act** regulates the access to biological resources and ensures benefit sharing. The **National Biodiversity Authority (NBA)** oversees the implementation of this act, and the **State Biodiversity Boards (SBBs)** play a significant role in regulating access at the state level. However,

in NEI, challenges include bureaucratic inefficiencies and limited awareness of legal provisions among local communities. Strengthening these bodies and making the legal process more accessible is crucial for protecting the region's biodiversity and indigenous knowledge.

Challenges and Recommendations

Lack of Awareness and Capacity

Many indigenous communities in NEI are unaware of their rights concerning PIC and benefit sharing. Additionally, there is often a lack of capacity to negotiate with large corporations or research institutions. Capacity-building initiatives that focus on legal literacy, negotiation skills, and awareness of international agreements such as the Nagoya Protocol are essential for empowering these communities.

Enforcement of Legal Frameworks

While India has a robust legal framework in place for regulating bioprospecting, enforcement remains weak. Strengthening institutions like the NBA and the SBBs and improving coordination between them is necessary for the effective implementation of laws. Furthermore, community-based monitoring systems could be developed to ensure that companies and researchers follow ethical guidelines during bioprospecting activities.

Cultural Sensitivity

Bioprospecting must also take into account the cultural values of indigenous communities. For many of these communities, nature is not merely a resource to be exploited but is integral to their spiritual and cultural identities. Engaging with these communities respectfully and ensuring that their cultural beliefs are not violated is an important aspect of ethical bioprospecting.

CONCLUSION

Bioprospecting in North East India presents both opportunities and challenges. While the region's rich biodiversity and indigenous knowledge systems have the potential to contribute to scientific and commercial innovation, ethical considerations must be carefully addressed. Prior Informed Consent, fair benefit-sharing, and the protection of intellectual property rights are crucial to ensuring that bioprospecting activities are sustainable and equitable. By strengthening legal frameworks, building local capacity, and fostering respectful engagement with indigenous communities, North East India can benefit from bioprospecting while safeguarding its unique biodiversity and cultural heritage.

References

1. Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (1992). Retrieved from <https://www.cbd.int> (<https://www.cbd.int>)
2. Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit Sharing. (2010). Convention on Biological Diversity. Retrieved from <https://www.cbd.int/abs/>
3. Biological Diversity Act. (2002). Government of India. Retrieved <https://nbaindia.org/>
4. Laird, S. A., & Wynberg, R. (2008). *Access and Benefit Sharing in Practice: Trends in Partnerships Across Sectors*. CBD Technical Series.
5. Choudhury, B. U., & Das, A. (2013). "Bioprospecting in the North-East: The Ethical Dimension." *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 83(5), 462-470.
6. Jain, S. K. (2004). "Role of Traditional Knowledge in Biodiversity Conservation: The Indian Experience." *Jour-*



- nal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 1(1), 1-8.
7. Pathak, N. (2018). "The Bioprospecting Debate: Who Owns the Resources?" *Economic and Political Weekly*, 53(32), 23-27.
 8. Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (1992). *Text of the Convention on Biological Diversity*. Retrieved from <https://www.cbd.int/convention/text/>
 9. Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (2010). *Nagoya Protocol on Access and Benefit Sharing*. Retrieved from <https://www.cbd.int/abs/>(<https://www.cbd.int/abs/>
 10. Kothari, A., Pathak, N., & Bose, S. (2016). *Biodiversity Laws in India: Evolution, Implementation, and Challenges*. Kalpavriksh Publications.
 11. Myers, N., Mittermeier, R. A., Mittermeier, C. G., da Fonseca, G. A. B., & Kent, J. (2000). "Biodiversity Hotspots for Conservation Priorities." *Nature*, 403(6772), 853-858.
 12. Pathak, N. (2018). "The Bioprospecting Debate: Who Owns the Resources?" *Economic and Political Weekly*, 53(32), 23-27.
 13. Sahai, S. (2001). "Biopiracy: The Plundering of Biodiversity and Traditional Knowledge." *Economic and Political Weekly*, 36(32), 2872-2875.
 14. Shiva, V. (1997). *Biopiracy: The Plunder of Nature and Knowledge*. South End Press.

How to cite this article:

S. Shyamkiran Singh and S. Priyalakshmi Devi.(2024). Bioprospecting and Ethical Considerations in North East India. *Int J Recent Sci Res*.15(09), pp.5005-5009.
